Automated Driving Safety Evaluation Framework

Ver 3.0

Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc. Sectional Committee of AD Safety Evaluation, Automated Driving Subcommittee December 2022

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

List of committee members

Chief of Sectional Committee: Hideaki Sato, Toyota Motors

- Deputy Chief of Sectional Committee, Safety argument WG Leader: Koichiro Ozawa, Honda Motor Co., Ltd .
- Deputy Chief of Sectional Committee: Eiichi Kitahara, Nissan Motors Co., Ltd.
- Committee member, Virtual Evaluation of Perception WG Leader: Yumi Kubota, Nissan Motor Co., Ltd
- Committee member: Kohji Ishiwata, Nissan Motor Co., Ltd
- Committee member: Tomofumi Koishi, Honda Motor Co., Ltd .
- Committee member: Shinji Narimatsu, Honda Motor Co., Ltd .
- Committee member: Yoshiya Kubo, Mazda Motor Corporation
- Committee member: Yusuke Yamada, Mazda Motor Corporation
- Committee member: Fumihiko Takegoshi, SUZUKI MOTOR CORPORATION
- Committee member: Shinji Tsunoda, SUBARU CORPORATION
- Committee member: Kenichi Yamada, Daihatsu Motor Co., Ltd.
- Committee member: Masaru Idoguchi, Hino Motors Ltd.
- Committee member: Atsushi Ohshiba, Hino Motors Ltd.
- Committee member: Shinichiro Kawano, Isuzu Motors Limited
- Committee member: Yasuhiro Furukawa, Mitsubishi Motors Corporation
- Committee member: Tomoya Yabuzaki, Yamaha Motor Co., Ltd.
- Committee member: Tetsuya Ishida, UD Trucks Corporation
- Advisor: Hiroaki Nakata, Hitachi Astemo, Ltd.
- Advisor: Koichi Terui, Hitachi Astemo, Ltd.
- Advisor: Tatsuhiko Monji, Hitachi Astemo, Ltd.
- Advisor: Yuko Murase, DENSO CORPORATION
- Advisor: Kenji Suganuma, DENSO CORPORATION
- Advisor: Shingo Jinno, DENSO CORPORATION
- Advisor: Masami Suzuki, Pioneer Corporation

Contents

Main cł	nanges and additions to Ver. 3	1
1. Pos	itioning of this Paper	1
2. Aut	tomated Driving System Safety Argumentation Structure	2
2.1. Issu	ies with existing approaches	2
2.1.1.	Safety evaluation through long-distance/ long-duration driving tests	2
2.1.2.	Data storage/classification scenario-based approach	2
2.2. Ove	erview of 'Physics Principles Approach Process'	3
2.3. Saf	ety Argumentation Structure Framework	5
2.3.1.	Automated driving safety principles	5
2.3.2.	Scope of safety evaluation	6
2.3.3.	Method of evaluating safety	7
2.3.4.	Safety evaluation method for perception disturbance	20
2.3.5.	Safety evaluation method for vehicle disturbance	22
3. Sce	nario-Based Safety Assurance Process	25
3.1. Saf	ety argumentation scheme (Steps of the V-shaped model)	25
3.1.1.	Item definition	25
3.1.2.	Safety Analysis	26
3.1.3.	Safety Design and Safety Concept	26
3.1.4.	System development	26
3.1.5.	Examination and validation of the sub-system and the vehicle	27
3.1.6.	Safety assessment	27
3.1.7.	Final check process before release	27
3.1.8.	Incident management	27
4. Sce	nario structure	28
4.1. Tra	ıffic disturbance scenario	28
4.1.1.	General vehicle scenario	28
4.1.2.	Scenarios unique to motorcycles	36
4.1.3.	Scenarios resulting from the combination of behaviours by several vehicles	36
4.2. Per	ception disturbance scenarios	37
4.2.1.	Perception disturbance scenarios	37
4.2.2.	Blind Spot Scenarios	64
4.2.3.	Communication disturbance scenario	73
4.3. Veł	nicle motion disturbance scenarios	76
4.3.1.	Classification of vehicle body input	76
4.3.2.	Classification of tyre inputs	78
4.3.3.	Predictable vehicle motion disturbance safety approach	80
5 Sce	nario Database	90
5.1 Th	ree layers of extraction	90
5.2 Dat	abase parameters, format, and architecture	90
5.3 Tes	t scenario database interface specification	91
Annex	A Road Geometry	93
A.1 Roa	ad geometry component elements	95
A.2 Bas	sic parameters of road geometry	96
A.3 Up	date with actual environmental data	97
A.4 Up	dating road geometry parameters based on actual world map data	97

Annex	B Scenarios for Motorcycles	99
B.1 Cl	assification of surrounding motorcycle location and motion	99
B.2 Tr	affic disturbance scenario unique to motorcycles	99
Annex	C Approach for complex scenarios of traffic disturbance	101
C.1 Co	ncept of avoidance motion scenario	101
C 2 Tr	affic flow scenarios	101
C 2 1	Avoidance trigger	102
C.2.1		102
C.2.2		102
C.2.3	Cut-in vehicles into the avoidance area	104
C.2.4	Road environment	104
Annex	D Verifying the completeness of scenario database based on accident data	106
D.1 Ge	erman In-Depth Accident Study (GIDAS) data	106
D.2 Pr D 3 In	e-crash scenario typology for crash avoidance research (NHISA) stitute for Traffic Accident Research and Data Analysis (ITARDA) data	107
D .5 III		
Annex	E Principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances	111
E.1 Pr	ocesses of principle models description and evaluation scenario derivation	111
E.2 Pr	inciple models and evaluation scenarios of mm wave Radar	112
E.2.1	[mmWave Radar] Large difference of signal (S) (recognition target)	113
E.2.2	[mmWave Radar] Low D/U (road surface multipath)	119
E.2.3	[mmWave Radar] Low D/U (change of angle)	123
E.2.4	[mmWave Radar] Low S/N (direction of a vehicle)	128
E.2.5	[mmWave Radar] Low D/U (surrounding structures)	132
E.3 Pr	inciple models and evaluation scenarios of LiDAR	136
E.3.1	[LiDAR] Attenuation of signal (recognition target)	137
E.3.2	[LiDAR] Noise	146
E.3.3	[LiDAR] Signal not from recognition target (reflection from raindrops)	154
E.4 Pr	inciple models and evaluation scenarios of Camera	160
E.4.1	[Camera] Hidden (image cut out)	161
E.4.2	[Camera] Low spatial frequency / low contrast (caused by spatial obstruction)	171
E.4.3	[Camera] Overexposure	184
Annov	E Cuideling for validation of virtual anvironment with nercontian disturbance	102
F 1 ov	F Guideline for vandation of virtual environment with perception disturbance	193 102
\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{C}	armon requirement and reproductivity validation method	193
F.2.1	the way of thinking about common requirement	194
F.2.2	The way of thinking about common requirement for each sensor	196
F.2.3	Validation method of common requirement	204
F.3 pe	rception disturbance reproducing requirement and reproductivity validation method	233
F.3.1	the way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement	233
F.3.2	The way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement for each	-
	sensor	233

F.3.3	Validation method of perception disturbance reproducing requirement	. 239
Annex	G Validation of Simulation Tools and Simulation Test Methods Related to UN Regulation	
	No. 157	. 259
G.1 Pu	rpose and Scope	. 259
G.2 Te	rminology	. 259
G.3 M	ethod for Validating the Simulation Tool	. 260
G.3.1	Purpose of This Chapter	. 260
G.3.2	Validation Method and Criteria	. 260
G.3.3	Simulation Tool Requirements	. 261
G.4 Pr	ocedure for Validating the Simulation Tool	. 262
G.4.1	Purpose of This Chapter	. 262
G.4.2	Procedure for Validating the Simulation Tool	. 262
G.5 AI	DS Safety Performance Evaluation Simulation Method	. 263
G.5.1	Purpose of This Chapter	. 263
G.5.2	Test Method	. 263
G.5.3	Definition of the Parameters of the Ego and Other Vehicles	. 264
G.5.4	Definition of Each Scenario	. 265
G.5.5	Criteria for Pass or Fail	. 265
G.5.6	Parameter Range for Simulations	. 266
G.5.7	Conducting Simulation	. 269
G.6 Su	bmission Documents	. 271

Main changes and additions to Ver. 3

- Traffic disturbance scenarios The motorway-specific content has been revised to include general roads, and a traffic disturbance scenario for general vehicles that includes general roads has been added along with the addition of ITARDA data to Annex D.
- Perception disturbance scenarios
 The content of Annex E and F has been added.

 Vehicle motion disturbance scenarios
 - Preventability/unpreventability boundary conditions have been added for general roads.

1. Positioning of this Paper

[Background]

The realization and deployment of autonomous driving (AD) is expected to bring forth an even safer society which is also more efficient and with a freer mobility. The fulfillment of these expectations is a major global challenge that stands on the sufficient safety assurance and verification of the autonomous vehicles both in terms of performance and technology.

In this document, the Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association Inc. (JAMA) has summarized the best practice on safety argumentation structuring, safety evaluation, and safety assessment methods needed to enable logical completeness, practicability, and transparency of AD safety.

The safety assessment and the technical judgment may be revised according to the practical implementation and evolution of the AD safety assurance dialogue, along with technical content modifications.

[Aims]

(1)To enhance safety and efficiency of AD systems development by providing guidelines that serve as a common ground for each JAMA member at each product development stage, from planning and design, to evaluation.

(2) To gain a common technical understanding when international regulations and standards are formulated.

(3) To clarify JAMA position when cooperating with international projects.

2. Automated Driving System Safety Argumentation Structure

An overview of the safety argumentation structure for AD systems with SAE automation level 3 through to level 5 is provided in this chapter.

2.1. Issues with existing approaches

2.1.1. Safety evaluation through long-distance/ long-duration driving tests

Long-distance/long-duration driving test strategies aim at ensuring safety by randomly indentifying malfunctions and unintended disengagements in a black box-type manner, until a certain value for a probabilistic metric is guaranteed. These strategies, applied as a safety evaluation process, present issues both in terms of 'evaluation scope sufficiency' and of 'explainability in emergencies'.

The main issue related to "evaluation scope sufficiency" relates to the stochastical increase of factors and associated hazards with driving distance and time. In other words, it is not possible to ensure that hazards due to factors not identified in long-distance/long-duration runs will not occur after release.

Further, within a contex in which there is neither legal nor social consensus on criteria based on driving distance or time, the issue on "explainability in emergencies" relates to the impossibility of clarifying social responsibility for emergency interventions when hazards are encountered by the system. Probabilistic safety criteria based on long-distance/long-time driving also present problems from a technical development point of view, due to the inefficiency of identifying factors that dependend on the environmental conditions in which the driving was conducted, as well as on the characteristics of the vehicle.

2.1.2. Data storage/classification scenario-based approach

A number of countries are actively developing data driven scenario-based approaches to address the challenges of applying previous ADAS development processes for safety assurance of AD systems of SAE automation level 3 through to level 5. These approaches incorporate normal traffic and accident data, process the data, and systematically categorize the processed information into formats known as 'scenarios' which are stored in a database.

The collection, storage and creation of such scenarios and database in the public domain, free from manufacturers' intellectual property and bias, may enable the development a safety evaluation ecosystem, that both certification bodies and manufacturers could incorporate for the benefit of the general public through safer vehicles.

However, the scenario based approach does not resolve per se the aboved mentioned issue concerning 'evaluation scope sufficiency' before release. When the obtained data is tagged and "categorized", the compensation for the phenomenon that may occur in the future still depends on the distance and time or the amount of data, so the previously mentioned issue related to evaluation scope sufficiency remains unresolved. Further, if the driving data shared in the public domain is only comprised of "images" and "vehicle trajectories" this will lead to insufficient safety verification range, as such data may exclude factors related to autonomous vehicles' misinterpretaion of both the surroundings and its own conditions, as well as factors possibly affecting vehicle stability.

2.2. Overview of 'Physics Principles Approach Process'

In order to address the limitations of existing approaches concerning evaluation scope sufficiency and explainability in emergencies, a 'Physical Principles Approach Process' for safety evaluation is proposed. This proposal essentially incorporates physics principles into a scenario-based approach.

The number of safety-relevant situations that an AD system may encounter in real traffic is infinite. Therefore, if scenarios are structuralized by solely combining traffic factors without further considerations, the unlimited number of variables that need to be considered will prevent from a complete scope verification. In contrast with the infinite number of safety-relevant situations that an AD system may encounter in traffic, the number of physics principles that the system can apply for safely handling such situations is limited. AD systems decompose all DDT into perception, judgement and operation subtasks, and each of these subtasks is associated with one or several specific physics principles. Therefore, if scenarios are decomposed and structuralized logically in consideration of the physics of the AD system, then it is possible to provide a complete coverage of all the safety-relevant root causes for given DDT. This motivates the incorporation of perception, traffic situation, and operation related disturbances, and the corresponding scenario structures introduced in the following table, in Figure 1 and Figure 2, and elaborated in detail in following chapters.

Task	Processing results	Disturbance	Governing physics principles
Perception	Own position, surrounding traffic environment positional information and other traffic information	Perception disturbance	Light, radio wave, infrared light propagation principles that affect camera, mili-wave radar and LiDAR sensors, respectively
Judgement	Path, speed plan instructions	Traffic disturbance	Kinematics describing the motion of traffic participants, objects and systems of groups of objects, without reference to the causes of motion.
Operation	Movement instruction allocation for each ACT for achieving path and speed plan instructions	Vehicle control disturbance	Dynamics, concerned with forces applied on the vehicle's body and tires, and their effects on motion.



Figure 1. different categories of structuralized scenarios considering physics principles for each corresponding perception, judgement and control tasks



Figure 2. Schematic of the three disturbance categories considered to logically structuralize scenarios

Perception disturbance refers to conditions in which the sensor system may fail to correctly judge a hazard or a non-hazard for sensor or vehicle intrinsic or extrinsic reasons. Examples of intrinsic reasons include part mounting (e.g. unsteadiness related to sensor mounting or manufacturing variability), or vehicle conditions (e.g. vehicle inclination due to uneven loading that modifies sensor orientation, or sensor shielding with external attachments such as bicycle racks). External reasons include environmental conditions (e.g. sensor cloudiness, dirt, light, etc.) or blind spots induced by surrounding vehicles.

Traffic disturbance refers to traffic conditions that may lead to a hazard resultant of a combination of the following factors: road geometry (e.g., branch), ego-vehicle behaviour (e.g., lane change), and surrounding vehicle location and action (e.g. cut-in from a near side vehicle).

Vehicle disturbance refers to situations in which perception and judgement work correctly but where the subject vehicle may fail to control its own dynamics. This can be due to intrinsic vehicle factors (e.g. total weight, weight distribution, etc.) or extrinsic vehicle factors (e.g. road surface irregularities and inclination, wind, etc.).

Collected normal traffic and accident data can be used to confirm possible gaps in terms of whether situations actually occurring in real traffic are being missed by the logically created scenario systems. Further, by assigning probabilistic ranges to physical parameters for each qualitative scenario category, the data and scenarios can also be used to show in a downscaled manner, to what extent certain situations actually occur.

2.3. Safety Argumentation Structure Framework

2.3.1. Automated driving safety principles

The WP29 document for the harmonisation of international regulations on automated driving reads "Automated vehicles shall not cause any non-tolerable risk, meaning that, under their operational domain, shall not cause any traffic accidents resulting in injury or death that are reasonably foreseeable and preventable" (UN/WP29, 2019, WP29-177-19, Framework document on automated/autonomous vehicles).

These definitions allow to contextualize the safety philosophy of the current methodology proposed, with respect to safety principles that international policy makers are applying in the form of a matrix (Figure 3). Considering the two conditions of foreseeability and preventability together generates a 4 quadrant matrix that better contextualises the philosophy of this document. Scenario based safety evaluation, can be found in the top left quadrant of the matrix where no accidents are acceptable. This quadrant accounts for all scenarios for which an accident is foreseeable and preventable. The bottom left quadrant of the matrix depicts the traffic situations that can not be foreseen but that can be prevented. The cases that fall under this category form the basis for learning and serve as a precedent for future generation AD system developments. The top right quadrant of the matrix introduces cases that are foreseeable but not preventable. The situations that fall under this category are situations for which mitigation is the only option. Measures to reduce the damage resultant of these unpreventable (yet foreseeable) cases constitutes the main area of focus in this section. The final quadrant (bottom right) accounts for crashes that are neither foreseeable nor preventable. In these situations, resilience support in the form of legalities, the division of responsibilities, health support, insurance and other such areas need to be the focus of attention.



Figure 3. Safety approach in context with foreseeability and preventability matrix

2.3.2. Scope of safety evaluation

Figure 4 presents a summary of the safety aspects described in the WP29 framework document organized hierarchically. With the common top level safety goal of achieving systems free of unreasonable safety risks, the scope of the current proposal is limited to Validation for System Safety (highlighted in pink).

The validation for system safety according to the safety vision framework can be further decomposed as shown in Figure 5. The scope of the current proposal is limited to critical conditions, and excludes 'Pre critical conditions'. The reason for this exclusion is that, in situations in which there may be a potential risk (e.g. frontal vehicle carrying a load that may fall on the road), may induce many actuations that are not motivated by real risks and that alter traffic imposing risks on other participants (e.g. braking frequently despite not being a real risk). Therefore, to address pre-critical situations, rather than applying physics principles approach processes, other means to verify if the vehicle follows traffic rules and keeps sufficient distance with surrounding objects







Figure 5. Safety argumentation structure diagram

2.3.3. Method of evaluating safety

The main DDT safety risk is to collision with the surrounding traffic participants or obstacles, which is systematized through traffic disturbance scenarios. By defining quantified ranges of reasonable foreseeability and preventability for each of these traffic disturbance scenarios, quantitative criteria associated to each test are defined. Based on these traffic related hazardous scenarios, it is then possible to expand the evaluation to incorporate perception- and vehicle stability-related hazardous scenarios into the assessment which will enable a comprehensive safety evaluation (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Overview of method of judging safety

2.3.3.1. Traffic disturbance safety evaluation method

Traffic disturbance is the position and actions of traffic participants existing around your own vehicle that prevent safe driving by your own vehicle. As previously described, the basic thinking behind safety principles is 'to equip the automated driving system with higher level avoidance performance than a competent and careful human driver within a foreseeable range.' For this thinking, we need to define and model the performance of a competent and careful drive applied to traffic disturbances. By implementing this defined model in a simulation program and deriving the actual scope avoidable for a competent and careful human driver, it is possible to define safety standards in relation to traffic disturbances.

Preventable

ADS collision avoidance performance is equal or better than the performance which a competent and careful human driver can achieve

Reasonably foreseeable

forecastable based on physics principles with a relevant exposure and ego-vehicle driver's / other driver's extreme violation of traffic rules.

Ego Vehicle Behavior		hicle Behavior	L/C		L/K					
ts	Cate	gory Behavior	All	Constant	Deceleration/Stopped	Cut-In	Cut-Out			
Surrounding Traffic Participan Category		Vehicle	No collision preconditio behavior of	n as a n to legal surrounding	ALKS Annex4	ALKS Annex4 Appendix3	ALKS Annex4 Appendix3	no lane departure for each		
	tegory	Vulnerable Road Users	traffic participants e.g) 0.3G deceleration at lass than 2.0 THW with		Can be covered by cut-out scenario	ALKS 5.2.5.3 The activated system shall avoid a collision with an unobstuted crossing podeution in front of the vehicit crossing within with an unobstructed podeutian crossing within within a unobstructed podeutian crossing within within a unobstructed podeutian point is displaced by not former than 0.2 m compand	ALKS Annex4 Appendix3	road category		
	Ca	Animal/ Fallen Object	1.4 delay			Equivalent with ALKS 5.2.5.3	ALKS Annex3 Appendix3			

Figure 7. Overview of traffic disturbance safety judgement method

The competent and careful human driver performance model definition (Figure 8) is able to define the three elements of 'perception', 'judgement', and 'operation.' It is important to have objective grounds for defining parameter coefficients related to performance shown in the respective segments.



Figure 8. Competent and careful human driver model

Here, the driving action elements of 'judgement' and 'operation' are explained. The main avoidance actions of automatic driving in relation to traffic disturbances are considered to be the brake operation (deceleration action) and, regardless of the type of traffic disturbance (position and action of the traffic participants surrounding the ego vehicle), this is fulfilled by defining the performance of a competent and careful human driver. Figure 9

shows a diagram which demonstrates the brake operation of a competent and careful human driver. The model on the left shows the braking operation made by a competent and careful human driver. The model on the right is a functional model of the collision damage mitigation braking system (AEB: Advanced Emergency Braking), it considers the amount of improvement in avoidance performance when equipped with AEB.



Figure 9. Competent and careful human driver brake model

Perception response time, the time delay from the moment when a competent and careful human driver perceives risk to the time that deceleration braking force occurs is set at 0.75 s. This time set is used by police and domestic courts in Japan when establishing a driver's "perception response time".

In terms of maximum deceleration force, quoting the Japanese test data shown in Figure 10, is 0.774G. Whereas the brake force generated by normal drivers in emergencies is 0.689G, normal drivers who have received training in driving techniques have a braking force of 0.774G; albeit this is defined as a higher skill value compared to ordinary drivers.

Furthermore, from the accident statistics data from NHTSA (Figure 11), 0.74G is the peak value; therefore, the maximum deceleration of 0.774G applied to the competent and careful human driver model can be considered appropriate.







deceleration of the preceding vehicle

Figure 12 shows a waveform diagram of deceleration braking for drivers who have received driver skill training. This quotes the Japanese test data previously described. In this waveform diagram, the time for reaching the maximum deceleration is demonstrated, and the maximum deceleration arrival of a competent and careful human driver is defined as 0.6 s.



Figure 12. Emergency brake characteristics study example (arrival time until maximum deceleration)

2.3.3.1.1. Cut-in scenarios

Cut-in scenarios are scenarios in which vehicles travelling in an adjacent lane to the ego vehicle cuts in front of it.Figure 13 shows a schematic expressing boundary conditions where a competent and careful human driver judges it risky when another vehicle cuts in in front of the ego vehicle.



Figure 13. Cut-in judgement conditions and danger judgement boundaries

The boundary conditions when it is judged that a vehicle travelling in the adjacent lane has cut in front of the ego vehicle are defined as the cut-in vehicle lateral movement distance (wander amplitude). In an actual driving environment, vehicles driving while maintaining their lane will wander a little to the left or right while driving. In the scope of the wander lateral movement distance, it is unlikely that the vehicle traveling in the adjacent lane of the ego vehicle travels whith a recognition that it will cut in. Therefore, the cut-in perception boundary conditions were defined from the lateral distance movement (wander amplitude) distribution (Figure 14) of vehicles changing lanes based on the data observed in the actual traffic environments.

After the cut-in judgment, the boundary conditions for perceiving risk for the ego vehicle and perceives a need for the emergency brake (risk perception boundaries) can be defined by multiplying the maximum lateral velocity derived from the actual traffic observation data by the risk perception response time.







Figure 15. 'Maximum lateral velocity' observation data statistics

When calculating the 'risk perception response time, test data using a driving simulator carried out in Japan was utilised and analysed. The prerequisites for the test are shown in Figure 16.

and the second s	Parameter	Value
2 2 2	Lane width	3.5 m
	Ego-vehicle target velocity V _e	100 km/h
	Platoon velocity traveling in parallel forward $V_{\rm o}$	70 km/h
	Max. lateral velocity of cut-in vehicle $\rm V_{\rm oL}$	1.8 m/s
Cut-in	TTC at cut-in start	3.0 s

Figure 16. Assumptions for driving simulator tests

The tests measured the driver's response (reaction time, avoidance operation) for cut-ins from 20 other regular drivers (Table 1). The measurements were performed twice on each participant; by comparing the respective average values of the first and second time, we derived the time until risk was perceived.

Group	No. of participants	Description	Composition of participants
Expert Driver	11	Having 5 years or more driving experience on regular basis, drives on highway at least once a month	- 6 Males, 5 Females - Avg. age: 38.7
Beginner Driver	9	Having 5 years or less driving experience on regular basis, drives on highway not more than once a year	- 6 Males, 3 Females - Avg. age: 23.1

Table 1. Test participant attributes

The test results are shown in Figure 17. The results demonstrated that the time from the start of the cut-in from the other driver to when risk was perceived was ~ 0.8 s for the first time and 0.4 s for the second time. Based on these test results, with the first time perception, the cut-in time is required by the other driver and the time for risk to be perceived, whereas the second time because they were driving while being wary of the cut-in, the time for identifying the cut-in from the other vehicle was not required. However, even when the driver was aware, time was still required for determining risk (Figure 18), and the 'time until risk was perceived' was defined as 0.4 s.



Figure 17. Driving simulator test results



Figure 18. Relationship between cut-in identification time and danger judgement time

As described above, the risk judgement boundary is defined as the time when multiplying the maximum lateral velocity, and the time until perceiving risk. The maximum lateral velocity of 1.8 m/s calculated from the actual traffic observation data and the time until risk is perceived and calculated from the driving simulator test results of 0.4 s are multiplied. Therefore, the risk perception boundary is defined as $1.8 \times 0.4 = 0.72$ m.

When the cut-in perception condition and risk evaluation boundary area applied to the diagram in Figure 8, it results in Figure 19.



Figure 19. Competent and careful human driver model (Cut In)

According to the UNR collision warning guidelines, the boundary that requires emergency action is defined as $TTC^* = 2.0$ s regarding the longitudinal (distance from the other vehicle) risk evaluation boundary (Figure 2). This is cited to define the longitudinal risk evaluation boundary as TTC = 2.0 s.



Figure 20. UNR collision warning guidelines (Citation)

2.3.3.1.2. Cut-out Scenario

The cut-out scenario is a scenario in which the leading vehicle that the ego vehicle is following suddenly changes its lane to the adjacent lane (cut-out). This scenario evaluates safety in relation to the sudden appearance of a decelerating or stopped vehicle (such as broken-down car and the tail end of a traffic jam) in front of the ego vehicle due to the preceding vehicle's cut-out. Figure 21 shows the schematic that represents the boundary condition for the competent and careful human driver who perceives the situation to be risky when the preceding vehicle performs a cut-out.



Figure 21. Cut-out perception condition and risk evaluation boundary

The cut-out perceived boundary condition to perceiving the preceding vehicle's cut-out manoeuvre is defined by the amount of lateral movement (drifting amplitude), which is similar to the case with the aforementioned cut-in perception condition. Both the cut-in and cut-out are maneuvres to change lanes. Similar to the case of cut-in, the boundary condition using the distribution of drifting amplitude from the observation data of real traffic is applied to the perception condition of cut-out.

Moreover, the time from the cut out perception to the recognition of the vehicle ahead that appears and the risk perception is defined as 0.4 sec based on the experimental data (Figure 17 and 18).



Figure 22. Competent and careful human driver model (cut out)

2.3.3.1.3. Deceleration Scenario

A deceleration scenario takes into consideration the sudden deceleration of the leading vehicle that the ego vehicle is following. Although the previous cut-in and cut-out scenarios required the perceived lane change boundaries from the following or leading vehicle, the deceleration scenario only involves the longitudinal behaviour. Therefore, it is only necessary to define the deceleration perception time by the leading vehicle to evaluate the risk boundary. Similar to the preceding case, 0.4 s can be applied as the time required to evaluate the risk.



Figure 23. Risk evaluation boundary in deceleration scenario

When the risk evaluation condition of the deceleration scenario is applied to the diagram in Figure 8, it results in Figure 24.



Figure 24. Competent and careful human driver model (Deceleration)

Definition of Parameters for Deriving Standard

The following table lists the parameters required for deriving the safety standards for traffic disturbances. The evaluation scenarios related to traffic disturbances are generated by defining road geometry, the ego vehicle's behaviour, and locations and motions of the surrounding traffic participants. The parameter items required in the evaluation scenario are categorized in a specific numerical range, and the Pass / Fail boundary is derived within that range.

Operating conditions	Roadway	<pre>#of lanes = The number of parallel and adjacent lanes in the same direction of travel Lane Width = The width of each lane</pre>		
Initial condition	Initial velocity	Ve0 = Ego vehicle		
		Vo0 = Leading vehicle in lane or in adjacent lane		
		Vf0 = Vehicle in front of leading vehicle in lane		
	Initial distance	dx0 = Distance in longitudinal direction between the front end		
		of the ego vehicle and the rear end of the leading vehicle in ego vehicle's lane or in adjacent lane		
		dy0 = Inside Lateral distance between outside edge line of ego vehicle in parallel to the vehicle's median longitudinal plane within lanes and outside edge line of leading vehicle in parallel to the vehicle's median longitudinal plane in adjacent lines.		
		$dy0_f =$ Inside Lateral distance between outside edge line of leading vehicle in parallel to the vehicle's median longitudinal plane within lanes and outside edge line of vehicle in front of the leading vehicle in parallel to the vehicle's median longitudinal plane in adjacent lines.		
		$dx0_f = Distance$ in longitudinal direction between front end of leading vehicle and rear end of vehicle in front of leading vehicle		
		dfy = Width of vehicle in front of leading vehicle		
		doy = Width of leading vehicle		
		dox = Length of the leading vehicle		
Vehicle motion	Lateral motion	Vy =Leading vehicle lateral velocity		
Deceleration		Gx_max = Maximum deceleration of the leading vehicle in G		
		dG/dt = Deceleration rate (Jerk) of the leading vehicle		

Table 2.	List of	traffic	disturbance	parameters.
----------	---------	---------	-------------	-------------

2.3.3.1.4. Calculation of Boundary

As discussed above, the specific standard value can be derived by the numerical calculation of the competent and careful human driver model. The parameter region for the standard value derivations are set to allow combinations of every parameter within the maximum vehicle velocity region allowed by the ADS to be targeted.

2.3.3.1.4.1. Derivation result of the preventable boundary of cut-in scenario

The safety standard of the cut-in is derived for every relative velocity between the ego vehicle and the counter vehicle. Collision with the cut-in vehicle is not allowed in the parameter region indicated by the green area in Figure 26.



Figure 25. Conceptual diagram of cut-in scenario parameters



Figure 26. Preventable boundary data sheet of cut-in scenario

2.3.3.1.4.2. Derivation result of cut-out scenario standard

The cut-out safety standard requires that all decelerating (stopped), vehicles located ahead of the vehicle cutout, must be able to avoid collisions. This standard is derived by making the aforementioned competent and careful human driver model follow the leading vehicle at THW = 2.0 s. This value, i.e., THW=2.0 s, is applied by referring to the laws and instructions of each country.



Figure 27. Conceptual diagram of cut-out scenario parameters



Figure 28. Preventable boundary data sheet of cut-out scenario

2.3.3.1.4.3. Derivation result of preventable boundary of deceleration scenario

The safety standards for deceleration scenarios are required to enable avoidance of collision with the suddenly decelerating vehicle at -1.0 G or less or by stopping the vehicle. This standard is derived by making the aforementioned competent and careful human driver model follow the leading vehicle at THW = 2.0 s. This value, THW = 2.0 s, is applied by referring to the laws and instructions of each country.



Figure 29. Conceptual diagram of decelerating scenario parameters



Figure 30. Preventable boundary data sheet of decelerating scenario

NOTE: Preventable boundary does not show up at 60 km/h or less because the braking force is sufficient.

2.3.4. Safety evaluation method for perception disturbance

The basic conception of safety standard is as follows: 'To avoid collisions in any of the traffic disturbance scenarios, even when experiencing perception disturbances.'

When considering that lane deviation can also contribute to collisions, the perception of objects is necessary to avoid collisions with objects on the runway (Fig. 31). Moreover, there are two types of phenomena that result from the perception disturbance, namely, a false negative where the existing objects are not correctly detected, and a false positive where objects that do not exist are falsely detected (Figure 32).



Figure 31. Types of detection target

		Real World				
		Positive = existent	Negative =non-existent			
sing	Positive =taking it as existence	True Positive = success of detection ⓒ	False Positive =ghost (taking non-existent status as existent status) →mistake of detection ⓒ			
Sen	Negative = taking it as non-existence	False Negative = fail of detection →missing, non-detection ⓒ	True Negative = accurate detection of non- existence ©			

Figure 32. Detection result caused by disturbance

When these are combined, evaluations based on the concept of safety standards become necessary for four categories of situations in total (Figure 33).

2 kinds of perception	2 types of perception result with disturbance					
target	false negative	false positive				
Road object	No collision on traffic disturbance because of false negative	No collision on traffic disturbance because of false positive				
Lane	No lane departure because of false negative	No lane departure because of false positive				

Figure 33. Four categories of detection disturbance situation

The following is considered within the ODD region as the parameter region of perception disturbance to define an appropriate region for each disturbance factor.

1: Road structure, Road Traffic Law and other regions defined by laws and regulations.

(e.g.: When visibility is 50 m or less, the road is closed, i.e., a level difference of >15 cm on the road surface must be repaired)

2: Region that is determined to be possible at certain probability based on statistical data.

(e.g., precipitation, brightness, and sun altitude, etc)

Moreover, this safety standard is not the performance standard allocated to an individual sensor. Instead, it should complement the entire recognition system installed. The above flow of safety perception can be summarized as follows.





2.3.5. Safety evaluation method for vehicle disturbance

A vehicle disturbance indicates sudden disturbances (e.g. puddles or sudden gust of wind). Although these are unpredictable phenomena, drivers can safely drive by following common sense related to road design, road maintenance/management and road environmental conditions. Thus, the premise of driving on common roads is that the roads are constructed by responsible public or private organisations which follow basic principles such as legality, ethics and engineering and are always maintained and managed. Most countries have road structure ordinances and guidelines for road maintenance and repair to ensure that the road geometry design enables safe driving by every person with a valid driving license (regardless of their driving skill, reflexes, or age). Moreover, when there is a risky situation, such as freezing or a sinkhole, that can hinder driving, the road administrator is obliged to warn the drivers in advance, e.g., with a traffic sign. Based on these preconditions, a technical safety approach for foreseeable vehicle disturbances is introduced.

As shown in Figure 6, 'collisions must be avoided in any of the traffic disturbance scenarios, even when experiencing vehicle disturbance.' In the current standards, the collision avoidance strategy under the foreseeable and avoidable scenarios and collision mitigation strategies for predictable but unavoidable scenarios are of particular consideration. Henceforth, when a vehicle behaviour changes because of a vehicle disturbance within the scope of avoidable conditions, the AD vehicle is required to possess a controllability that can stabilise the vehicle without halting driving. However, when these disturbances cause instability that cannot be avoided, the AD vehicle must adapt to the 'best effort' strategy to mitigate the possible collision.

Figure 35 shows a specific example of the safety approach for foreseeable vehicle disturbances. The upper section of the figure represents an example of the AD vehicle experiencing a rapid decrease of sliding friction while staying within the avoidable conditions on a wet road; in such a state, the vehicle must be able to be safely controlled without interrupting the driving process. However, the lower section of the figure represents an example involving an AD vehicle equipped with summer tires encountering a frozen road, which causes a rapid decrease of sliding friction and generates a vehicle state that was defined to be unavoidable in advance (e.g., maximum deceleration). Therefore, the safety approach toward vehicle disturbances is based on the principle and clear definitions of vehicle motion engineering related to the definitions of the states where the vehicle is controllable and the states where the vehicle is uncontrollable. (Section 4.3.3 for detail).



Driving in the preventable area (e.g. sudden wet road)

Figure 35. Safety approach for avoidable (above) and unavoidable (below) vehicle disturbance

When these considerations are combined with traffic disturbances, the safety of the AD vehicle does not affect the test result if the stability of the vehicle is maintained. Moreover, while wind affects other vehicles, it only influences the lateral velocity as with cut-in, and it is included in the original traffic flow parameters. The safety standards for vehicle motion disturbances are evaluated relatively without including the vehicle disturbance to the traffic flow scenario. Therefore, the safety standards for vehicle disturbances only need to set the most strict condition under the premise that the Road Traffic Act is strictly adhered. Drivers are responsible for the maintenance of their vehicles, the road administrator is appointed as per the Road Traffic Act, and roads are managed and operated according to the Road Structure Ordinance and guidelines for road maintenance and repair, and perception standards 'do not departing from the road surface.' As an example, the disturbance factors and conditions for motorways in Japan (refer to 4.3.3.8 for general roads) are listed below:

- Road surface state: Friction coefficient is 0.3 (lock µ) or more, external force on the tires is at the set point of the road maintenance and repair or less (e.g.: rut: 25 mm, level difference: 30 mm, pothole: 20 cm)
- Road geometry: Curve within the regulation of the road structure ordinance, i.e., R = 460 m, vehicle velocity is 100 km/h
- Natural phenomena: Wind speed of lateral wind without speed control is <10 m/s, i.e., vehicle velocity is 100 km/h</p>

As the most difficult condition here is when the abovementioned disturbances all simultaneously occur, these three factors are added up for evaluation (Figure 36).



Figure 36. Vehicle motion disturbance evaluation conditions

The perception condition under this situation is to avoid departure from the lane. Here, the cases where the vehicle cannot drive under these conditions (e.g., when lateral wind is 5 m/s or more, i.e., driving is not possible) must be defined in advance as ODD by the manufacturer.

Furthermore, as a functional requirement, the slow puncture that occurs while driving should be managed before the vehicle becomes uncontrollable (before the rim touches the surface of the road).

The summary of the flow of safety perception discussed to date is listed below.



Figure 37 Safety perception flow of vehicle motion disturbance

3. Scenario-Based Safety Assurance Process

Figure 38 shows the schematics for the overall safety argumentation system in development and production cycle based on the V-shaped model, which is the project management commonly appointed to the development of advanced driving assistance systems (ADAS) and AD systems. By integrating verification to the sensor setup assessment and software agility basement processes from the planning phase in the first half of development, rather than conducting it only during the latter half of development represented by the right side of the V-shape, it can contribute to the optimisation of the development.



Figure 38. Overall scheme of safety assurance process

3.1. Safety argumentation scheme (Steps of the V-shaped model)

3.1.1. Item definition

The safety argumentation process is for making the vehicle compatible with the safety target within the operation scope of the automatic driving vehicle that was determined in advance. The operation scope of automatic driving vehicles is defined at the initial stage as the operation design scope (ODD). The contents of the ODD must include, at a minimum, information such as the road type, position on the road, vehicle velocity scope and environmental condition. Moreover, a fallback strategy for transition to outside the ODD boundary must be designed; moreover, the AD system must detect whether it is operating within the defined ODD. The definition of OD must be structured in such a manner as to enable notification to the users, as well as allow them to understand, trust and operate the AD system (Khastgir, Birrell, Dhadyalla, & Jennings, 2018).

Note that by mapping the ODD system and the scenario system as shown in Figure 39, it becomes possible to select the evaluation scenario following the ODD range.



Figure 39. ODD scenario classification and relationship diagram of the system level classification based on the three category scenario level

3.1.2. Safety Analysis

It is important to determine as many foreseeable scenarios as possible, as well as systematise detailed scenariorelated information on the operation design scope (ODD), vehicle and its surrounding, technically comprehensive definition of ODD based on the system physics, in addition to the overall definition of ODD that employs the systematic combination approach. For instance, the word 'rain' is enough for communicating with the user if rainfall conditions are included in the ODD; however, the AD system itself cannot interpret such a concept in the same manner. This scenario is able to consider the influence of rain from the perspective of system physics instead such as the possibility of the influence of raindrops on the sensor performance or the influence of rain on the vehicle dynamics (e.g., decrease in friction coefficient between the tire and the wet road surface). To describe ODD in a technical and system-oriented way, it is classified into three categories related to the system physics in order. These categories cover the respective perception, traffic flow and vehicle disturbances that can potentially occur within the AD system safety analysis (Figure 2).

3.1.3. Safety Design and Safety Concept

The system requirements should be produced based on the safety analysis steps. The safety target defined by our association is integrated into the development cycle during this process, as well as confirmed during the system design. As layers of different complexity are added to the safety design, the safety analysis cycle can be unified as per necessity between this process and the preceding process as long as their outputs follow the safety analysis steps. It is important to ensure compatibility between the ODD and the system requirements to avoid unnecessary specification changes in the system development process. This indicates the importance of the role of the safety analysis step.

3.1.4. System development

When the system design is complete and its safety is analysed, the actual system that includes the component elements of both software and hardware is developed.

3.1.5. Examination and validation of the sub-system and the vehicle

At this point, the strategy for safety examination and validation of the system and the vehicle is defined without interaction with the driver. The examination and validation are conducted by combing concentrated virtual evaluations and a relatively limited amount of physical tests in real traffic environments and at test courses.

The mathematical and physical accuracy of the system, development functions, and employed safety measures are verified in the sub-process of the examination. Moreover, verification is performed in regard to whether all the safety specifications and requirements drawn up during the safety analysis process (sufficiency of sensors, algorithm and actuator-related measures) have been satisfied.

For the validation sub-process, verification is performed in terms of whether the system and components, including the employed safety measures, pose an irrational risk to the traffic participants. Moreover, the safety of the AD system is substantiated by confirming that the defined validation targets were met.

3.1.6. Safety assessment

The test for determining whether the end product is acceptable is conducted during this step, which includes the related inspections, document checks and certifications.

3.1.7. Final check process before release

In the final check before release, verification is performed in terms of whether the safety of the AD system can be explained, in addition to whether the remaining risk is within the permissible range. This can be conducted by, e.g., using technologies such as the behaviour safety assessment (BSA), which focuses on the evaluation of the AD system at each test case by applying different measurement standards and confirms the compatibility of AD with predefined behaviour standards. Finally, a determination is made in terms of whether the system can be released during the review of the result, and then the post-release incident management strategy is designed.

3.1.8. Incident management

During the incident management process, the performance data is fed back into the safety argumentation process. This enables the improvement of the AD technology and reduces the number of 'unforeseeable' situations as time passes. It is expected that, because of this reduction, the threshold between two left quadrants shifts, as well as the boundary between them will be lesser in the way that is beneficial to the foreseeable scenarios (Figure 40). Following the same logic, it is expected that the boundary between the preventable scenarios and unpreventable scenario shifts rightward, and the quadrant on the upper left will expand. It is highly possible that this will occur as more scenarios become preventable.



Figure 40. Expansion of foreseeable and preventable scopes following the evolution of the AD system

4. Scenario structure

Every approach is constructed by applying the systematic combination approach for defining the combinations derived from all possible factors. This approach requires significant specialized effort for defining all the factors and their interdependency as was the case by examining the safety coverage target. Therefore, it requires a systematic standardization methodology for structuring every factor related to the information. As mentioned earlier, the structures of the scenarios are the possible disturbances that can occur in three different categories related to the physics of the system, namely, the perception disturbance, traffic disturbance and vehicle motion disturbance.

4.1. Traffic disturbance scenario

Traffic disturbance scenarios are classified as general vehicle scenarios (including automobile and motorcycles), motorcycle-specific scenarios, and vulnerable road user scenarios (Figure 41). These three scenario classifications are further generated by systematically analyzing and classifying the combinations of different factors, namely the road geometry, ego-vehicle behavior, and the locations and motions of the surrounding traffic participants (Figure 42).



Figure 41. Traffic disturbance scenario classification



Figure 42. Structure of a traffic disturbance scenario

NOTE: The vulnerable road user scenario will be included in the next version.

4.1.1. General vehicle scenario

For traffic disturbance scenarios involving general vehicles, we provide specific explanations for the road geometry, ego-vehicle behavior, and the locations and motions of the surrounding traffic participants.

4.1.1.1. Road geometry category

The standard road is a non-intersection road (a). Merge zones (b) are formed when another road merges into a single road. When a single road splits, a branch zone (c) is formed. Furthermore, when one straight road intersects another straight road, an intersection (d) is formed (Figure 43). These roads are combined to form various types of roads. Motorways are classified into three categories: main roads (non-intersection), merge zones, and branch zones, with intersections being excluded. The road scenario classification for scenario generation must be also discussed to make it applicable to highways internationally (Association, 2004) (Transportation, 2008; UK, 2006).

NOTE: Another type of road shape is a roundabout. For this, we must either consider a combination of merging and branching roads or prepare a separate scenario. In addition, we intend to include another Annex that considers parking lots and trams, among other scenarios.



Figure 43. Road geometry classifications

4.1.1.2. Vehicle behavior category

Vehicles move in a straight line along the lanes of road geometry (a) (also known as lane keeping). In addition, vehicles move between lanes from an adjacent and merging lane (b) (lane change). Here, while a lane change from an adjacent lane and a merging lane have different road geometry categories, as vehicle behaviors, both are considered to be lane changes. At intersections, the vehicle turns without changing lanes (right or left turn). Therefore, the possible vehicle behaviors are classified into three categories: going straight, lane change, and turning. This vehicle behavior category is expressed using a combination of the road geometry information discussed above (Figure 44).

NOTE: In addition to right and left turns, there is also the U-turn as a turning behavior, but the ADS will not perform a typical U-turn; however, if a road is designed for U-turns, it is treated as a merge zone.



Figure 44. Parameters of road geometry and vehicle behavior

4.1.1.3. Categories of positions and motions of surrounding vehicles

Moreover, when there is a significant difference between the speeds of the leading vehicle and the vehicle in front of it, the leading vehicle might perform cut-out to avoid a collision. When a cut-out suddenly occurs, the ego vehicle might be required to take action to avoid a collision. To consider this scenario, the position of the vehicle in front of the leading vehicle is indicated as "+1" (Figure 45).

The neighboring positions in six directions around the ego vehicle that have a possibility of entering the driving trajectory of the ego vehicle, the left and right when entering from an intersection, and three oncoming directions, for a total of eleven directions, define the surrounding vehicles positions that must be considered in a scenario structure. Moreover, if the speed difference between the leading vehicle and the vehicle in front of it is significant, the leading vehicle may perform a lane change (cut-out*1: Figure 46) to avoid a collision. If there is a sudden lane change, the ego vehicle may need to take action to avoid a collision. To account for such a scenario, the position of the vehicle in front of the leading vehicle is considered and indicated as "+1" (Figure 45). An oncoming vehicle may also enter the lane of the ego vehicle by performing a lane change (\checkmark mark under cut-in*2: Figure 46).



Figure 45. Positions of surrounding vehicles

		Surrounding traffic participants behavior							
Sur	Vehicle location	Going straight		Lane change / swerving			Turning		
		Acceleration	Deceleration	Cut-in	Cut-out	swerving	Right turn	Left turn	U-turn
	1. Lead (L)		✓		✓*1	√	✓	√	√
ouno.	2. Following (F)	\checkmark			\checkmark				
ding	3. Parallel (Pr-f)		✓	\checkmark		√		\checkmark	\checkmark
traffi	4. Parallel (Pr-r)	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		\checkmark	\checkmark
ic particip	5. Parallel (Pl-f)		✓	\checkmark		√	✓		\checkmark
	6. Parallel (Pl-r)	\checkmark		\checkmark		✓	✓		\checkmark
ants	7. Cross (C-r)	\checkmark				√	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
location	8. Cross (C-I)	\checkmark				√	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	9. Opposite (O)	\checkmark	\checkmark	√ *2		√	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	10. Opposite (O-r)	\checkmark		√ *2		\checkmark	✓		\checkmark
	11. Opposite (O-I)	\checkmark		√ *2		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark

✓: have impact, blank: no impact

Figure 46. The combination of the surrounding vehicle positions and the motions that can potentially obstruct the ego vehicle

NOTE: In Ver 2.0, we placed other vehicles next to the ego vehicle; however, it has been eliminated. The reason for this is that the positions next to the ego vehicles would be covered depending on the initial positions of the vehicles in the front and rear (e.g., positions 3 and 4),.

The behaviors of the surrounding vehicles are classified into three categories: going straight (acceleration/deceleration), lane change (cut-in/cut-out) and swerving (e.g., behavior to avoid a stopped vehicle), and turning (right and left turn, U-turn). From a safety evaluation perspective, it is possible to minimize the number of evaluations by focusing on the behaviors of other traffic participants that have the potential to obstruct the behavior of the ego vehicle (Figure 46). For instance, the turning of the vehicle in position 2 does not interfere with the ego vehicle; thus, it can be excluded from the safety analysis. The check mark in the figure indicates cases where the corresponding combinations of the surrounding vehicle positions and motions can potentially impact the driving of the ego vehicle, which must be considered in the safety analysis.

4.1.1.4. Resulting traffic disturbance scenarios

As a result of the systematization process discussed thus far, a methodology for structuring scenarios as a combination of the road geometry, the behavior of the ego vehicle, and the position and motion of the surrounding vehicles is proposed herein. This structure consists of a matrix that contains 58 possible combinations in total (Figure 47). When limited to motorways as an example, there are three categories for the road geometry: "straight roads," "merging zones," and "branching zones;" two categories for the ego-vehicle behavior: "going straight" and "lane change;" and two categories each (total four) for the positions and motions of the surrounding vehicles: "going straight (acceleration/deceleration)" and "lane change (cut-in/cut-out)." The motorway scenarios consist of a matrix with 24 possible combinations that could occur in a real traffic flow (Figure 48). Based on the similar accident categories, the sufficiency of these 58 cases, which cover all the dangerous cases that can lead to an accident, can be evaluated (Annex D). This matrix deals with comprehensive coverage of traffic disturbances resulting from interactions between two vehicles.

The scenarios described here as traffic disturbance scenarios (Figures 47 and 48) are representative and must be able to consider a combination of the surrounding vehicle positions and behaviors that could obstruct the ego vehicle (Figure 46). For example, Figure 49 presents the results of a scenario developed for Figure 48 (Nos. 5,
6, 7, and 8) where the road geometry consists of a single road, the ego vehicle behavior involves a lane change, and the motion of surrounding vehicles involves going straight and performing a lane change. To elaborate on No. 5, when the ego vehicle makes a lane change, cases where the surrounding vehicle is in front, situations in which the surrounding vehicle is in the front, the rear, or the side (i.e., the vehicle in the front or rear is beside the ego vehicle) must be considered. The routes that could lead to obstructions will differ when the number of lanes is different, even if the positions of the nearby vehicles remain the same. As a result, it is important to consider the positions of the surrounding vehicles and the number of lanes, as well as identify combinations of behaviors that could obstruct the ego vehicle.

	Subject vehicle									Surrounding tra	ffic pa	articipants locatio	n an	nd behaviour					
	Surrounding vehicle (+1)	Subject-vehicle			G	Going straight				L	ane o	hange / Swerving	g				Turning		
	Road sector	behavior	S	ame / Crossed(f	rom I	R/L) direction		On coming	9	Same / Crossed(f	rom I	R/L) direction		On coming		Same / Crossed(f	rom R/L) direction		On coming
	non-	Going straight (Lane keep)	No1	$ \begin{array}{c} a_c \\ \hline \\ d_{x0} \\ \hline \\ d_{x0} \end{array} $	No2		No3	$\qquad \qquad $	No4		No5	a_{cx}	No6	$\begin{array}{c} d_{SWy} \\ d_{SWy} \\ d_{y0} \\ d_{x0} \end{array}$	No7			No8	
Javiour	intersection	Lane change	No9		No10		No11		No12	$2 \underbrace{\frac{d_{y0}}{d_{x0}}}_{d_{x0}} \underbrace{\frac{v_{cy}}{d_{cy}}}_{d_{x0}}$	No13		No14	$\begin{array}{c} & d_{SWy} \\ d_{y0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \end{array}$	No1!			No16	
e behaviou	Morgo zopo	Going straight (Lane keep)	No17	$ \begin{array}{c} a_{c} \\ a_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \end{array} $	No18	a_{c}	No19		No20	d_{y_0}	No21	a_{cf}	No22	$\begin{array}{c} d_{SWy} \\ d_{SWy} \\ d_{y0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{z} \\ $					
ect-vehicle b	Merge zone	Lane change	No23		No24		No25		No26	v_{cy} d_{y0}	No27		No28	$\begin{array}{c} d_{SWy} \\ d_{y0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{zc} \end{array}$					
r and subj	Pranch zono	Going straight (Lane keep)	No29	a_{t}	No30		No31		No32		No33	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$	No34	$\begin{array}{c} d_{SWy} \\ d_{SWy} \\ d_{y0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{$					
oad secto	branch zone	Lane change	No35		No36		No37		No38	d_{y_0}	No39		No40	$\begin{array}{c} d_{SWx} \\ f_{dSWy} \\ f_{dy0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x0} \\ d_{x} \\ d_{x}$					
L R	Intersection	Going straight (Lane keep)	No41		No42		No43	$\begin{array}{c} d_{y_0} a_c \\ \vdots \\ d_{x_0} \end{array}$	No44		No45	d_{SWy} d_{r0} d_{r0}	No46	$ \begin{array}{c} $	No4		No48	No49	
	THE SECTOR	Turning	No50		No51		No52		No53	$\begin{array}{c} d_{y0} \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	No54		No55	$d_{SWy} = \frac{d_{SWy}}{d_{SWy}}$	No5		No57	No58	

Figure 47. Traffic disturbance scenarios for general vehicles

: Ego	Side : Follow : Lead1 : Lead2		Surrounding Traffic Participants' Position and Behavior										
	Road geometry	Ego-vehicle behavior	Cut in	Cut out	Acceleration	Deceleration (Stop)							
ior	Main	Lane keep											
cle behav	roadway	Lane change		No dy dx_ yy	No.7								
Ego-vehi	Maura	Lane keep											
etry and		Lane change		No di Asia Vy Gx	No. 1.5	Nont Gran							
ad Geom	Pranch	Lane keep											
Branch		Lane change	No.21	No.22	No.23								

Figure 48. Traffic disturbance scenarios for general vehicles on motorways

Ego : 📖 Other: 👘	Maiı 2 la	n road 1st lane nes 2nd lane		Main road 2 Ind Iane 3 Ianes 3 rd Iane					
	Forward	Parallel running	Rear	Forward	Parallel running	Rear			
No.5 LC in the opposite direction	2 ^{no} Tane	1 st lane 🛄 🔀 —	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety	1 Tane	1 st lane	1 st lane			
No.6 LC in the same direction	1 ane 2 nd Tane		1 st 1ane	I st lane	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety			
No.7 Acceleration	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety	1 st lane () 2 nd lane ()	1 st lane	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety			
No.8 Deceleration	*2 pattern	No trajectory intersects, it doesn't affect any safety							

Figure 49. Scenarios with various combinations of positions of the surrounding vehicles and behaviors that could obstruct the ego vehicle

4.1.2. Scenarios unique to motorcycles

In general, the categories of aforementioned positions and motions of surrounding vehicles (Figure 44) are applied to both four-wheeled vehicles and motorcycles. However, there are situations where motorcycles may drive in the narrow space in the same lane as the ego vehicle, which requires additional safety evaluation scenarios. Because these scenarios only have the potential to occur in countries where such driving is legally allowed, an approach including detailed examples is shown in Annex B.

4.1.3. Scenarios resulting from the combination of behaviours by several vehicles

The proposed traffic disturbance scenario structure covers the relationship between the ego vehicle and one or two surrounding vehicles. However, in real traffic, multiple traffic participants take diverse actions at various moments. The current methodology covers these complex cases by extracting scenarios where the sudden motions by surrounding vehicles trigger the sequence of avoidance motions. By dividing these scenario types into a sequence of behaviours, multiple combinations of the positions and motions of the ego vehicle and the surrounding vehicles can be covered by safety analysis. Moreover, this can be realized by considering the influence of the road environment on the cut-in scenario by other vehicles that can potentially appear in this sequence. For instance, when the leading vehicle performs sudden deceleration (the first behaviour of the sequence), the avoidance motion by the ego vehicle occurs (the second behaviour) and the ego vehicle retreats into the surrounding avoidance area. The detail of the approach to the complex scenarios that include detailed examples is included in Annex C.

4.2. Perception disturbance scenarios

Perception disturbance scenarios include blind spot scenarios and connectivity disturbance scenarios, in addition to perception disturbances (Figure 50).



Figure 50. Categories of perception disturbance scenarios

4.2.1. Perception disturbance scenarios

Perception disturbance refers to a negative effect on perception performance during a situation in which the automatic driving system detects objects. The perception disturbance scenario is generated by disturbance-triggering factors and based on the principle of the sensors where disturbance occurs. While the factors of disturbances are diverse, it is possible to select the scenario group that contains the perception disturbance overall by classifying the factors based on the generation principle and then selecting a representative factor among those in the same category. Moreover, by considering the necessary combinations based on the generation principle of each disturbance factor, it is possible to create a perception disturbance combination evaluation scenario. In this study, the disturbance scenarios of three types of sensors, namely, millimetre wave radar, LiDAR and camera (Figure 51).



Figure 51. Scenario derivation process based on perception disturbance factors and sensor principle

4.2.1.1. Perception disturbance factors

The factors of perception disturbance can be broadly classified into "vehicle/sensor," "surrounding environment" and "perception target" in relation to the ego vehicle, which are then broken down and comprehensively classified at each layer to compose the perception disturbance factors system. Here, e.g., a factor is broken down from the perspectives of structure, relative position and types, and continues to be categorized to layers such as colour, shape, material and behaviour.



Figure 52. Broad categories of perception disturbance factors according to the positional relationship with the ego vehicle



Figure 53. System diagram of perception disturbance factors

4.2.1.1.1. Perception Disturbance Factors: Vehicle/Sensor

The perception factors classified into "vehicle/sensor" are divided into three categories according to the positions of these factors, namely, "a. ego vehicle", "b. sensor" and "c. in front of the sensor".



Figure 54. Vehicle/sensor categories

Tables 3–5 show the details of the perception disturbance factors categorized into a, b and c. These tables describe the detailed categorization, impact on the perception performance, and the generation principle of perception disturbance of the perception disturbance factors for each sensor.



Car, Sensor

	а	Ego car		
		Millimeter waves	LiDAR	Camera
able	Class.		Change of car posture	
nce on sensor princ	Influence	 Decrease in positioning accuracy which caused by the difference between actual attached position and assumed position that memorized in its sensor. Changing FOV by changing center axis of Radar. Misrecognition of road surface as obstacle. 	 Misrecognition of road surface as obstacle. note: LiDAR is assumed to be attached to rigid body, so FOV is influenced by posture changing. 	 Misrecognition of road surface as obstacle. Image recognition ability degradation caused by vertical moving of its sensor and ego car.
Influe	Principle	• Undesired signal increasing • Low S/N • Slight shift of axes	• Vehicle posture	• Image shake/flow



		b Sensor]				
		Millime	ter waves	LiD	AR		Camera
	Class.	Variation in assembly	Failure of sensor itself	Variation in assembly	Failure of sensor itself	Variation in assembly	Failure of sensor itself
ence on sensor principle	Influence	 Blind spot. Decrease in azimuth estimation accuracy which caused by interference direct wave with internal reflected wave. Decrease in positioning accuracy, which caused by misalignment. 	 Decrease in maximum detectable range which caused by receive intensity decreasing. Change in signal phase and frequency due to change in sensor character. 	 Blind spot. Misalignment in optical axis and attached position. 	 Decrease in input and output intensity, which caused by aging degradation. 	 Blind spot. Image recognition ability degradation caused by misalignment. 	 Position shift and Color shift in image. Image recognition ability degradation caused by lens distortion. Partially information loss due to defective pixels. Thermal noise and sensitivity variations depend on temperature.
Influ	Principle	 Phase changing Undesired signal increasing Low S/N Slight shift of axes 	 Phase changing Frequency changing Low S/N 	 No signal (partial) 	Signal lowering	No signal (partial)	 Signal changing Refraction No signal (partial) S/N lowering

 Table 5. "c. In front of sensor" disturbance factors

	C Surface in front of the	sensor			•	
П	Millimeter waves	Lil	DAR		Camera	
Clas	S in Sticking objects Changes in characteristics	Sticking objects	Changes in characteristics	Sticking objects	Changes in characteristics	Reflection on windshield
uence on sensor principle	Decrease in maximum detectable range due to decrease in reception intensity. Degradation of azimuth estimation accuracy due to interference between reflected wave and direct wave on sticking objects. Decrease in maximum - Decrease in reception intensity. Degradation of azimuth - Degradation of azimuth - Degradation of azimuth - estimation accuracy due to interference between reflected wave and direct wave to changes in sensor front characteristics. - Decrease in maximum - Degradation of azimuth - Degradation of azimuth - Degradation of azimuth - Station accuracy due - Degradation of azimuth - Station accuracy due - Other accuracy due - Degradation of azimuth - Station accuracy due - Other ac	 maximum detectable range decreases due to received signal strength reducing. Signal saturation by detecting contamination. Angle shift due to contamination (oil film, etc.) on the sensor. 	 Decrease in received signal strength due to decrease in transmittance. Signal saturation by detecting cloudiness on surface in front of sensor. Angle shift due to distortion on the surface in front of sensor. 	 Image recognition ability degradation due to lack of an image by objects sticking to windshield. Image recognition ability degradation due to raindrops and like become noise. A distant vehicle overlaps with the raindrops, reducing the maximum detectable range. 	 Position and color on image are shifted more than design error. Image recognition ability degradation due to the distortion of windshield. 	 False recognition of reflection.
- Tu	Phase changing Undesired signal increasing Low S/N SN	 Signal intensity lowering ~ No signal Undesired signal increasing Refraction 	 Signal intensity lowering ~ No signal Undesired signal increasing Refraction 	• No signal (partial) • Low S/N	Signal changing Refraction	Low S/N

4.2.1.1.2. Perception disturbance factors: Surrounding environment

The perception factors classified into "surrounding environment" are divided into three categories according to the characters of the objects existing around the ego vehicle, namely, "d. surrounding structure", "e. space" and "f. surrounding moving objects". "d. Surrounding structure" is further divided

into the following three categories: "d-1. road surface", "d-2. structure by the road" and "d-3. structure above the road".



Figure 55. Surrounding environment categories

Tables 6–8 show detailed categorization, impact on the perception performance, and the generation principle of perception disturbance of the perception disturbance factors classified into d-1, d-2, d-3, e and f.

Table 6. "d-1. Road surface" disturbance factors

_		d-1 Road S	Surface						Antonio Martino	
			Millimeter waves			LiDAR			Camera	
	Class.	Shape	Road condition	Material	Shape	Road condition	Material	Shape	Road condition	Material
	Influence on sensor principle Influence	 Vehicles in front is out of FOV due to the slope Vehicles in front disappear/appear due to the slope Sloped road surface is recognized as static object in front 	 Changes in road surface reflection characteristics change road surface multipath and reduce signal strength Increase in clutter 	- Increase in clutter	 Vehicles far ahead is out of FOV due to the slope Vehicles far ahead disappear/appear due to the slope Sloped road surface is recognized as static object in front 	False points occur when the road surface has high reflection characteristics such as icy surface or puddles	Due to the difference in reflection characteristics, a part of the road surface is detected and incorrectly recognized as an obstacle	 Before going down, there is no visibility and no detection Shape change of the object before going up Change of tilt on image due to cant 	 Misrecognized reflection in puddles Misrecognition of road restorations and wheel tracks 	 Manholes are misrecognized as dropped objects The links with transverse direction are misrecognized as stop lines Road markings (arrows, speed) are misrecognized as white lines
	Principle	Low D/U Undesired signal increasing Low S/N	 Low D/U Undesired signal increasing 	Low D/U Undesired signal increasing	(Recognition)	Reflection	(Recognition)	No signal (partial) (Recognition)	Signal change Reflection Low S/N, LowD/U (Recognition)	·Low D/U ·Low S/N (Recognition)

Table 7. "d-2. Structures by the road" disturbance factors



	Overhead Object													
		Mil	limeter waves		LiC	AR	Camera							
	Cla ss.	Reflection	Screen	Background	Reflection	Screen	Background	Reflection	Screen	Background				
s on sensor principle	Influence	- Lack of vertical resolution capability	An object in front of the object obscures part of it		 Reflective items such as mirrors placed on the curb which have extremely high directivity, may cause detection of the object reflected in the mirror rather than the mirror itself. Misrecognition of the objects with high reflectivity above as vehicles 	An object in front of the object obscures part of it		Misrecognition of reflected objects	An object in front of a target obscures part of it	 The boundary between object and background is unclear Background is incorrectly recognized as target 				
Influeno	Principle	-Undesired signal increasing	-No signal (partial)		-Multiple reflection (Recognition)	No signal (partial)		-Reflection -Signal changing	-No signal (partial) -Signal changing	-Low D/U (Recognition)				

Table 8. "d-3. Structures above the road" disturbance factors





Influence on sensor principle

	Millimeter wav	es	Lidar	2		Camera
dass.	Spatial obstacles	Radio wave and light in space	Spatial obstacles	Radio wave and light in space	Spatial obstacles	Radio wave and light in space
Influence	 Due to weaker receiving signal, the max detection distance decreases Partial or complete loss of FOV due to fiying objects Misrecognition of flying object as target 	 Noise floor rising due to radio wave interference Misrecognition of interference signal as reflection from target 	Confirm impact of spatial obstacles caused by weather. Rain is an obstacle in the light path, therefore ranging performance will deteriorate due to reduced reflected light.	 Blocked up shadows due to west sun, backlight, etc. Noise increase due to increase in background light Pulse noise by LiDAR of other vehicles 	 Objects in short distance are lost because of spatial obstacles as noise. Target is hidden by obstacles Misrecognition of flying objects as vehicles or pedestrians Recognition rate drops due to lower contrast in case of rain or snow. 	In twilight or dusk environment, insufficient light causes recognition ability degradation. Blown out highlights occur when the light source is strong locally Blocked up shadows due to west sun, backlight, etc. Target color changes due to light source color Target contrast reduces due to light source (backlight) Flare and smear caused by strong light source (backlight)
Principle	-No signal (partial) -Low S/N -Undesired signal increasing	-Low D/U -Undesired signal increasing	-Signal intensity lowering -No signal by obstacle -Signal from other object by reflection or refraction	-Noise such as DC type -Noise such as Pulse type (Recognition)	-No signal (partial) -Signal changing -Low D/U -Low S/N -(Recognition)	Low signal intensity High signal intensity Signal intensity increasing Signal changing Low D/U Low S/N



Table 10. "f. Surrounding moving objects" disturbance factors

4.2.1.1.3. Perception Disturbance Factors: Perception Targets of Sensors

The perception disturbance factors categorized as "perception targets of sensors" are broadly classified into "g. route", "h. traffic information", "j. obstacles" and "k. moving object" (Figure 56).



Figure 56. Categories of perception targets of sensor

"g. Route" is classified into "g-1. lane maker", "g-2. structure with height" and road edge as per the object that indicates a given place is a driving route. Moreover, road edge is divided further into g-3 and g-4 depending on whether there is a level difference or not (Figure 57).



Figure 57. Categories of "g. route"

"h. Traffic information" is classified into "h-1. traffic light", "h-2. traffic sign" and "h-3. road marking" as per their display style (Figure 58).



Figure 58. Categories of "h. traffic information"

"j. Obstacle" is classified into "j-1. falling object", "j-2. animal" and "j-3. installed object" according to whether it moves or not and the degree of impact when colliding with the vehicle (Figure 59).



Figure 59. Categories of "j. obstacle"

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

"k. Moving objects" are classified into "k-1. other vehicles", "k-2. motorcycle", "k-3. bicycle" and "k-4. pedestrian" as per the type of traffic participant (Figure 60).



Figure 60. Categories of "k. moving objects"

Tables 11–14 show the detailed categorization, impact on the perception performance, and the generation principle of perception disturbance for the perception disturbance elements classified into g-1 to k-4, respectively.

	g-1 m	Lane arkers				Including Botts' Do	ts and Cat's-eye wi	nich can be crossed				
	Millimeter waves		LiD	DAR		Camera						
e		Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime/worn	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime/worn	Relative position(*)			
e on sensor princip	Tilluerice	Lack of contrast with surrounding pavements Unknown reflection intensity	•Unknown shapes (thickness, intervals, appearance, etc.)	• Hidden • Dirty / worn • false positive for deleted lines	 shift of positions due to ego-vehicle's movement 	 Lack of contrast with surrounding pavements Unknown brightness, chroma and color phase 	 Unknown shapes (thickness, intervals, appearance, etc.) 	•Hidden •Dirty / worn •false positive for deleted lines	•Image deletion during driving •Distortion			
Influence	LINODE	Recognition process	Recognition process	Low intensity No signal due to masking	Position change of FOV Recognition process	Low D/U (Recognition process)	(Recognition process)	No signal (partial) Low S/N	Blurred image (Recognition process)			

Table 11. "g-1. Lane marker" disturbance elements

		g-2 S	Structure height	with		crash barriers, poles, noise barriers, curbstones, trees, cat's-eyes, etc.							
			Millimete	er waves			LiD	AR			Сап	nera	
	e Class,	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position
	s on sensor prinapi Influence	•Lowering of reflection intensity depending on a material	·Lowering or increasing of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	•Lowering of reflection intensity	·Lowering of intensity around the edges of FOV	·Lowering or increasing of reflection intensity depending on a material	• Lowering or increasing of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	•Lowering of reflection intensity	•shift of positions due to ego- vehicle's movement	Lack of contrast with the background Poor perception due to pictures or patterns on the walls	Poor perception due to unknown shapes	False positive for grime or patterns as targets	• Image deletion during driving • Distortion
, ,	Principle	Low S/N	Aliasing Harmonic Large difference of intensity Low D/U Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S intensity High S intensity	Low S intensity High S intensity	Low S intensity	Position change of FOV Recognition process	Low D/U (Recognition process)	Low S/N (Recognition process)	Low S/N	Blurred image (Recognition process)

Table 12. "g-2. Structure (with height)" disturbance elements

Table 13. "g-3. Road edge without level difference" disturbance elements

_		g-3 w	Road edge ithout a s	es tep			E	Break of a road	l, etc.					
			Millimet	er waves			LiD	DAR			Can	nera		
[dass.	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	
	Influence	•Lowering of reflection intensity depending on a material	•Lowering of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	•Lowering of reflection intensity	•Lowering of intensity around the edges of FOV	• False positive for road surface with difference of reflection intensity as road edges	·Lowering of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	Poor perception due to masking by accumulated snow and fallen leaves	•shift of positions due to ego- vehicle's movement	False positive for road surface with a different color as road edges	•Unknown road shape out of the lane	 Poor perception due to masking by accumulated snow and fallen leaves 	•Image deletion during driving •Distortion	
	Principle	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Recognition process	Low S	Low S No signals (partial)	Position change of FOV Recognition process	Low D/U (Recognition process)	Low S/N (Recognition process)	No signals (partial)	Blurred image (Recognition process)	

Table 14. "g-4. Road edge with a step" disturbance elements

Road edges

		V	vith a step	כ			0	Gutters, etc.					
			Millimete	er waves		LiDAR				Camera			
ole	Class.	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position
ce on sensor princip	Influence	Lowering of reflection intensity depending on a material	•Lowering of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	Lowering of reflection intensity	 Lowering of intensity around the edges of FOV 	•Lowering of reflection intensity depending on a material	•Lowering or increasing of reflection intensity depending on shape, size and direction	•Lowering of reflection intensity	 shift of positions due to ego- vehicle's movement 	 Lack of contrast at the road edge area 	 poor detection due to too small gutter width Unknown road shapes out of the lane 	Poor perception due to masking by accumulated snow and fallen leaves	Image deletion during driving Distortion
Influen	Principle	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S	Low S High S	Low S	Position change of FOV Recognition process	Low D/U (Recognition process)	Low D/U (Recognition process)	No signals (partial)	Blurred image (Recognition process)



Table 15. "h-1. Traffic lights" disturbance elements

Table 16. "h-2. Traffic sign" disturbance elements





and the second of the

		h-3 Road ma	arking				
		Millimeter waves	Lidar		Can	nera	
e	dass.			Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position
e on sensor principl	Influence			 Recognition failure due to insufficient contrast with the surrounding road surface Detection / recognition failure due to unexpected brightness / coloring / saturation / hue 	•Detection / recognition failure due to unexpected shape of lane (Unknown display, width, space)	•Obstacle objects cannot be imaged and are not recognized •Misrecognition due to faintness or dirt •Misrecognition of erased lane	•Recognition failure due to image flow
Influeno	Principle			Low D/U (Recognition process)	(Recognition process)	No signal (partial) Low S/N	Blurred Image No signal (partial) (Recognition process)

Table 18. "j-1. Falling object" disturbance elements

j-1	Fallen	objects
-----	--------	---------

	Π		Millimeter waves			LiDAR		Camera			
Γ	Class.	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion	
on sensor principle	Influence	Range lowering by low reception intensity False perception by dispersion of reception intensity	-Reflection intensity lowering depending on shape/size/direction	-Signal intensity lowering around edge of FOV -False perception by Moving or Rolling object	Reception intensity lowering or increasing depend on material	Reflection intensity lowering depending on direction/structure/size	-Position shifting due to vehicle moving	Lowering of contrast by similar background color Recognition ability degradation by mirror and luminous -Large difference in brightness	Limitation of image information by FOV Recognition ability degradation depending on shape/size	Image blurred or shift by object moving Big moving on closed object -Limitation of image information by FOV	
Influence	Principle	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering Signal saturation Reflection Multi reflection	Signal intensity lowering	Position shift of all space Position shift of target (Recognition)	Low D/U Reflection Flicker Large difference of signal intensity	No signal (partial) (Recognition)	Blurred Image No signal (partial) (Recognition)	

Table 19. "j-2. Animal" disturbance elements



_	_									
			Millimeter waves			Lidar			Camera	
	dass.	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion	Color / Material (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Relative position / Motion
nce on sensor principle	Influence	_	-Lowering of reflection intensity depending on physical build and posture	-Signal intensity lowering around edge of FOV -False perception by moving animal	-Lowering of reception by low reflectance	Lowering of reflection by change of reflection area depending on animal type direction, size and posture	Position shifting due to own vehicle or target object moving	Recognition failure by low contrast because of similar color of background -Flicker by object lighting -Large difference in brightness	Limitation of image information by FOV -Recognition ability degradation depending on shape/size	Blur caused by high- speed crossing Recognition ability degradation by collective action
Influe	Principle	_	Low S/N	Low S/N (Perception)	Signal intensity lowering Reflection Multi reflection	Signal intensity lowering	Position shift of all space Position shift of target (Recognition)	Low D/U Reflection Flicker Large difference of signal intensity	No signal (partial) (Recognition)	Blurred Image No signal (partial) (Recognition)

Table 20. "j-3. Installation object" disturbance elements

	j -3	Insta	llation obj	ect				*** 🖥				
\Box		Millimete	er waves			LiC	DAR			Ca	amera	
Π	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position	Colors/materials	Shapes	Grime	Relative position
Influence on sensor principle	- Reflection intensity lowering depending on material	-Reflection intensity lowering or increasing depending on direction/structure /size	-Reflection intensity lowering depending on stains	-Signal intensity lowering around edge of FOV	-Reflection intensity lowering or increasing depending on material	Reflection intensity lowering or increasing depending on direction/structure /size	-Reflection intensity lowering depending on stains	Position shifting due to vehicle moving	 Lowering of contrast by similar background color Recognition ability degradation by mirror and luminous Large difference in brightness between luminous and basement Detection / recognition failure racognition failure due to unexpected brightness / coloring / saturation / hue 	Limitation of image information by FOV Recognition ability degradation depending on shape/size	Misrecognition due to lack of image or image interference with stains	- Image blurred or shift by object moving - Recognition failure due to shape change with orientation
	Low S/N	folding Harmonic Large difference of intensity Low D/U Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering Signal intensity saturation Reflection Multi reflection	Signal intensity lowering Signal intensity saturation	Signal intensity lowering	Misalignment of the entire space (Recognition)	Low D/U Reflection, flicker, Large difference of intensity (Recognition)	No signal (partial) (Recognition)	Low S/N	Blurred Image No signal (partial) (Recognition)

Table 21. "k-1. Other vehicles" disturbance elements

k-1	Other cars
-----	------------

Γ	Π		Milli	meter waves			LiC	AR		Camera				
	Class.	Color	Materials of parts (paints, surface)	Sticking objects	Shape / Size	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials of parts (paints, surface)	Sticking objects	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials of parts (paints, surface)	Motion	Sticking objects
nfli ience on sensor principle	Influence	_	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	 No detection from vehicle parts with low reflectance Large reflection from a large object 	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Reception lowering depending on reflection area and incidence angle	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Reflection lowering by sticking objects on the surface of objects	Recognition ability degradation by apathetic colors	 Recognition ability degradation for extra-large cars Degradation of range accuracy depending on the width of cars 	Recognition ability degradation depending on the reflection at paint False recognition by paint with mirror finish	Recognition ability degradation by high-speed approach to a line of vehicles Recognition ability degradation by sudden cut-in	Detection range degradation and object lost by lowering of light intensity Hidden rear lamp by sticking objects
	Principle	_	Low S/N	Low S/N	• Grating • Harmonic • Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Low D/U	(Recognition)	 Reflection Signal changing 	Blurred Image	 No signal (partial) Low S/N

Table 22. "k-2. Motorcycle" disturbance elements



Γ			Milli	meter waves			LiDAR		Camera			
	dass.	Color	Materials	Sticking objects	Shape / Size	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials	Motion
ence on sensor principle	Influence	_	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity	No detection from vehicle parts with low reflectance	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Reception lowering depending on reflection area and incidence angle	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Recognition failure by low contrast with background with similar color Recognition ability degradation by similar colors with surroundings	 Misrecognition depending on the width and length Recognition ability degradation depending on the shape 	_	Recognition ability degradation depending on inclination and driving direction
Influ	Principle	_	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Low D/U	(Recognition)	_	Blurred Image

Table 23. "k-3. Bicycle" disturbance elements

k-3 Bicycles

Γ	П		Millir	neter waves			LiDAR		Camera			
	Class.	Color	Materials	Sticking objects	Shape / Size	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape / Size	Materials	Motion
luence on sensor princip	Influence	_	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	No detection from vehicle parts with low reflectance	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Reception lowering depending on reflection area and incidence angle	Reception lowering by low reflectance	 Recognition failure by low contrast with background with similar color Recognition ability degradation by apathetic colors with surroundings 	 Misrecognition depending on the width and length Recognition ability degradation depending on the shape 	_	Recognition ability degradation depending on inclination and driving direction
101	Principle	_	Low S/N	Low S/N	Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Low D/U	(Recognition)	_	Blurred Image

Table 24. "k-4. Pedestrian" disturbance elements

k-4 Pedestrian

		Millimete	er waves		Lidar		Camera			
	Class.	Wearing material	Posture/shape/size	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape/size	material	Color (contrast ratio)	Shape/size	Motion	
uence on sensor principle	Influence	 Detection range lowering by reflectance lowering False perception by dispersion of reception intensity 	Reflection intensity lowering depending on body build and posture	Reception lowering by low reflectance	Reception lowering by change of reflection area depending on direction, size and posture	Reception lowering by low reflectance	 Recognition failure by contrast lowering with similar color of background Recognition ability degradation caused by apathetic colors 	Misrecognition of distance depending on the size of pedestrians • Small reflection and poor recognition for children • Poor recognition for pedestrians with the height of 2m and more	 Misrecognition depending on walking direction Misrecognition depending on walking speed 	
Infl	Principle	Low S/N	Low S/N	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Signal intensity lowering	Blurred Image	(Recognition)	Blurred Image	

4.2.1.2. Generation principle of sensor perception disturbance

The sensor can potentially experience perception disturbance when detecting objects because of the factors discussed in the preceding section. While the principle of perception disturbance generation is different for each sensor, they can be categorized as per the following common perspectives.

- The sensor disturbance principles are classified into "those occurring due to perception processing", "those occurring due to cognitive processing" and "others".
- The disturbances occurring because of perception processing are classified into those related to the signal from the perception target (S) and those that hinder the signals from the perception target (noise N, unnecessary signal U).
- · List the disturbances that can occur on signals individually related to S, N and U.

The examples of categories of generation principles of perception disturbances that could occur on each sensor based on these perspectives are as follows.

• Generation principle of perception disturbance of millimetre-wave radar.

The perception disturbances that occur on millimetre-wave radar includes those caused by the direction of the sensor, those occurring because of perception processing and those occurring because of cognitive processing (Figure 61).



Figure 61. Categories of perception disturbances for millimetre-wave radar

In particular, the physical quantities that characterize the signal S in perception processing of millimetrewave radar are the following three: frequency, phase and strength (Figure 62).

- Frequency: Problem with the signal frequency can be cited as a disturbance originating from the sensor itself.
- Phase: There are cases where the direction the signal is arriving from changes and cases where the amount of propagation delay changes, and the changes in signal arrival direction are attributed to reflection and refraction.

- Signal strength: The conceivable situations include partial signal loss, a signal that is too strong, a large difference in signal strengths, and the signal being too weak.

Furthermore, possible disturbances in regard to the noise N and the unnecessary signal S in perception processing include low S/N, low D/U (ratio of strength between the necessary signal D and unnecessary signal U) and increase of U.



Figure 62. Generation principle of disturbance in millimetre-wave radar perception processing

- Generation principle of LiDAR perception disturbance The physical quantities that characterize the signal S in perception processing of LiDAR are the scan timing, strength, propagation direction and velocity.
 - Scan timing: The time difference because of the movement of the ego vehicle leads to positional shifts in the overall space; moreover, the time difference caused by the movement of the perception target leads to its positional shift.
 - Strength: Phenomena include saturation, attenuation and shielding.
 - Propagation direction change: There are those caused by reflection and those caused by refraction.
 - Velocity: While it affects the arrival time of signals, there are no corresponding items in perception disturbance of LiDAR.

Furthermore, the noise N and unnecessary signal U include reflection and refraction from objects other than the perception target, in addition to DC noise, pulse-like noise and multiple reflections (Figure 63).



Figure 63. Generation principle of disturbance at perception of LiDAR

• Generation principle of perception disturbance at the camera The physical quantities that characterize the signal S in perception processing of the camera are the strength, direction/range signal change and acquisition time.

- Strength: There are cases where the signal is too weak, the signal is too strong, the difference in signal strength is large and the signal is partially lost.
- Direction/range: There are changes caused by refraction and changes caused by reflection.
- Changes in the signal S.
- Acquisition time: The possible cases of disturbances caused by blinking of the perception target and changes in relative positions include flickering and image blur/ deletion.

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Furthermore, the noise N and unnecessary signal U include low D/U and low S/N (Figure 64).



Figure 64. Generation principle of disturbance in camera perception

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Scenario selection through cross-checking of perception disturbance elements and generation principle

The relationship between the elements of perception disturbance at each sensor and the generation principles can be represented in the matrixes shown in Tables 25–27. These matrixes list the perception disturbance elements vertically and generation principles horizontally, which makes it possible to understand the elements (= line) that can potentially cause the generation principle (= column). The several disturbance elements that can be reported in the same column are generated by the same principle. However, from the perspective of a system safety evaluation, it is possible to select the elements whose degree of influence on the perception performance of each sensor and encounter probability in the market are high, as well as prioritize them as evaluation scenarios.

When there are several elements that have the equal priority, one or several elements are selected while taking the reproducibility of the evaluation environment of that scenario into account and evaluating the same. Moreover, when there are disturbance elements that do not match the given sensor among the items represented in the vertical axis because of the specifications of the ADS under evaluation (such as ODD and perception target), exclude them and select the representative scenario among the remaining elements.

Table 25. Perception disturbance elements and generation principle matrix of millimetre-wave radar

			Signal from perception target (S)							Perception process Signal from others								Recognition process Processing performance						S									
	Coursel Eactors of Descention Disturbances				Change	Phase of DOA	1		Stre High in	ength ntensity			Noise (N Low S/1	4) N				Low D/U		Undesire	ed signal (U)		Increasir	ng of U		Processing ability	(Output of reflected	ction point cloud of target)	Clustering (grouping of reflected points)	Tracking (tracking of target)	Classification (dettilication of target) number of	G of	
	Cebair recejuor i secure res					Reflection (indirect wave)	Refraction	Change of propagation delay	No signal (partial)	Aliasing	Harmonic	Large differnce of signal	Low S/N (change of angle)	Low S/N (attenuation at the sensor surface)	Low S/N ttenuation in space)	Low S/N (low (retroreflection)	Low D/U change of angle)	Low D/U Low D/ (road surface reflection) structure	U Low D/U (floating objects i space)	Low D/U (sensors on other cars)	Low D/U (sensors on ego cars)	Increasing of I (change of angle)	J Increasing of U (road surface reflection)	I Increasing of U (surrounding structures)	ncreasing of U (floating objects in space)	Increasing of U (sensors on other cars) ego cars)	U Lack of points to be processed Lack of calculating ability	False detection of undesired signal	No detection of required signal	Unexpected distribution of point cloud	Unexpected movements (between frames)	Unexpected objects	
Classification		Classific	cation of Causa	l Factors	Variable Parseogters Items	diffuse reflectance, shape, position	refraction range, misalignment, failure of sensor itself	propagation delay range, misalignment, failure of sensor	shape, position	retroreflection coefficient, target position, failure of sensor	retroreflection coefficient, target position, failure of sensor	retroreflection coefficicent(RCS), 3D shape, target combination,	change of angle, change of vehicle posture, road gradient,	transmittivity, atte range, spa failure of sensor itseld	enuation rate in ce	retroreflection cha coefficicent(RCS), cha 3D shape, target pos combination, gra	nge of angle, re nge of vehicle c ture, road d dient,	etroreflection retroreflectio coefficient, coefficient, tz siffuse reflectance position	in retroreflection arget coefficient, attenuation ra space	type of sensors of other vehicle, in position	on type of sensors, mounting position surrounding environment	change of angle, n, change of vehicle posture, road gradient,	retroreflection coefficien	retroreflection r coefficient, target c position	etroreflection oefficien	type of sensors on other vehicle, mounting positio position surrounding environment	n,						
Vehicle motion	E	ao vehicle	Change of vehic	e caused by vehicle situation (lack of tire pressure, etc.			risen		i Sei	icsen	reauve position	failure of centor O			feative position This	o					failum of cancor O						0	0	false positive, false negative	~	÷	8
Venice model	-	go remere	posture	caused by vehicle situation degradation of sensor surface	change of load distribution inside a car (a level of fault detection failure)		Δ	Δ					0	0			0 △					0						0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	+ +	← ←	8
Radar		Sensor	itself	degradation of sensor itself Lowering of electric perform	(a level of fault detection failure) (a level of fault detection failure)					۵ ۵			Δ									Δ						0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	← ←	← ←	6
Mounted place / status			Variation in assenbly	misalignment (within adjust misalignment (out of adjust	(failure of misalignment detection) (untill detection of misalignment)		0	0	•				 0				0					۵ 0						0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→	÷ +	5
	5			water x homogeneous water x SPOT (drop)			Δ	Δ						0														0	0	false negative false positive, false negative	→ 0·→	+ +	3
	Sense			ice x even ice x SPOT (ice grain)	-1		0	0						0 														0	0	false negative false positive, false negative	→ 0	÷	3
	Car /		Sticking objects	snow x SPOT (snow grain) dry_clay/dirt x even	5)		0	0						0						_								0	0	false positive, false negative	0 0		3
Front surface of the sensor	Front	surface of the sensor	2	dry_clay/dirt x SPOT wet_clay/dirt x even		-	Δ	Δ						۵ ٥						_								0	0	false positive, false negative false negative	0-→ +	+ +	3
				wet clay/dirt x SPOT car washing wax x even			Δ	Δ						۵ ٥														0	0	false positive, false negative false negative	0-→ +	+ +	3
				car washing wax x SPOT foreign materials (bug, drop	sticking of uneven bugs on the surface																							0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→ 0-→	÷	3
			Changes in characteristics	broken surface of the sensor proken surface of the sensor	uack, etc. strain (variability after aimine)	-	0	0						0						_	_							0	0	false positive, false negative	00 0	Ē	3
				snow (a few) snow (a lot / blizard)	lowering of visibility bad visibility										0				۵ ۵						۵ 0			0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	+ +	+ +	3
				snow (kicked up) rain (a few)	partially low visibility lowering of visibility										0				0						0			0	0 0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	+ +	← ←	3
				rain (a lot) rain (kicked up)	bad visibility partially low visibility										0				0						0			0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	← ←	+ +	3
Propagation of		6	Spatial obstacles	sand (a few) sand (a lot)	lowering of visibility bad visibility										 														0	false negative false negative		+ +	1
space		Space		fog (a little)	partially low visibility lowering of visibility had visibility										0 				0						0			0	0	false negative	+ +		1
				others bugs (floating)	floating of kinds of seeds swarming over										 0				0						0			0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	- -		3
			Radio wave and	direct x other vehicle diret x infrastructure	other vehicle → ego vehicle Orbis, etc.															0						0		0	0	false positive, false negative	-	+	2
		_	light in space	direct x nature diffracted wave x ego vehicle	the sun, etc. diffraction of other sensors on the ego vehicle																Δ					Δ		0	0	false positive, false negative	÷	~	2
			Shape	rising slope descending slope									000				0					0						0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	00	0 ↔ 0	3
				puddle	difference of reflectance + concave region								0				0	0				0	0					0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	00	00 0	2
			Road condition	fixed road rut	lineally, after fixing of convex region concave surface pararell to lane markers													<u>A</u>					 0					0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0++0	00	2
	ents	Road surface	e	accumulated snow asphalt	difference of reflectance + a lot bumps default, less bumps													0 A					0 A					0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→	0↔ 0↔	2
	ronm			concrete ballast	difference of reflectance, middle level of bumps difference of reflectance, a lot of bumps													0					0					0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→	0-→ 0-→	2
	g envi		Material	sand thin layer stone pavement	difference of reflectance, a lot of bumps difference of reflectance, less bumps difference of reflectance, a lot of bumps																							0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-+0 0-+0	00	2
	Sulpur			joint (metal)	difference of reflectance, SPOT difference of reflectance, SPOT													0					0					0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0++0	00	2
	Surro			joint (asphalt) crash barrier	difference of reflectance, SPOT	0				0	0							0					0	0			0	0	0 0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→ 0-→	↔0 ←	2
	al obje			building ridge rail		0				0	0							•						0			0	0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	↔0 ↔0	+ +	5
	ucture		Reflection	noise barrier rubber pole		0				0	0							0						0				0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0.0		5
	Str	Roadside object		rope board		Δ				0	0							۵ ۵						۵ 0				0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→ 0	← ←	2
				roadside trees low trees																								0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0-→	+ +	2
			Screen	grass building wall					0									Δ						Δ				0	0	false positive, false negative false negative false negative	+-0 + +	+ + +	1
				others bridge					0									0						0			0	0	0	false negative false positive, false negative	+ +-0	÷ ↔0	1
				tunnel building														0						0			0	0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0→	0 ↔ 0	2
		Overhead	Reflection	road signage board mirror														0						0				0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	0> 0>		2
		object		traffic light traffic light					0									0		_				ő				0	0	false positive, false negative false positive, false negative	00 0	00 +	2
Environment /			Screen	road signage board information board					0																				0	false negative false negative	← ←	+ +	1
Target	Surrou	unding moving objects	9 Reflection			٥				٥	٥							0						٥				0	0	false positive, false negative	←	+	5
		Structure	color, material shape	large reflection	large signal intensity					0	0	0				0												0	0	false negative false positive, false negative	0-→	+ +	2
		with height	dirt	small reflection	small signal intensity							۵ ۵				۵ ۵													0	false negative false negative	0→		2
	ane	Road edge	color, material Shape									0				0													0	false negative false negative	0→	+ +	2
		without step	dirt relative position									۵ 0				۵ ٥													0 0	false negative false negative	0> 0>	+ +	2
		Road edge	color, material Shape									0				0													0	false negative false negative	0	<u>+</u>	2
	e e	with step	relative position color, material									0				0													0	false negative false negative	0++0 ++0	E E	2
	s he lan	Fallen objects	Shape, size relative position	, motion								0				0													0	false negative false negative	↔0 ↔0	→ ↔0	2
	arget	Animals	Shape, size relative position	, motion								0				0													0	false negative false negative	0-→ 0-→	0 ↔0	2
	uction	Installation	Shape, size	large reflection	large signal intensity					0	0	0				0												0	0	false positive, false negative	0-→		3
	Obstr	objects	dirt relative position	and reneard	annan angli kiti si salat kita y							 0				 0													0 0	false negative	+-0 +-0	← ←	2
	~	Other	color, material Shane size	large reflection	large signal intensity					0	0	0				0												0	0	false negative false positive-false negative-O	0-→	0↔ 0↔	2
		vehicles	Sticking objects	small reflection	small signal intensity																								000	false negative false negative false penative	0-→ 0-→	←0 ←	2
	ects		color, material Shape, size	mount								0				0													00	false negative false negative	0→	÷ +	2
	(do Br	Motorbikes	Sticking objects relative position	motion								۵ ٥				۵ ٥													0	false negative false negative	0→	← ←0	2
	Movii	Bicycles	color, material Shape, size									0				0													0	false negative	0·-→ 0·-→	← ←0	2
			relative position color, material	, motion								0				0													0	false negative false negative false negative	0-+0 0-+0	← ←0 ←	2
		Pedestrians	Shape, size relative position	motion								0				0													00	false negative false negative	↔0 ↔0	0> 0>	2
			nu	mber of items		6	13	1	4 6	12	2 12	39	8	17	13	36	8	14	19	9	1	1	8 14	4 19	9	1	1	5 66	110	, 11	δ 118	118	

Small impact Medium impact Great impact 0

					Perception Error								Recognition Error											
						Signals from recognition target (S) Signal							Signals not from recognition target (N,U)											
						Scan	Timing		S strength		S propagat	ion direction	S Speed	N factor		U factor		Processin	g capability	Dete	ction	Clustering	Tracking	Classification
						- of	et f	S	ŝ				ŝ			e 1	et a	its		al)	s e	ed	(se	ч-
						ent e atia n	nt o of targ	of	1 of	e to on	u	uo	e of		e	fror targ on)	fror targ	of poir	ent ing tv	sign U	ect	ited ion sniz	ion or ame	t on o
						sitio	gnme ition tion	ation	atio	s du	lect	ract	ţį	oise	altipl	s not tion lecti	s not tion acti	her nber sing	ffici nput pabil	ects	o det ed s	xpec ibut eco.	xpec ognit havi	rnitic
						salig vera po	pos	itura	enu	lo S occ	Ref	Refi	ival	z	Mu	gnals ogni (refl	gnals ogni (refr	Insu nun cest	con	Inco deti desi	ls to esire	Jne: f po ng r	Jne) bel	Jne: ta
						Ξ °	M Der	Sa	Att	2			An			Si	Sil	bro.	-	ún)	Eail (d	pei o	(bet	_ ē
		Erro	vehicle	Change of	due to vehicle condition (semi-permanent)															0	0	misdetected/undetected	←	←
		Lgo	venicie	vehicle pose	due to vehicle condition (temporary)															0	0	misdetected/undetected	←•0	-
				Variation of	axial deviation (inside adjustment range)				0	0								-		0	0	misdetected/undetected	→ →	→ ←
		Se	ensor	Failura of	degradation of sensor surface				Õ	0					>					Ŭ	Ő	undetected	←	←
	liso			sensor itself	degradation of sensor itself (electronic components)				Δ												0	undetected	←	<i>←</i>
	- Se				degradation of electrical performance due to external noise	9								Δ		0				0	0	misdetected/undetected	← ←	← ←
					ice				Ő	Ő		Ő				Ő	Ő				ŏ	undetected	←	<i>←</i>
	ē			Sticking objects	snow				0	0						0		-			0	undetected	←	<i>←</i>
	5	of the	e in front e sensor		mud / dust car wash wax					0		Ø				0	0				0	undetected		→ →
					foreign matter(insects, bird droppings)x SPOT				Ø	O		Ŭ				O					ŏ	undetected	←	←
				changes in	sensor surface damage (cracks)				©	Δ						0					0	undetected	<u>→</u>	
				characeristics	uphill							U					0				0	undetected	4	→ →
				Shape	downhill																	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>					road cant																	0	←	
erre					frozen road						?				?					0		misdetected	→ ←	
tion	1			Road condition	traces of road repair																	0	←	←
ingc	60	,			rut																	0	← ←	← ←
rec	ects		Road		asphalt																	0		
of	obj	3	Surface		concrete																	0	←	-
rsea	tura				gravel																	0	← ←	← ←
Ca	truc	5		Material	thin layer pavement																	Ö	←	- -
	S ent)			cobblestone road																	0	-	
					manhole road joint (metal joint)																	0	→ →	→ →
					road joint (asphalt type joint)																	Ő	←	←
^L	"		Roadside	Reflection	curve mirror						O				O					0	0	misdetected	→	<u>←</u>
		C	Overhead	Reflection	curve mirror					0	0				O	0				0	0	misdetected		
			objects	Occlusion						0						O					0	undetected	\leftarrow	←
					snow				0	0						0				0	0	misdetected/undetected	← ←	<u> </u>
				Spatial abatagles	sand				0	Ő						Ő				0	0	misdetected/undetected		
				Spatial obstacles	fog				O											0	0	misdetected/undetected	←	←
		Sp	pace		others / floating in space				0											0	0	misdetected/undetected	→ →	→ ←
				Padiowave and	direct wave x other vehicle					1				O		- 1				Ő	Ő	misdetected/undetected	←	←
				light in space	direct wave x infra-structure									0						0	0	misdetected/undetected	<i>←</i>	
		Other	r moving	Reflection	direct wave x nature world						0			0	O					0	0	misdetected/undetected		
			0	Color / Materials																	0	undetected	\rightarrow	←
		Li	ines	Shapes Crime / Thin anot						^											0	undetected	← ←	<u> </u>
				Relative position		0															0	undetected	→ →	
				Color / Materials					0												0	undetected	-	<i>←</i>
	Sti	ructur with	ral objects height	Shapes Grime					0												0	undetected	→ →	→ →
	gck			Relative position		0															ŏ	undetected · O	←	
				Color / Materials																	0	undetected	→	
	es		step	Grime					0	0											0	undetected	→	 ←
	edg	ř		Relative position		0			-												0	undetected	←	-
	Road			Color / Materials				^	0												0	undetected	→ →	← ←
	"	w	with a step	Grime					Ő												õ	undetected	←	←
				Relative position		0															0	undetected O	←	-
ts	ane	Fallen	n obiect	Color / Materials Shape / Size					0						Δ					0	0	misdetected/undetected		→ →
arge	2		,	Relative position / Motion		0	0		Ŭ													0	÷	←
ont		An	aimale	Color / Materials					0												0	undetected	← ←	← ←
zniti	su	An	initiais	Relative position / Motion		0	0														0			 ←
300e				Color / Material				Δ	0		Δ				Δ					0	0	misdetected/undetected	→	-
r ar an ar a		empora oh	al installed	Shape / Size Grime				Δ	0												0	undetected	→ →	← ←
	_ د	0.0		Relative position		0			Ŭ												Ŭ	0	←	
				Color / Materials					0			-			0					0	0	misdetected/undetected	← (← 6
	0	Other	vehicles	Sticking objects					Ø												0	undetected		
				Relative position		0	0		_												-	0	←	-
	31			Color / Materials Shape / Size					0		Δ				Δ					0	0	misdetected/undetected	→ →	← ←
	Dec	Moto	or bikes	Sticking objects					0												0	undetected	÷	
	8			Relative position		0	0		6											<u> </u>	^	0	+	-
				Color / Materials Shape / Size					0						Δ					0	0	undetected	→ ←	← ←
	-	Bic	cycles	Sticking objects					Õ												ŏ	undetected	←	←
		_		Relative position		0	0	^							^					0	0	O misdetected (undetected	← ←	
		Pede	estrians	Shape / Size					0											0	0	undetected		— —
				Relative position		0	0				1											0	<i>←</i>	→

Table 26. Perception disturbance elements and generation principle matrix of LiDAR

Small impact△Medium impactOGreat impact◎



Table 27. Perception disturbance elements and generation principle matrix of the camera (element: vehicle/sensor, surrounding environment)

1 00	sition error	Track	ine error	Trac	king Veloci	N CERT		Od	iers	Number	of ap	plical	ole ite	ms	Medium Loran et
later	al position,	Teaching	Tracking to		1000	, cator									Large et
dinal	position, or on error	lost	another object	Directi	on error	Magnitu	ide error			Percept	ion	Ro	cognit	ion	
al xa	Longitudinal position	1		False detection (getting close)	False detection (drawing away)	Negative error of relative speed	Positive error of relative speed			Target	Out of target	false negative	false positive	osition or velocity error	
	Δ	-	-	-	-	0	0				-	0	0	3	
	_	0	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	0	1	
		0	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	0	5	
_			0	0	0	0	0			-		0	0	5	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· ·	•	0	0	2	
		-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	0	0	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	0	1	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					0	0	1	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	0	0	1	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	1	0	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0	
	_			_	_							0		0	
											Ċ		-		
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1		1	0	0	
										<u> </u>	\vdash	-	Н	Η	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	·	1	٥	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u>ا</u>	Ľ	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	Ë	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	1	0	0	
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	Ŀ	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	Ŀ	1	0	0	
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1 -	1.	1	0	0	
	-		-	-	-	-	-					1	0	0	
-														_	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	Ľ	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	ŀ	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0	
		-								<u> </u>	Ľ	0	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	1	0	0	0	
-	Δ	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	÷	0	0	2	
										-	Ľ	0	0	0	
										-	1	0	0	0	
											1	0	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	0	1	0	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	1	0	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	0	1	1	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	1	1	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			-		0	-	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	٥	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			Ŀ	Ŀ	1	0	0	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	1	1	
	Δ	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	0	-	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	0	1	1	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	ŀ	0	1	1	
	-		-	-	-		-			-	F.	4	0	U	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· ·	-	0	1	1	
	-	-	-		-	-	-			27	3	0	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			27	3	0	000	0	
	_									<u> </u>	Ē				
	24			-	-					-		0	1	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			27	3	0	0	0	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-				<u> </u>	0	1	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0	
											Π			_	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	Ľ	1	0	U	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			·	-	1	۰	0	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			· .	•	0	1	1	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	F.	0		0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			27	3	0	0	0	
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	Ŀ	1	0	0	
	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	Ŀ	0	1	1	
		1	-	-	-	-	-			1	•	1	0	0	
	-	-			-	-	-			1	-	1	۰	0	
	-	-	-				-			<u> </u>		-			
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	1	0	0	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0	
	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1 1 0	0	0	
	- - - -	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1 1 0 1	0	0 0 1 0	
	- - - - -		- - - - -	-	-	-	-			-	-	1 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 1	
	- - - - - - - -		- - - - - - -	-	-	-	-			-		1 1 0 1 0	0	0 1 1 1 1	
	- - - - - - - - - -					-	-			1		1 0 1 0 1 1 0	0	0 1 1 1 0 1	
	- - - - - - - - - - - - -				-	-	-			1		1 0 1 0 1 1 1	0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	
	- - - - - - - - - -			-		-				1		1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0	0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 2	

effect △ m effect O effect 0



 Table 28. Perception disturbance elements and generation principle matrix of the camera (element: perception target – route/traffic information/obstacle)

False False False error error (getting (drawing away) speed - 1 0 - 1 0 · · 1 0 0 - - 1 0 0

Small effect △ Medium effect O Large effect 0



Table 29. Perception disturbance elements and generation principle matrix of the camera (element: perception target – moving object)

		r			Of	ters	Number of applicable items									
arget po	sition error	Track	ing error		Velocit	ty error				<u> </u>		_				
ze, later	al position.		Tracking to													
gitudina	position, or	t'racking lost	another	Directio	in error	Magnitu	de error			Percepti	on	Re	cognit	ion		
directi	on error		object													
														aroe		
				False	Fake	Newtine	Positive				ž.	3	8	it ye		
ateral	Longitudinal			detection	detection	error	error of			22	200	egar.	ositi	- do		
sition	position			(getting	(drawing	of relative	relative			E.	ut of	s.	age of	8		
				close)	away)	speed	speed				0	fa	å	100		
														ŝ.		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	•	1	0	0		
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	•	0	1			
														1		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	1	0	0		
														1		
										-				_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				•	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-		1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0		
														_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			.	-	1	0	0		
_		<u> </u>	-							⊢		-		_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	+	0	0		
		-	-		-	-				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ľ	3	3		
										1				i i		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	1	0	0		
										1				1		
										<u> </u>						
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			L :	1	Ľ	0	0		
														_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	0	0	1		
										1				1		
-										<u> </u>	\square	1		_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· ·	-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				•	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					i	0	0		
_		-	-		-	-							0	0		
										L	Ľ	Ľ	Ĺ	Ľ.		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	H	1	0	0		
														1		
-	-	-	-		-	-	-					1	0	0		
-	-	-	-		-	-	-				•	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-					÷	0	0		
_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-					÷	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-			· ·		·	0			
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	1	0	0		
														1		
-		-	-	-	-	-	-					1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	÷	i	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1		1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	1	0	0		
												-		_		
										Ι.						
										L '		Ľ		Ľ.		
										┣───		-	\vdash	-		
		-	-		-	-				Ι.		Ι.		0		
										1		Ľ.		3		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	0	0		
										1 -	11	1	11	1		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· ·	-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	<u> </u>	-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				É.	Ľ.	0	0		
-	-			-	-	-	-			-	F	١.	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				Ė	Ŀ	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	0	0		
-	-			-	-	-				<u> </u>	H	t'	-	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1 ·	-	1	0	0		
-		1	1							I	\square	1		_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	0	0	1		
										L		L_		_		
										1 -		Ι.	[.]	1.		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				1.1	1	Ľ	°	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	\vdash	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				1	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			<u> </u>	-	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			- i	H	t.	0	0		
										<u> </u>		Ĺ		1		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· ·	-	1	0	0		
										L		L		_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			· [-	1	0	0		
		i								-	-	0	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	H	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1 ·	1	1	0	0		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			1	-	0	0	1		
_										<u> </u>	\vdash	-	\vdash	_		
										1		Ι.				
-														- 12		

Small effect △ Medium effect ○ Large effect 0

The following are examples of scenarios selected as the perception disturbance representative scenarios of the millimetre-wave radar, LiDAR, as well as the camera by taking the degree of influence on the sensor perception performance and encounter probability as per the abovementioned conception (Figures 61, 62 and 63).

As an example, Fig. 61 shows one of the scenarios selected by the abovementioned conception. The scenario illustration should include the following elements: See ANNEX for details.

- \checkmark Outline explanation of the scenario
- ✓ Illustration of the recognition target, surrounding environment, own vehicle / sensor status in the scenario
- ✓ List of parameter items and ranges



Figure 65. Example of recognition disturbance evaluation scenario explanatory diagram

4.2.1.3. Evaluation of Perception Disturbance Combination

It is possible for multiple elements of perception disturbance to occur in one sensor at once. When these several elements strengthen the influence on the perception performance of each other, a perception performance evaluation that combines these elements becomes necessary. Whether the elements strengthen each other must be considered based on the generation principles of perception disturbance; the influence must be determined as per the principles among different columns in the matrixes from the preceding section. The principles that weaken or do not influence each other as per the result of verification are excluded from the combination evaluation (Figure 66).

Factors for Perception disturbances	Principles for perception Scenarios to be disturbances evaluated	e
Factor A	Principle ① Choosing a representativ	ie l
Factor B	Principle 1 - 🌨 🎜	
Factor C	Principle ①	Principles that strengthen
Factor D	Principle 2	the impact \Rightarrow Subject to combination evaluation
Factor E	Principle 2	Principles with no mutual
Factor F	Principle ③	impact⇒Not subject to combination evaluation
Factor G	Principle ③	

Figure 66. Perception disturbance generation principles that are the subjects of combination evaluation

4.2.1.4. Perception disturbance evaluation of automatic driving system equipped with several sensors

Commonly, ADS construct sensor fusion systems that combine several sensors. When evaluating the perception performance of the system as a whole, a unified scenario based on the sensor composition that gathers the evaluation scenarios of each individual sensor selected through the aforementioned process is used, and the system as a whole under each disturbance condition is evaluated.

4.2.2. Blind Spot Scenarios

The premise of the aforementioned (Chapter 3.1) traffic disturbance scenario structure is that the surrounding vehicles are detectable. However, in an actual traffic environment, certain surrounding vehicles or road components can sometime cover other surrounding vehicles (hereafter referred to as peripheral vehicles). Therefore, it is necessary to consider safety-related scenarios that include the peripheral vehicles in blind spots and integrate them into the safety analysis.

The blind spot scenarios are classified into three sub-categories, namely, the peripheral vehicles, the road structure and the road shape (Figure 67).





4.2.2.1 Blind spot scenarios caused by peripheral vehicles

Sixteen new position definitions were added to the eight surrounding vehicle positions to date defined to structure the blind spot scenarios caused by the peripheral vehicles (Figure 68). Beware that each peripheral vehicle can create blind spots that affect other peripheral vehicles, in additon to the vehicle immediately behind it. This is particularly true when the blind spot area and the positions of the vehicles inside that area change, e.g., when the ego vehicle and the surrounding vehicles are driving on a curve.

To elucidate this dynamic phenomenon, an additional figure and explanation are presented as follows. Figure 69 shows the process to explain the blind spots of peripheral vehicles derived as the combination of the ego vehicle, curvature of the roads in the same lane, and the peripheral vehicles. Similarly, Figure 70 and Figure 71 show the blind spots related to the peripheral vehicles at lateral or diagonal positions to the ego vehicle.



Figure 68. Vehicle positions applied to define the peripheral vehicles-related blind spot scenarios

Figure 67 shows the blind spot positions that are generated when the peripheral vehicle is at postion 1. In the figure, a picture of a truck is used to make it more understandable. The only blind spot position generated by the truck on a straight road is position 9. However, when both the ego vehicle and the truck pass the right curve, the position of the truck in relation to the ego vehicle changes and blind spots are generated at vehicle positions 6, 9, 13, 20 and 21. Similarly, for the left curve, the vehicles at positions 3, 9, 11, 15 and 16 could be hidden by the truck. Therefore, nine total blind spots positions (3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 20 and 21) are added, and they can potentially lead to risky operations. There are positions that are in inclusion relation among the nine blind spot positions. For instance, at the right curve, a lane change at blind spot position 20 is a movement toward blind spot position 13. Blind spot position 13 is closer to the ego vehicle than blind spot position 20; it is a more difficult condition that has a shorter amount of time for reaction. Thus, by performing a safety evalution on blind spot positions 15, 16 and 21 can be removed from the final list of blind spot positions. Therefore, the blind spot positions induced by the vehicle on the position 1 that are considered in the safety analysis in the end are reduced to five (3, 6, 9, 11 and 13). These five positions are summarized in the simplified rectangular diagram in Figure 69.



Figure 69. Blind spot positions generated by the peripheral vehicle at front position 1

Figure 70 shows every blind spot position generated by the truck on peripheral vehicle position 4. On straight roads, five blind spot positions (3, 5, 16, 17 and 18) can be extracted from the truck. When both the ego vehicle and the truck pass a right curve, the number of blind spots increases to 11 peripheral vehicle positions (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 16, 17, 18, 21 and 23). At a left curve, the vehicles at these three positions (16, 17 and 18) can become

hidden. In this case, a reduction in the blind spot positions to be considered in the safety analysis is performed, e.g. if the vehicle at position 6 changes lanes to the next one on its right, it moves to the same position as position 1. Thus, when performing a safety analysis, the vehicle at position 1 covers the operations of the vehicle at position 6 following the principle of the most diffiuclt scenario. The same theory can be applied to the vehicles changing lanes to the next one on the right from positions 21, 8 and 23. Deceleration by the vehicle at position 6 has a requirement such that the simultaneous lane change to the next one on the left by the ego vehicle and the vehicle at the position 1. Thus, the vehicle at position 6 can be replaced by the vehicle at position 1. Similarly, acceleration by the vehicle at location 8 is less important than the simultaneous lane change by the ego vehicle and vehicle 2. Furthermore, the cut-in scenarios by vehicles 16, 17 and 18 are excluded from the analysis because vehicle e4 is next to the ego vehicle, which prevents the ego vehicle from changing lanes. Therefore, the number of blind spot positions generated by the vehicle on postion 4 considered in the safety analysis in the end is reduced to four (1, 2, 3 and 5), and these are summarized in the simplified diagram on the right of Figure 70.



Figure 70. Blind spot positions generated by the peripheral vehicle at lateral position 4

Figure 71 shows every blind spot position generated by the truck on the peripheral vehicle position 3 that are diagonal to the ego vehicle. On a straight road, the truck can generate three blind spot positions (11, 15 and 16). When both the ego vehicle and the truck pass a right curve, the blind spots increase to nine peripheral vehicle positions (1, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 20 and 21). On a left curve, positions 15 and 16 become blind spots. As was with the preveious case shown in Figure 70, the cut-in scenarios by the vehicles at positions 6, 13, 20 and 21 can be replaced by more difficult scenarios of the vehicles on positions 9 and 11. Moreover, the deceleration scenarios of vehicles 6 and 13 can be replaced by the motions of simultaneous lane change to the left by the ego vehicle and vehicle 9. Lastly, the number of blind spot positions (1, 9, 11, 15 and 16). These are shown in the simplified rectanglar diagram on the right of Figure 72.



Figure 71. Blind spot positions generated by the peripheral vehicle at position 4

By applying the principles of analogy and symmetry to the three cases shown in Figures 67–69, all the positions considered in the safety analysis can be summarized in a single diagram (Figure 72).



Figure 72. Diagram of all the blind spot positions generated by the peripheral vehicles considered in the safety analysis

The possible blind spot-generating vehicle motions are classified into cut-in, cut-out, acceleration, deceleration and synchronization. The reduction in the number of combinations to be considered in the safety analysis is performed by focusing on the motions of blind spot vehicles that can potentially hinder the behaviour of the ego vehicle (Figure 73). For instance, all the deceleration operations of the vehicles that are in the blind spots behind the ego vehicle (2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 19, 23 and 24) are excluded because they do not pose a danger to the ego vehicle. Moreover, the synchronization between the ego vehicle and the blind spot vehicles does not pose a danger to the ego vehicle. The circles in the figure indicate the corresponding combinations of the positions of blind spot vehicles and their motions that can potentially hinder the ego vehicle; thus, it is necessary to consider these in the safety analysis.


Figure 73. Positions of blind spot vehicles (left) and the combinations of the positions of blind spot vehicles and the motions that can potentially hinder the ego vehicle (right)

Because of the systemization process discussed to date, a structure that contains all the blind spot scenarios that involve surrounding vehicles (road geometry, ego vehicle behaviour, blind spot vehicle motions and combinations of peripheral vehicle motions) has been defined. This structure comprises a matrix that contains 64 total possible combinations, of which 42 correspond with realizable scenarios in an actual traffic flow (Figure 74).

				E	Blind spo	t vehicle	e motion				[
Ego Surro vehicle veh	unding Blind spot icle vehicle	Cu	Cut-in Cut-out Acceleration		Decele	eration	Sy	nc			
Road	Ego-vehicle			Surrounding vehicle motion							
geometry	behaviour	Lane Keep	Lane Change	Lane Keep	Lane Change	Lane Keep	Lane Change	Lane Keep	Lane Change	L/K	L/C
Main road	Lane Keep	No. 1	No. 2	1	_	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5		1	1
Main Toad	Lane Change	-		No.8	No. 9	No. 10		No. 12	No. 13	Ĩ	Ţ
Merge	Lane Keep	No. 1 4	No. 15	-	-	, .	1.77771	1 	10-00	_	-
zone	Lane Change	-	No. 16	No. 17	No. 18	No. 19	No. 20	No. 21	No. 22	-	
Departure	Lane Keep	No. 23	No. 24	-			-	—	-	Ì	Ι
zone	Lane Change	-	No. 2.5	No. 26	No. 27	28		No. 30	No. 31 017 017 017 017 017 017 017 01	Į	-
Domo	Lane Keep	No.32	No. 33	-		I.	No. 34	-	No. 35	Н	-
Kamp	Lane Change	_	No. 36	No. 37	No. 38	No. 39		No. 41	No. 42	1	_

Figure 74. Perception disturbance scenarios related to blind spots generated by surrounding vehicles

4.2.2.2. Blind spot scenarios generated by road structures

The blind spot scenarios related to road structures are defined by considering the road structure positions and the relative motion patterns between the ego vehicle and blind spot vehicles. Generally, these blocking elements exist inside the road structures, and are classified into inner barriers and outer walls according to the types and positions of road structures (Figure 75).



Figure 75. Categories of blind spot scenarios generated by road structures

4.2.2.2.1. Blind spot scenarios generated by inner barriers

As shown in Figure 76, the vehicle behind the structure (vehicle 1) cannot be perceived when the ego vehicle is reaching toward of the structure; it can be regarded as a blind spot vehicle. The situation is the same when the ego vehicle is in front of the structure, and the blind spot vehicles are at the back (vehicle 3) and at the front (vehicle 4). The vehicle at the centre of the structure is not considered to affect the safety. This is because the vehicle next to the blind spot cannot reach the lane of the ego vehicle because of the structure. However, if the blind spot vehicle is diagonally positioned behind the ego vehicle (vehicle 2), there is a safety concern in case it appears immediately after the end of the structure.



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Figure 76. Definitions of blind spots related to inner barrier

Figure 77 summarizes, using a matrix, the blind spot recognition limit scenarios that are associated with inner barriers. The four blind spots mentioned above in the matrix (the ego vehicle is represented by the blue square and the blind spot vehicle is positioned in the dark grey area) are combined with the five possible operations that the vehicle in these blind spot areas can perform (cut-in, cut-out, acceleration, deceleration, and synchronization). The resulting matrix has 20 possible combinations, not all of which are safely related. In an inner barrier scenario, e.g., as the ego vehicle and the blind spot vehicle are in different lanes, this does not pose any danger. Furthermore, when the vehicles travel in parallel at the same speed with the inner barrier in between them, the ego vehicle and the blind spot vehicle cannot make contact with each other. Therefore, we can exclude all cut-out and synchronization scenarios. The safety analysis, therefore, incorporates a total of five inner barrier blind spot scenarios (marked with a circle in Figure 77).

Inner barrier		Blind spot ve	ehicle's mo	ovement	
related blind spot pattern	Cut-in	Cut-out	Accel.	Decel.	Sync.
				0	
2	0		0		
3	0				
۹	0				

Figure 77. Blind spot-related recognition limitation scenarios due to inner barriers

4.2.2.2.2. Blind spot scenario due to outer barriers

Road structures, such as outer barriers, can create blind spots on curves. Figure 78 demonstrates that the outer barrier may become a blind spot for the front and rear vehicles depending on the curve angle. A vehicle, therefore, located in either the front lane or the rear lane (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8) of the ego vehicle may become a blind spot vehicle.



Figure 78. Definition of blind spots related to position and outer barrier

Figure 79 shows the movement of a blind spot vehicle in a situation in which it might interfere with the ego vehicle. Blind spot vehicle movements comprise cut-in, cut-out, acceleration, deceleration, and synchronization movements. The target pattern is one in which a blind spot vehicle enters the lane of the ego vehicle. However, scenarios where vehicles do not approach each other or when safety concerns are not raised such as when both the ego vehicle and the blind spot vehicle are running parallel (Sync) to each other on either side of the barrier are not covered in this scenario.

Outer wall		Blind-spot	vehicle n	notion	
spot pattern	Cut-in	Cut-out	Accel.	Decel.	Sync
1		0		0	
2		0	0		
3(6)	0			0	
5 (8)	0		0		

Figure 79. Scenario in which blind spot-related recognition is limited due to partial barrier

4.2.2.3 Blind spot scenarios by road shape

Blind spot scenarios based on the road shape are defined as per the features of the road shape and the traffic patterns of the ego vehicle and the blind spot vehicle. Blind spots based on the shape of the road are created by height differences along the same road. We can characterize these particular road shapes as vertical curve and parallel slope shapes (Figure 80).



Figure 80. Blind spot scenario classifications based on road shape

4.2.2.3.1 Vertical curve scenario

The blind spot areas may occur in the front or rear when the road shape is that of a vertical curve (Fig.81). The vertical road gradient shortens the viewing distance of the vehicle. A potentially dangerous traffic pattern is created by the combination of the position and movement of surrounding vehicles (1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8) and the movement of the ego vehicle itself.



Figure 81. Cognitive dysfunction related to blind spots caused by vertical curve

4.2.2.3.2 Gradient scenario for adjacent lane

A blind spot is created by the height difference because of the slope of the adjacent lane. These can be reported in junctions and branch roads. The blind spot caused by the combination of the particular road shape and the movement of the vehicle. A potentially dangerous traffic pattern is created by the position and movement of the vehicle hidden in the blind spot. These patterns can be classified into four groups: obscured vehicle cut-in (1), cut-out (2), acceleration (3), and synchronization with ego vehicle (4). This creates a matrix of 20 scenarios. We shall incorporate five of these scenarios into the safety analysis (Figure 82).

Ego-vehicle and	Ego-vehicle and)bscured \	ehicle r	notions	
postions		Cut-in	Cut-out	Accel	Decel	Sync
1					0	
		0		0		
3		0				
(4)		0				

Figure 82. Parallel slope blind spot related cognitive disturbance scenarios

4.2.3. Communication disturbance scenario

Communication disturbance scenarios are defined based on the connectivity-related characteristics in the three categories of sensors, environment, and transmitter (Fig. 83).



Figure 83. Classification of cognitive limits related to communication disturbances

4.2.3.1. Sensor type

Sensor-related communication disturbances are classified into the effects of digital map factors and the effects of V2X (Vehicle-to-everything) factors, as shown by Fig. 84.

Digital maps are used to support or implement positioning and navigation assistance, in addition to other capabilities required for ADAS / AD. Moreover, we can combine digital maps with perceptual sensors to increase the reliability of cognitive systems.

V2X allows vehicles to communicate with other vehicles, road infrastructure, pedestrians, and servers. The situation surrounding the vehicle is communicated to V2X in advance, which gives it an advantage, particularly in bad weather and complex traffic environments.



Figure 84. Classification of cognitive limits associated with sensor communication disturbances

If the map data is not correctly collected because of a flaw in the algorithm or incorrect data collection timing (such as temporary lane closure and road curvature change), a digital map-related communication disturbance may occur. The result of this is that obsolete data are collected. Poor fusion behaviour of the sensor affects, however, affects both the digital map and V2X. This may happen, for example, if the digital map, V2X and other sensors generate different information.

4.2.3.2 Environment type

Environment-related communication disturbances are shown in Figure 85. As seen from the figure, such disturbances comprise static entities, spatial entities, and dynamic entities. These interfere with communication and positioning signals. These can create blind spots and negatively affect the transmission of digital map and V2X signals.



Figure 85. Classification of cognitive limits associated with environmental disturbances

Static entity factors include those related to roadside objects (such as buildings, trees, and tunnels), bridging structures (such as overpasses), and underground objects (such as parking lots). Connectivity failures may be caused by aspects of the surrounding environment of the vehicle (such as signal interference, rain and fog attenuation). Dynamic entities include such factors as surrounding vehicles, motorcycles, and pedestrians.

4.2.3.3. Transmitter classification

Transmitter-related communication disturbances shown in Figure 86. These can be classified into those caused by other vehicles, infrastructure, pedestrians, servers, and satellites. V2X messages may become unavailable or unreliable because of transmitter errors, while satellite errors can cause GNSS signals to be lost or overlooked.



Figure 86. Classification of cognitive limits related to transmitter communication disturbances

4.3. Vehicle motion disturbance scenarios

In this section, we will explain our thinking regarding the setting of the system and standards for vehicle motion disturbance scenarios with the aim of ensuring the safety of AD. In vehicle motion disturbance, a safe state is one in which "an accident does not occur even if the vehicle motion changes due to a sudden disturbance." The two types of effects on vehicle movement are factors that exert an external force on the vehicle body and affect lateral/front-back and unidirectional movement, in addition to factors that cause the tyre generation force to fluctuate and affect the lateral/front/rear/up/down and yaw direction of the vehicle (Fig. 87). Therefore, vehicle motion disturbance scenarios can be classified into vehicle body inputs and tyre inputs (Fig. 88).



Figure 87. External physical forces considered in the definition of vehicle motion disturbance scenarios



Figure 88. Vehicle motion disturbance scenario system

4.3.1. Classification of vehicle body input

There are two classes of factors that affect the vehicle body, namely, road shapes and natural phenomena (Fig. 89).

The road shape comprises a one-sided slope, a longitudinal slope, or a curvature of a curved portion. Natural phenomena, however, comprised naturally occurring crosswinds, tailwinds, and headwinds.

These are elements that act directly on the vehicle body and affect the lateral, front-back, or yaw directions.

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.



Figure 89. Scenario system for vehicle body input

4.3.1.1 Road shape

The road shape (curvature and slope of the road surface) causes the direction of gravity acting on the vehicle to change e.g., a lateral force is generated by the component of gravity on a curve as it is a one-sided slope of the road; this may increase the risk of the vehicle deviating from the lane. Similarly, in an uphill scenario, a backward force (forward on a downhill) may be generated. This in turn may increase the risk of collision with other vehicles because speed fluctuations are induced (Fig. 90).



Figure 90. Road shape classification

4.3.1.2 Natural phenomena

Lateral and front-rear forces can be generated by naturally generated gusts and strong winds. These act to push the vehicle body, and, may, in some cases, cause deviation from the lane and vehicle speed fluctuations, which in turn can increase the risk of colliding with other vehicles (Fig. 91).



Figure 91. Classification of natural phenomena

4.3.2. Classification of tyre inputs

Tyres are affected by such factors as road surface conditions and tyre conditions. A road surface that directly affects the tyres can be classified as a road surface condition, e.g., uneven surfaces or wet surfaces can cause the coefficient of friction between the road surface and the tyres to change. This reduces the grip of the tyres and in some cases this will affect vehicle stability. Tyre condition refers to sudden changes because of punctures, bursts, and tyre wear that significantly change the tyre's characteristics (Fig. 92). The instability this causes may lead you to lose control of the vehicle, resulting in a potentially dangerous situation.



Figure 92. Scenario system for tyre input

4.3.2.1 Road surface condition

The tyre stress changes depending on the road surface shape input to the tyre, in addition to changes in the road surface. For example, when an external force causes the road surface friction to change as a result of unevenness, such as road surface shape or rain, the tyre stress changes, in addition to the direction of the vehicle. Furthermore, in some cases, there is a risk of collision with another vehicle because of deviation from the lane or vehicle speed fluctuation. The road surface condition, therefore, can be classified into the coefficient of friction and external force (Fig. 93).



Figure 93. Classification of road surface conditions

The coefficient of friction between the tyres and the road are affected by such road surface factors as wet roads, icy roads, snowy roads, and partial gravel, e.g., a reduction in the coefficient of friction may be triggered by a sudden move from a dry road to a wet road (Figure 94, left).

This reduction can cause the vehicle to become unstable. External forces that may affect the road surface include potholes, protrusions, and striations. For example, when a vehicle crosses a step or protrusion on the road, a sudden diagonal-upward force is applied to the tyre (upper right) (upper right in Fig. 94). This in turn causes the direction of the vehicle to change. This change in movement can cause the vehicle to deviate from the planned trajectory and lead to a collision.



Figure 94. Vehicle motion disturbances related to road surface conditions due to changes in friction coefficient (left) and external force of tyres (right)

4.3.2.2 Tyre condition

The tyre condition fluctuates and this fluctuation affects the tyre characteristics. This may be attributed to tyre wear, punctures, and bursts (Fig. 95). These reduce tyre strength and, in some cases, may lead to collisions with other vehicles because of the vehicle deviating from the lane or vehicle speed fluctuations.



Figure 95. Vehicle motion disturbance related to tyre conditions due to bursting

4.3.3. Predictable vehicle motion disturbance safety approach

This chapter describes two general assumptions. Following this, we elaborate a technical safety approach to predictable vehicle motion disturbances.

4.3.3.1 Assumptions

The first assumption is a common sense one regarding road design, road maintenance and management, as well as road environmental conditions used by vehicles. This assumption states that roads are constructed, constantly maintained, and managed by responsible public or private institutions and that this is done in line with basic principles such as legality, ethics and engineering. Most countries have road structure ordinances. These design the shape of roads in a way that enable all persons (regardless of age such as driving skills and reflexes) with a license to drive safely. For example, in Japan, given a pre-designed speed limit of 100 km/h, a curved radius is specified on which the lateral acceleration of the vehicle below 0.11 G can be maintained even on wet roads. The design road speed limit is lowered when constructing roads for which acceleration cannot be maintained under these conditions (such as due to space availability). Similarly, mechanisms for quickly detecting surface deterioration such as those caused by a reduction in slip friction because of frozen roads or the presence of cracks, ridges, or potholes on the road surface. Another example of this is when the natural environment such as rain and wind must be within the driving range determined to be safe on the road management side. For example, in case of a disaster-level storm, road managers need to take measures such as imposing speed limits or making road closures, and drivers must follow their instructions. This is also the case for self-driving vehicles.

This means safety may be compromised by a failure to comply with road design, road maintenance and management, or road environmental, regardless of whether the vehicle is automated or not. Therefore, it is unacceptable for the road surface to be deteriorated or to have inadequate maintenance. Such scenarios are classified as unpreventable for the purpose of the AD Safety Assurance Engineering Framework methodology.

The second assumption relates to common sense on the responsibilities of AD system operators. The AD system is responsible while driving is in progress; however, the driver may not have conducted proper maintenance (e.g., excessive tyre wear may be below legal technical inspection standards, air pressure drop below the tyre manufacturer's recommended air pressure, flat tyres) or may have a puncture before operating the vehicle. If the operator is aware that the vehicle is in a state where the default vehicle performance cannot be achieved (e.g., temper tyres installed, studless tyre / chain installed), it is considered to be their responsibility. If the system is operated in this state, it may not be possible to avoid collisions.

4.3.3.2 Engineering safety approach to vehicle motion disturbance

We shall introduce a technical safety approach to predictable vehicle motion disturbances based on the assumptions in the previous chapter. Current standards, as mentioned earlier in Figure 2, specifically consider collision avoidance strategies in predictable and avoidable scenarios and collision mitigation strategies in visible and unavoidable scenarios. Therefore, if the vehicle motion disturbance causes the behaviour of the vehicle to change within a range of conditions that can be temporarily avoided, the AD vehicle is required to have to the ability to control and stabilize the vehicle without interrupting the running of the vehicle. However, if unavoidable instability is caused by these disturbances, AD vehicles need to apply a "best effort" strategy to mitigate possible collisions. This must be done without interrupting the running of the vehicle.

Figure 96 shows specific examples of this safety approach to predictable vehicle motion disturbances. The top half of the figure shows an example in which, to meet avoidable conditions on a wet road, an AD vehicle faces a sharp decrease in slip friction. In this state, it must be possible to control the vehicle safely without interrupting

travel. However, in the bottom half of the figure, an extreme reduction in slip friction, resulting in unavoidable pre-defined vehicle conditions (e.g., maximum deceleration) is caused when an AD vehicle with summer tyres encounters a frozen road. Therefore, the safety approach to vehicle motion disturbance is based on a clear definition of the principles of vehicle motion engineering as related to the definition of vehicle controllable and uncontrollable conditions. These can be defined as follows:



Driving in the preventable area (e.g. sudden wet road)

Figure 96. Safe approach to avoidable (upper) and unavoidable (lower) vehicle motion disturbances

Two mechanical indicators determine the relationship between the principles of vehicle motion and avoidance conditions. The first of these indicators is the acting force of the vehicle. This is determined by the force exerted by the vehicle as it travels. There are also one or more vehicle motion disturbance factors (e.g., road shape, wind, road surface, tyre-related conditions); this is defined as the sum of the triggered forces. The second indicator is the adhesive utilization rate ε between the road surface and the tyre. Figure 97 shows the four areas where the vehicle may operate based on adhesive utilization rate ε . These areas are classified as the area used during normal operation (ε 30% or less), the area normally used by AD vehicles for emergency avoidance (ε 30%–75%), the area of limit of ABS operation (ε 75%–100%), and the area beyond limit, where tyre grip does not work (ε 100% or more). Only when the force of action (indicated by the blue arrow) resulting from driving, including various vehicle motion disturbances, is <75% adhesive utilization, does motion control become physically possible. The collision avoidance strategy can be secured in this scenario. There are scenarios where motion control cannot be performed if the force of action is >75%. In such cases, it is necessary to adopt a collision mitigation strategy.



Figure 97. Concept diagram showing the vehicle action force and cohesive usage rate defining the vehicle movement disturbance safety approach

4.3.3.3 Scope of controllable vehicle movement

Vehicle movement disturbances may dynamically change the force in relation to the vehicle and then turn it into areas in which it is difficult to control vehicle movement. Figure 98, with the action force and friction coefficients as axes, shows areas in which vehicle movement can be controlled in all environments and areas where control of vehicle movement is difficult. Here, the slip friction coefficient for a paved road is 0.5–1.0 when dry, 0.3–0.9 when wet, and 0.1–0.2 when frozen. Therefore, it is necessary to develop and test an AD vehicle movement strategy, in which the force caused by the vehicle disturbance always falls within the movement controllable area (triangular green area in the bottom right of the figure).

NOTE: The slip coefficient of friction value is the value when locked normally. According to Development of a real time friction estimation procedure, Gerd MüllerS. Müller, 2017, the slip friction coefficient when driving in the rain is approximately 0.6.



Figure 98. Controllable range of vehicle movement

4.3.3.4 Controllable vehicle movement in relation to vehicle body input road shape disturbance

The road shape with difficult conditions in terms of vehicle movement is the curve radius. According to the Japan Road Ordinance, a minimum diameter is determined for the curved sections of roads such that driving can be performed in a stable manner. Furthermore, in terms of the minimum curve radius, the power laterally working, such as the centrifugal force applied to the automobile, must not exceed the force applied by the friction of the tyres and road, and is determined in consideration of a balance between the centrifugal force working on the vehicle occupants as well as the comfort in riding the vehicle. To quote this road ordinance, the minimum value for the curve radius at a design velocity of 100 km/h is 460 m (in case of temporary measures, 380 m). Here, the relational formula for the velocity, curve radius, banking, and lateral slip friction coefficient for stability in relation to lateral slip is as follows. The curve radius can be obtained from the relationship between the design velocity, lateral slip friction coefficient, and banking.

 $Z = Ggv2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{ formula (1)}$

Here,

- Z : Centrifugal force(N)
- v : Automobile velocity (m/s)
- g : Gravitational acceleration(=9.81m/s^2)
- G : Total weight of vehicle(N)
- f: Road and tyre friction coefficient in relation to lateral slip
- i : Road banking (=tan α)
- R : Curve radius (m)

Here, the conditions for lateral slip to not occur are

 $Z\cos \alpha - G\sin \alpha \leq f(Z\sin \alpha + G\cos \alpha) \cdot \cdot \cdot formula(2)$

With formula development, replacing formula (2) with formula (1)

$$R \ge \frac{v^2}{127(i+f)} \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \text{formula (3)}$$

The road and tyre friction coefficient f(= lateral acceleration) in relation to lateral slip based on formula (3) is

$$f=V2R*127 - i$$
 • • • formula (4)

were if design velocity V = 100(km/h), road banking i = 6(%) and curve radius R = 463(m), f = 0.11. In other words, on Japanese motorways, this indicates that it is a structure in which you can drive with a lateral acceleration of 0.11 G (velocity: 100 km/h). Moreover, the speed limit may be lowered and set in case the road shape does not meet the conditions at 100 km/h. Therefore, when travelling on a motorway within Japan, it is necessary to have a cohesive force equivalent to a maximum lateral acceleration of 0.11 G. Figure 99 shows a line at 0.11 G as the maximum value for road shape disturbance required for normal driving, and shows that the action force used for disturbance other than road shape is, for example, 0.45 G (=0.56 G - 0.11 G) for dry roads and 0.12 G for wet roads. For vehicle movement disturbances, it is constantly necessary to consider the road shape for normal driving, and the total of the action force when combined with other disturbance elements must be kept within the controllable area of driving.



Figure 99. Relationship between friction coefficient and action force in relation to road shape

Moreover, as the elements of vehicle disturbance do not necessarily occur singly, it is necessary to consider combinations with other elements. In an actual environment, for example, there may be situations where there is a crosswind blowing while driving on a curve in the rain. Whether the road is dry, wet, or snowy can be expressed by the friction coefficient, and the road surface and natural phenomena (e.g. crosswind) and external force (unevenness) of tyres can be expressed as an action force. Moreover, in case of punctures, this can be expressed as a state in which the cohesive usage rate of 100% cannot be realized (Figure 100). In other words, as in the diagram, it is necessary to combine the elements for vehicle movement disturbances.



Figure 100. Relationship between combinations of elements in vehicle movement disturbance

4.3.3.5 Controllability of vehicle movement in relation to vehicle body input natural phenomena disturbance

The natural phenomenon of wind disturbance is calculated as an action force. In other words, it is added to the required action force (11 G) in the road shape. Here, the action force because of crosswind force changes depending on the shape and size of the vehicle. For example, as shown in the figure, with a wind speed of 10 m/s, there is this amount of difference between a sedan and a minivan.

Furthermore, with a wind speed of 20 m/s, even with a vehicle equivalent to a sedan, the area will have a cohesion rate of 75% or above; in other words, it will be an area where it is difficult to control movement. In such a case, it is necessary to respond on a best effort basis. However, on Japanese motorways, if there is a wind speed of 10 m/s or greater, speed restrictions come into play, and the necessary action force required for the road shape will decrease. Therefore, it is safe to drive even with wind speeds of >10 m/s. Therefore, on Japanese motorways, as speed restrictions are set in relation to the wind speed, the boundaries concerning wind speed with which it is possible to travel at 100 km/h are below 10 m/s (Figure 101).



Figure 101. Relationship between friction coefficient and action force in response to natural phenomena (crosswind)

4.3.3.6 Controllability of vehicle movement in response to tyre input road state disturbance

On Japanese motorways, speed restrictions are enforced based on weather conditions, as shown in the table (example of speed restrictions in relation to weather conditions on Japanese motorways). In other words, the weather conditions where no speed restrictions are in place are the boundary values. Here, in cases involving precipitation of 20 mm/h when traveling at 100 km/h, hydroplaning does not occur; therefore, the friction coefficient of 0.3 (lock μ) or above is the boundary value. However, with precipitation of 20 mm/h or above, hydroplaning occurs; therefore, the friction coefficient is greatly decreased. Furthermore, as freezing or snowy conditions cause the friction coefficient to decrease to 0.2 or below when considering the crosswind just mentioned, this cannot be kept within the controllable area of movement. Therefore, even in environments where it is common sense to always use normal tyres, the friction coefficient boundary conditions are equivalent to wet surfaces at 0.3 (Figure 102). Moreover, external force on tyres, such as deep gaps and pot holes, cause action forces, and may disturb vehicle behaviour. However, road administrators have a responsibility to maintain and manage safety on roads. Therefore, objective values are set to determine whether repair is necessary (Table 3). In other words, if it is below this objective value, it is expected that a normal driver will be able to drive safely. Therefore, the boundary values related to external force on tyres are set to these objective values, and these are added to action forces in the same way as lateral wind.



Figure 102. Relationship between friction coefficient and action force in relation to road state

Item	Furrow	Step[mm]		Coefficient of	Vertical	Crack rate	Pothole	
Road type	[mm]	bridge	drain	friction	mm]	[%]	diameter [cm]	
Motorway	25	20	30	0.25	8m profile 90(Pr) 3m profile 3.5(σ)	20	20	
Urban (Heavy traffic)	30~40	30	40	0.25	3m profile	30~40	20	
Urban (Low traffic)	40	30				40~50	20	

Table 3. Objective values for judging necessity of repair

References: Japan Road Association(Road maintenance and repair guideline)

4.3.3.7 Controllability of vehicle movement in relation to tyre input tyre state disturbance

With regard to punctures while driving, this does not increase action force but cohesive usage rate decreases to 100% or below. According to SAE2013, even if one tyre punctures, provided that the rim does not make contact with the ground, the vehicle can be controlled up to 0.6 G (Tandy, Ault, Colborn, & Pascarella, 2013). This indicates that the cohesive usage rate drops to 60%. Moreover, at this extent, as it does not cause a dangerous state immediately, TD and stopping safely is required before the rim touches the ground, thus causing a burst.

4.3.3.8 Preventability/Unpreventability boundary conditions in vehicle movement disturbance

Preventability/Unpreventability boundary conditions in vehicle movement disturbances are conditions concerning whether driving can continue at the designed velocity (100 km/h in Japan) on a motorway as follows.

- Road state: Friction coefficient of 0.3 (lock μ) or above, and external force on tyres of the objective value for road maintenance and repair or below (e.g.: ruts : 25 mm, gap :30 mm, pot holes: 20 cm)
- Road shape: Curves within the Japan Road Ordinance specifications (R = 460 m)
- ≻Natural phenomena: Where lateral wind is wind speed without speed restrictions (<10 m/s)

With regard to the above three factors, all the added conditions are preventable.

If it is not possible to drive under these conditions (such as not possible at lateral wind of 5 m/s or above), it is necessary to for the manufacturer to define this as ODD in advance.

Tyre state: Slow puncture caused during driving; however, this is detected before the rim makes contact with the road surface.

Figure 103 shows the respective unpreventable conditions and conditions of operator responsibility.

Out		Within ODD									
of ODD	Reasonably Foreseeable									Reasonably	
		Preventable					Unpr	eventable	Ľ.	Unforeseeable	
	C	Condition: Cor	tinue driving	at the design speed (100kph)						Gravel road/Dirt	
		Road surface condition	surface Coefficient Dry~Wet				Frozen•	Snowy (µ<0.3)*	į.	(low_µ)	
			External force	Below the road repair target Rut: 25mm, Step: 30mm, Pothole:	value 20cm	alue 0cm Exceeds the road repair targ		oad repair target value*	i.	(wave road, cobblestoned etc.)	
		Road geometry Curvature Within the road structure ordin R=460m or more			nance	ce Without the road structure ordinanc			i	Cave-in and landslides caused by natural disasters	
	I	Natural phenomena	Crosswind	Wind speed without spee regulation (less than 10m/	ed (s)		Wind spee	ed 10m/s* or more	i.		
	П			Include all combin	ations				i.		
	L	Tire condition	Puncture	Slow puncture while drivin (Rim is not on the ground)	ng		Burs (Rim i	t while driving s on the ground)	i.		
	Ľ										
			A	ctivating the AD syste	m unc	le	r driver res	ponsibility			
		ill-ser	viced veh	icle	Drive inten	r i de	recognizes th ed dynamic p	nat the vehicle is in the vehicle is the vehicle is in the vehicle	ne o e o	condition where the	
Excessive ti	Excessive tire wear Excessive air pressure Puncture drop before driving				Те	m	porary tire	Attaching Stud less / chain			
				*Troffic	contr		will be over	ocuted by road ad	mi	nietrator	

I raffic control will be executed by road administrator

E.g., Driving is allowed with speed restrictions (=>Preventable)

Road repair work, information provision

Figure 103. Motorways in Japan: preventability/unpreventability boundary conditions in vehicle movement disturbance

On general roads, unlike motorways, traffic rules cannot be strictly controlled (low necessity due to low to medium speeds). Thus, the preventability/unpreventability boundary conditions for vehicle movement disturbances on general roads are as follows:

- Road conditions: the coefficient of friction (μ) is 0.3 or higher; the external force on the tires is below the target value of road maintenance and repair (e.g., rut: 30-40 mm, step: 40 mm, and pothole: 20 cm).
- Road geometry: curves within what is stipulated by the road construction ordinance (e.g., for a designed speed of 60 km/h, R = 120 m).
- Natural phenomenon: the highest wind speed at which vehicles can be controlled against crosswinds (<20 m/s).

Deep water conditions on general roads can cause roads to be submerged; however, being submerged in water is not a function guaranteed by vehicle movement performance and is therefore excluded.

5 Scenario Database

5.1 Three layers of extraction

Functional scenarios that define qualitative scenario structures at the upper level, based on the three elements of driving actions, namely, "perception," "judgement," and "operation" can be systematically structured under the three scenarios of "perception disharmony," "traffic disturbance," and "vehicle movement disturbance," thus enabling comprehensive scenario evaluations (Chapter 3).

Logical scenarios apply a quantitative parameter range to structuralized functional scenarios. Therefore, for example, in the case of a traffic disturbance, this is defined by extracting the vehicle path from the traffic flow data, and taking a data-driven approach where traffic flow parameters such as relative velocity and cut-in speed are defined based on statistical distributions. The traffic flow data refers to traffic monitoring and operation data, accident databases, insurance data, maps, and road data.

Concrete scenarios can be considered as individual evaluation conditions for concrete evaluations, that extract safety judgement boundaries for distinguishing safety state and unsafe states (Section 1.3).



Figure 104. Process of developing and applying data-driven AD safe scenarios

5.2 Database parameters, format, and architecture

Figure 105 shows the information flow scheme required for creating actual test scenarios from a scenario catalogue and outputting them in a form in which these scenarios are standardized. These versatile standardized formats that can adapt to a wide range of simulation environments may be beneficial for AD safety evaluations. Files including information related to vehicle behaviour and road shape are generated via a test data generator from the scenario catalogue. These files can be applied to various simulation environments via a converter, and can be made independent using specific, commercially available software.



Figure 105. Information flow scheme for AD safety evaluation based on standardization scenarios

5.3 Test scenario database interface specification

Figure 106 shows the scenario database system. The scenario database uses actual traffic observation data as input and outputs scenarios required for safety evaluation. To realize this, an input/output interface is required. Moreover, a safety evaluation is performed using the output scenario data, and the result is fed back to the scenario database.



Figure 106. Scenario database scheme and interface

There is a wide array of actual traffic data, including traffic monitoring data, accident data, field test collection data, maps, and road data. To incorporate all of these unspecified large number of actual data items in a scenario database, it is necessary to convert them into an appropriate format (Figure 106 Data check/Convert). Data that

are appropriately incorporated into a shared database can be used to generate scenarios in accordance with a standardized methodology.

To use the scenarios generated within a scenario database, an interface that enables searching, generation, and exporting of scenarios is required (Figure Test scenario search and generation variation).

Annex A Road Geometry

The tree diagram for the road component elements identified from the road structure shows corresponding parameters related to road component elements. Definitions of these parameters are shown in Table A-1.



Figure A-1. Parameters related to road component elements (cross-sections, lines, and viewing distance) based on the Cabinet Order on Road Structure.



Figure A-2. An example of cross-sections, viewing distance, and linear road parameters based on the Japanese Cabinet Order on Road Structure.

Road geometry parameters were examined for each scenario category (cognition disturbance perceptual limitations, traffic disturbance, and disturbance in vehicle motion). For example, with traffic disturbances, as the number of surrounding vehicles increases, the number of lanes is increased in some cases. However, this is not directly related to cognition disturbance or disturbance in vehicle motion. Table A-1 shows the road geometry parameters to develop scenarios for each scenario category.

I	Road param	eters	Perception limitation	Traffic Disturbance	Vehicle disturbance	
	lanes		-	Increased risk due to increase in surrounding vehicles in merging and departing sections	-	
	w	idth	-	Relative distance to surrounding vehicles shortens	Difficulty for lane keeping along with the curve radius	
Cross section	center zone	median	Fearness of misrecognition in the opposite lane where the median is narrow	Possible use of median as avoidance route, expressed here to create a road geometry without basic treatment	-	
	side strip		-	Possible use of shoulder as avoidance route, expressed here to create a road geometry without basic treatment	-	
		radius	Depending on curve radius and obstacles, viewing distance may be affected	-	Lane keep may be difficult	
	horizontal alaignment	transition section	-	-	Difficulty to keep lane when deceleration distance is too short.	
Linear		superelevation	-	-	Difficulty to keep lane depending on the relationship between curve radius and single gradient	
		speed change lane length	-	Difficulty to achieve sufficient acceleration/deceleration	-	
	vertical	vertical curve	Recognition delay due to obstacle at the top of convex curve	-	Possible disturbance in vertical motion, but may be represented by a longitudinal slope	
	alignment	vertical gradient	Misrecognition of target ahead	Depending on vehicle performance, it also affects traffic disturbance	Depending on vehicle performance, it also affects traffic disturbance	
	Sight distan	ce	Recognition delay by viewing distance	_	-	

Table A-1. Road geometry parameters to develop scenarios for vehicle control categories.

Similarly, when each parameter is considered, important parameters for each scenario would be as follows:

- Parameters associated with cognition disturbance scenario include, for example, the median, the radius of curvature, vertical alignment, and viewing distance.
- Parameters associated with traffic disturbance scenario include the number of lanes, width, speed change lane, and vertical gradient.
- Parameters associated with disturbance in vehicle motion scenario include width, the radius of curvature, non-controlled interval, superelevation, and vertical alignment.

In terms of road geometry parameters for test scenarios, parameters that have no impact on safety were set to fixed values, and only the range of safety-related parameters are defined. In this manner, number of test cases can be reduced.

A.1 Road geometry component elements

Based on driving environment definitions, road geometry was classified into main roads, merge zones, departure zones, and ramps. Moreover, road geometry classification comprises four elements: main road, speed change lane, ramp, and nose vicinity (Figure A-3). Road structure parameters from the Cabinet Order on Road Structure are defined for each component of this book [4]. According to this basic classification, the relationship between four categories used to prepare scenarios and road geometry components standardized from the Cabinet Order on Road Structure used to build roads in Japan can be established. The examples of these standardized road geometry components are the main road, speed change lane, ramp, and nose vicinity. Moreover, the cabinet order incorporates the relationship between road geometry components and road geometry parameters important for safety such as cross-sections, lines, and viewing distance that are related to different road component parameters.

Note: The road geometry components and related parameters described herein are defined according to road technique standards related to Japanese road construction. The majority of standards in other countries employ similar rules, which facilitates the easy application of the methodologies proposed for different countries and areas.



Figure A-3. Relationship between road geometry classification to develop scenario, standardized road components, and corresponding safety-related parameters.

A.2 Basic parameters of road geometry

To determine the basic road geometry parameters in a road structure model (for Japan, Table A-2), important parameters are set for strict values for each scenario (first column from the right in Table A-2). These parameters are presented with the upper and lower limits, and depend on the scenario.

		Road parame	eters	Reference values	Most Den	nanding values	
		Numbe	r of lanes	1, 2, 3, 4	3		
		Wid	th (m)	3.25, 3.5, 3.7	3.25		
Cross	Center		Median (m)	1.25, 1.5, 2, 2.25, 3, 4.5	1.25		
section	zone		Shoulder (m)	0.25, 0.5, 0.75		0.25	
		Side s	trip (m)	1.25, 1.75, 2.5		1.25	
		Linear gi	adient (%)	2, 2.5		2.5	
		Velocit	y (km/h)	120, 100	120	100	
			Radius (m)	570, 380	570	380	
		Curve section	Curve section Transition section (m)		100, 85	100	85
			Superelevation (%)	6, 8, 10		10	
	Horizontal	I Speed change lane	Туре	direct, parallel	Direct	Parallel	
Lincor	alignment		Direction	deceleration, acceleration	Deceleration Acceleratio		
Linear			Taper length (m)	70, 60	70	60	
			Pre-determined length (m)	210, 110	210, 110 110		
			Radius curve convex (m)	11000, 6500	11000	6500	
	Vertical	Vertical Curve	Radius curve concave (m)	4000, 3000	4000, 3000 4000		
	Alignment		Length (m)	100, 85	100	85	
		Ve	rtical gradient (%)	5, 6	5	6	
Sight		Velocit	y (km/h)	120, 100	120	100	
distance		Sight dis	stance (m)	210, 160	160		

Table A-2. A list of road parameters from the Cabinet Order on Road Structure (RSO) and baseline road geometry parameters from the Cabinet Order on Road Structure in Japan.

A.3 Update with actual environmental data

Actual road geometry may not strictly adhere to the law for a variety of reasons (e.g., limited by the landform). This is handled as a tentative scale, and may be extended over a long period of time. As such, since road conditions change, actual harsh conditions must be reflected in scenarios.

Situation description	Critical Parameter	Disturbance type		
Complicated highway interchange	interchange Short merge and departure lanes			
Pronounced curve	Preception distrubance Vehicle disturbance			
Absence of central zone	Central zone width (non-regulated)	Perception disturbance		
Narrow tunnel dividing wall at merge	Reflection shoulder width (non-regulated)	Perception disturbance		
Separators to prevent from driving in the wrong direction	Merge point separators	Perception disturbance		

A.4 Updating road geometry parameters based on actual world map data

In this section, we explain the definition of important parameters for road geometry. Based on the road structure ordinances of each country, road geometry parameters were identified. However, parameters are not important elements. For example, when there are a large number of lanes, the number of surrounding vehicles increases, and there may be an impact as traffic disturbance; however, there may not be an impact on cognition disturbance

and disturbance in vehicle motion. Therefore, the selection of road geometry parameters depends on scenario categories.

- Important parameters covered by the cognition disturbance scenario include the departure zone, the radius of curvature, length of the curve, longitudinal open circuit, and viewing distance.
- Important parameters covered by the traffic disturbance scenario include the number of lanes, width of lanes, acceleration and deceleration lanes, and longitudinal gradient.
- Important parameters for disturbance in the vehicle motion scenario include the lane width, the radius of curvature, transition zone, superelevation, and vertical alignment.

Note 1-Entry: By setting critical parameters, where unimpacted parameters are fixed, as road geometry parameters of test scenarios, the number of test cases can be reduced.

To determine road geometry parameters, according to Table B2, we assigned the harshest values for important parameters of road geometry based on the Cabinet Order on Road Structure in Japan. However, the actual shape of roads may not strictly follow the Cabinet Order on Road Structure (e.g., the length of merge zone may be shorter than what is stipulated by the ordinance since construction space in a crowded city is limited). Therefore, baseline values of road geometry parameters defined by the Cabinet Order on Road Structure must be updated with actual harsh conditions of road geometry. To this end, we incorporated dynamic map data into the process, e.g., in a survey of motorway characteristics in Tokyo region where the "legal speed is 100 km/h" and "the minimum radius of the curved section is less than 100 m" (left in Figure A-4), multiple locations fit the description (blue spots to the right of Figure A-4). Such searches reflect actual road requirement parameters for the radius of curvature in the Tokyo region; thus, road geometry baseline parameters (Table Table A-2) must be updated from 380 m to 100 m or less.



Figure A-4. Data extraction from a dynamic map.

Annex B

Scenarios for Motorcycles

Similar to the systemizing process explained in regard to traffic disturbance scenarios, road geometry, ego vehicle behaviour, and surrounding motorcycle location and motion, we propose a methodology to structure traffic disturbance scenario for motorcycles (Figure B-1).





B.1 Classification of surrounding motorcycle location and motion

When defining scenarios for general vehicles, we defined the location of surrounding vehicles in eight directions around the vehicle. In motorcycle scenarios, in addition to this, we defined right and left of the vehicle as unique locations for motorcycles to build scenarios.

As shown on the left side of Figure B-2, locations unique to motorcycles [L] and [R] are on both sides of the vehicle within the same lane. Motorcycles can move to [L] or [R] by decelerating from 1 in front (a), accelerating from 2 behind (b), or by changing the lane from surrounding locations, 3,4, 5, 6, 7, ot 8 (c) (centre in Figure B-2). As shown on the right side of Figure B-2, a motorcycle can move from [L] and [R], where it may approach the vehicle laterally (d), move forward (e), move backward (f), or be parallel to the vehicle (g).



Figure B-2. Locations and motion of motorcycles that could prevent motion of a vehicle (left)

Motorcycles can be evaluated in the same manner as the traffic disturbance scenarios for general vehicles (Figure 48), but the motorcycle-specific locations discussed above must be considered.

B.2 Traffic disturbance scenario unique to motorcycles

The structure of motorcycle scenarios is expressed by a matrix that includes 56 possible combinations. In a lane change scenario for vehicle, only synchronized motions are targeted. This is because lane change for the vehicle

is physically impossible if there are vehicles in locations unique to motorcycles: [L] and [R]. This leaves 18 scenarios that are actually achievable in the real traffic, which are incorporated in the safety assessment (Figure B-3).

🛄 : EGO 🕔			Sur	rounding mo	otorcycle pos	torcycle position and motion				
Deed	E en undet els	In	to specific locatio	ons	From specific locations					
geometry	behavior	Deceleration to side	Acceleration to side	Lane change to side	Lateral approach	Advance	Retreat	Synchronization		
				00-	000-	00-	-			
Main wood	сапе кеер	No.1	No.2	No.3	No.4	No.5	No.6	-		
Main road	Lane change	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Lane keep	-	-	No.8	-	-	-	-		
Merging zone	Lane change	-	-	-	-	-	-	No.9		
Departure	Lane keep	-	-	No.10	-	-	-	-		
zone	Lane change	-	-	-	-	-	-	No.11		
Ramp	Lane keep	No.12	→ 000→ No.13	No.14 000-	No.15	No.16	No.17	-		
	Lane change	_	-	_	_	-	-			

Figure B- 3. Traffic disturbance scenario for motorcycles.

Annex C

Approach for complex scenarios of traffic disturbance

In an actual traffic environment, multiple traffic participants can take multiple actions at various times. In this Section, we examine scenarios including multiple traffic participants based on the developed concept for the traffic flow scenario.

C.1 Concept of avoidance motion scenario

When surrounding vehicles make sudden dangerous moves, the ego vehicle must react to avoid such action. Such danger can take place during lane keep and lane change. The latter refers to situations when surrounding vehicles are trying to move into the same space as the ego vehicle as they try to change lanes. Action to avoid these vehicles is called avoidance motion, which is a secondary motion by the ego vehicle. Thus, avoidance motion scenarios aim to assess the safety of such secondary behaviour by the ego vehicle.

C.2 Traffic flow scenarios

To understand scenarios created by avoiding dangerous movements of surrounding vehicles, we present a stepwise sequence. This sequence begins with a sudden approach by surrounding vehicles, such as a dangerous approach by surrounding vehicles to the ego vehicle driving while keeping the lane, or when the ego vehicle tries to change the lane (Figure C-1). This is the starting point of avoidance motion by the ego vehicle. Before executing this avoidance motion, the ego vehicle must determine the range wherein it is able to execute the avoidance motion. This range is called the "avoidance area". For example, when a preceding vehicle suddenly decelerates, creating a potentially dangerous scenario (avoidance trigger), the ego vehicle must judge if there is a space immediately behind (avoidance area), and then must decelerate as the avoidance motion. However, when determining avoidance area, the ego vehicle must consider cut-in vehicles that might enter the same area. When considering these aspects and the environment of the road the vehicle is driving on (e.g., main road, merge lane, etc.), different traffic flow scenarios can be created.



Figure C-1. Steps from the start and finish of an avoidance motion.

C.2.1 Avoidance trigger

Ego-vehicle motion	Avoidance trigger types	Pattern diagram
Lane keep	a)Approach from the front and sides Deceleration of the lead vehicle, cut-in by the lead side vehicles. **Approach from the back (rear-end collision) is not considered.	a)Approach from the front and sides
Lane change	c)Approach to the lane change destination Cut-ins from directions other than that of the ego-vehicle *Consideration of lane change to the left isn't necessary because of symmetry	b) Approach to the lane change destination

Figure C-2. Driving situation of the ego vehicle in avoidance motion scenarios.

C.2.2 Avoidance space

Avoidance space is defined as a range wherein the ego vehicle can take an avoidance motion. When approached by surrounding vehicles, the avoidance trigger begins, and the ego vehicle must determine the avoidance space. For safety, the avoidance space is not in the direction where the trigger vehicle is approaching from. Figure C-3 emphasizes the avoidance space for both lane keep scenarios and lane change scenarios.



Figure C-3. Avoidance areas for each trigger vehicle for lane keep (top) and lane change (bottom).

In a case of lane keep (top half of Figure C-3), the trigger vehicle approaches from in front of the ego vehicle [L(1)], from front and the side of the ego vehicle [Pl-f(6), Pl-f(3)], or from the side of the ego vehicle [Pl-s(7), Pl-s(4)]. The areas highlighted in red are the avoidance areas (lateral symmetry is omitted). The lower half of Figure C-3 shows a scenario in which the ego vehicle changes lanes (lateral symmetry is omitted). In this case, vehicles in the lane change destination for the ego vehicle become trigger vehicles. Areas highlighted in red are the avoidance areas.

After determining the avoidance area, the pattern of vehicles in the avoidance area must be determined. For example, if deceleration by the preceding vehicle is the trigger, combinations of patterns of vehicles in each cell of the avoidance area becomes $2^5 = 32$ (Figure C-4).



Figure C-4. Patterns of vehicles in each cell in the avoidance area.
C.2.3 Cut-in vehicles into the avoidance area

After confirming whether there are vehicles in the avoidance area (how many and which cell), vehicles that could cut into the avoidance area from adjacent spaces must be identified. Ranges from where cut-in into the avoidance area is possible are shown in Figure C-5.



Figure C-5. Range where cut-in into the avoidance area is possible.

The avoidance area is highlighted in red. Considering a case where the ego vehicle moves into cell (1) to avoid the trigger vehicle, possible cut-in by vehicles in locations (6) and (7) in the avoidance area and in adjacent locations (2), (3), (4), and (5), must be considered.

C.2.4 Road environment

The road environment is a combination of road geometry and the ego vehicle location, which are two factors that impact the avoidance motion. "Road geometry" is classified into the main road, merge lane, departure lane, and ramp. Ego vehicle locations are defined by the shape of the avoidance area and number of lanes in each road geometry.

a.Road shape		b.Eg	jo-vehic	le positio	n ×	走行車線外の有無
Main road	Ego- vehicle position	Adjacent lanes on both sides	One adjacent lane Left Right			No adjacent lanes Ego
	Number of lanes required	Lane 5		Lan	e3*	Lane 1 *
Merging lane	Ego- vehicle position	Avoidance area Ego		Avoid	ance area	
	Number of lanes required	Lane 5			Lane 3×	
Departure lane	Ego- vehicle position	Avoidance area		Avoid	ance area)
	Number of lanes required	Lane 5			Lane 3 [*]	
Ramp		Omitted for equivalence with m	ain road(Lane 1, 2*)		

Figure C-6. Classification of road environment in avoidance motion scenarios.

Annex D

Verifying the completeness of scenario database based on accident data

There are three cases to explain how completeness of scenario database is verified based on accident data.

D.1 German In-Depth Accident Study (GIDAS) data

Verification of the completeness of the traffic flow scenario system is possible. For example, one can assess if accidents reported in the German In-Depth Accident Study (GIDAS) database (Otte, Krettek, Brunner, & Zwipp, 2003) are covered. As an assumption, all possible scenarios in the German traffic environment must be presented in the accident classification system of GIDAS.

GIDAS classifies traffic accidents according to the pre-defined rules related to accident characteristics. We related and compared the accident classification system defined by GIDAS (GIDAS code) and traffic flow scenario system.

The table to the upper left of Figure D-1 shows the number of GIDAS accident codes classified after correlation. Categories A, B, and C represent 78 codes and 7,567 accidents for motorways included in the analysed database. The verification result of these accident data showed that 33 codes and 6,787 accidents can be analysed under the traffic flow scenario system. The traffic flow scenario system possibly covers 90% of all motorway accidents reported in Germany.

Category B comprises eight codes and 49 accidents (0.006% of all motorway accidents) related to road characteristics that are not covered by the scenario matrix. Road geometry data used to prepare the list of scenarios is based on Japanese Road Structure Regulations (Japan Road Association, 2004), but it may not cover some characteristics of German motorways. To cover the remaining eight signs, adaptation to German road characteristics may be necessary.

	Classification		Classification No. c		of les	Total	No. of	Percentage of a covered by test	iccidents scenarios
	Description		UTYPA	UTYF	PB	accidents	10%		
A	Contained in scenario catalog	g	26	7	33	6,787			
E	Available with variations according to road shape parameters	ording	8	0	8	49			
	Not included in scenario cata	log	24	13	37	731	900	%	
I٢					78	7,567			
_						Con	tents	No. of codes	
				C.1	Reverse	car		15	
	Ļ			C.2	Stopped	vehicle on	road shoulder	8	
	Contents	No. c	of codes	C.3	Stopped	vehicle on	start of shoulder	5	
3.1	There is a median strip		3	C.4 Obstacle			3		
3.2	Reduced width		3	C.5	C.5 Animal on road				
3.3	Special road merge section		2	C.6	Other	2			

Figure D-1. Scenario database and number of cases (per road and ego-driving).

Category C includes 37 codes and 731 accidents (10% of total) that are not covered by the proposed safety method. Further analysis of codes indicates that three code subcategories (total of 28 codes) were unlawful operations such as driving in the wrong direction on a motorway or unlawful parking on the motorway shoulder (C1–C3). Seven remaining codes include obstacles on the road, animals, and other unknowns (C4–C6). Prevention of collision in this category (C) is difficult for AD engineers. For example, an auxiliary approach such as tighter regulations is necessary.

D.2 Pre-crash scenario typology for crash avoidance research (NHTSA)

The NHTSA Pre-Crash Scenario Typology for Crash Avoidance Research defines pre-crash scenario typology for crash avoidance research based on the NHTSA general estimate system crush database. This typology comprises pre-crash scenarios that present vehicle motion, dynamics, and important phenomena immediately before a crash (Najm, Smith, & Yanagisawa, 2007). By applying the same methodology to the GIDAS data, a comparison can be made between typology and the list of scenarios developed in the present report. This typology includes 27 pre-crash scenario categories, 16 of which are about motorway accidents. By comparing the scenario database developed from these categories, the completeness of the scenario database can be verified (Figure D- 2). This comparison shows that 6 out of 16 categories are subject to the traffic scenario database. The remaining 10 codes belong to categories that include unlawful or unpreventable actions. For complete coverage, an auxiliary approach for vehicle engineering may be necessary.

	Classification	number of	
	Description	codes	Accident cases
А	Contained in scenario catalog	6	
В	Available with variations according to road shape parameters	-	
С	Not included in scenario catalog	10	37%
D	Urban road specific (intersection, railway crossing, pedestrian)	15	63%
Е	Independent accident (due to recognition / vehicle disturbance)	-	0%
-	Driver misuse, vehicle disturbance	5	0,0
-	Other	1	
		37	

	Contents	number
C.1	Reverse car	2
C.2	Shoulder stop vehicle available	-
C.3	Start of road shoulder stop vehicle	2
C.4	Obstacle	4
C.5	Animal on road	2
C.6	Other	-

Figure D- 2. Comparison of traffic scenario database and NHTSA pre-crash categories

D.3 Institute for Traffic Accident Research and Data Analysis (ITARDA) data

The Institute for Traffic Accident Research and Data Analysis (<u>https://www.itarda.or.jp/</u>) compiles data on traffic accidents in Japan. Therefore, by obtaining the data from 633,639 accidents that occurred between 2018 and 2019 (totalling conditions: Table D-1) and comparing the same data with the traffic disturbance scenario (Figure 47), the completeness of the scenario can be verified.

Items	ITARDA data categories	Approach to totalling
Accident type	"Person vs. vehicle," "vehicle interaction,"	Because the scenario structure targets
	single vehicle," and "train"	it is limited to vehicle-to-vehicle accidents.
Accident	"Death," "serious injury," and "minor	Because the goal is to verify completeness,
details	'njury''	all accidents involving people are targeted.
Road geometry	"Intersection," "near an intersection," "single road," "railroad crossing," and "general traffic location"	Because the ITARDA accident data cannot distinguish between merging and branching zones, these are included in single roads.
		"Location of general traffic" among the accident types is not included in the analysis.
		"Near intersection," "single road," and "railroad crossing" are defined as the single road (including merging / branching zones) of the functional scenario, and the "intersection" is defined as the intersection section of functional scenario. However, accidents classified as single-road is classified as intersections if either vehicle's action includes "right turn" or "left turn" or if the vehicles approach from the crossing direction.
Involved parties	"Passenger car," "freight vehicle," "special vehicle," "motorcycle," "tram," "train," "light vehicle," "pedestrian," "property," "no other party," and "excluded parties"	Ego vehicle and other vehicles in the scenario structure are replaced with the first and second parties of the ITARDA accident data for analysis. Ego vehicle is a "passenger vehicle" (excluding minicars) and "cargo vehicle," while the other vehicle is a "passenger vehicle," "cargo vehicle," "motorcycle," "tram," "train," or "light vehicle."
Behavior types	"Starting," "going straight," "passing," "route change," "left turn," "right turn," "rotation," "backing up," "crossing," "meandering," "sudden stop," "parking," "other," and "excluded parties"	The behavior of the scenario structure – "going straight," "lane change/swerving," and "turning" are matched with a behavior type for analysis (Table D-2).
Involved parties' traveling direction	"Road Standards for Vehicles" and "Off- road standards for Vehicles"	Based on the involved party's direction of travel, determine from which direction other vehicles approach the ego vehicle (same/crossed (from R/L)/oncoming).

Table D-1. Totalling conditions for accident data

NOTE: Among the accidents that occur between vehicles, AD vehicles are analyzed as passenger vehicles and cargo vehicles; thus, in an accident where both the first party turning right at an intersection and the second party that is going straight can be substituted with AD vehicles, the analytical target will have AD vehicles substituting for both the first and second parties. Therefore, the number of cases the scenario covers will be larger than the number of actual accidents.

 Table D- 2. Relationship between behavior categories in accident statistics and behavior of the scenario structure

Behaviors in FS	Accident statistics categories ^{*1}
Going straight	"Starting," "going straight," "crossing ^{*2} ," "sudden stop," "stop," and "parking"
Lane change/Swerving	"Passing," "lane change ^{*3} ," and "meandering"
Turning	"Left turn," "right turn," and "crossing ^{*2} "
Other	"Other" and "excluded parties"

*1. Only the behaviors of AD vehicles in bold letters are considered.

*2. In "crossing," where vehicles come from roadside facilities, if the vehicle is going straight, it is classified as "going straight," and if it is turning right or left, it is classified as "turning."

*3. It is assumed that AD vehicles do not change course in an intersection.

Analytical results

The analytical result of the number of accidents for each scenario structure is shown in Table D-3. The vertical column shows the road geometry and the behavior of the ego vehicle. The horizontal axis shows the behavior of other vehicles and the direction of approach. There are four road geometry categories in a scenario structure: "non-intersection," "merge," "branch," and "intersection;" however, because merge and branch zones cannot be separated in the ITARDA data, these are all included in "intersection." The direction of approach for other vehicles on the horizontal axis considers the same direction as the ego vehicle as "same," the cross direction as "crossed (from R/L)," and an approach from the opposite direction as "oncoming." The green cells in the table indicate the number of accidents included in the scenario structure, while the red cells indicate the number of accidents for which the details are unknown.

The number of scenarios included totaled 1,004,752 (green cells) and 1,136 (red cells). If the coverage rate is defined as the accident scenes covered by the scenario (green cells) within possible accident scenes for AD vehicles (green and red cells), 99.89% of accident scenarios are covered (Table D-4).

			Surrounding vahials behavior and soming direction											
			Going straight			Lane Change/Swerbing		Turning				Other		
			Same	Crossed	On coming	Same	Crossed	On coming	Same	Crossed	On coming	Same	Crossed	On coming
shicle	setion Non-intersection	Going straight	481K	-	29K	10K	-	2K	829	-	239	463	-	177
l Subject vel /ior		Lane Change	14K	-	2K	646	-	30	8	-	0	26	-	2
structure an veha		Going straight	44K	215K	3K	1K	430	89	11K	28K	16K	117	214	29
Road	Interse	TN	41K	49K	47K	1K	192	89	2K	3K	1K	29	43	36

Table D-3. Comparison with the ITARDA data accidents for the scenario structure

Items	Numbers
Number of accident scenes covered by FS (green cells)	1,004,752 [cases]
Unknown details (red cells)	1,136 [cases]
Coverage rate (green/(green + red))	99.89%

Annex E

Principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances

As described in 4.2.1, the principle models of each sensor should be understood and the parameters with their ranges which characterize the models should be defined, in order to derive the perception disturbance scenarios based on sensors' principles. The principle models, parameters with their ranges and the representative of evaluation scenarios for perception disturbances generated in sensors of mmWave Radar, LiDAR and Camera are written up below. The principles related to the phenomenon with high frequency and proving tests in exclusive roads (pedestrian approaching, rain drops, puddles etc.) are written as representative examples.

E.1 Processes of principle models description and evaluation scenario derivation

Principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances are derived according to the following procedure.

- · Describe a phenomenon which occurs as a perception disturbance and identify phenomenon parameters
- Make out the model (= principle model) which describes the phenomenon above and identify principle parameters
- List up causal factors and their parameters which contribute to changes of the principle parameters
- · Identify a range of each causal factor parameter
- Describe the perception disturbance as change of the causal factor parameters, and define an evaluation scenario with the combination of parameter changing and a traffic flow scenario

Here, any causal factors can be selected for an evaluation scenario in the case that these are described in the same principle model, while the range of causal factor parameters should cover the range of ODD of a system.



Figure E- 1. Example of a relationship between phenomenon parameters, principle parameters, causal factors and causal factor parameters of a perception disturbance

Henceforth the examples of principle models and evaluation scenarios of the perception disturbance for each sensor are showed. For evaluation scenarios in which the ego vehicle speed is defined as 'maximum speed within ODD', the conditions with shortest TTC to recognition targets are selected and written from the aspect of safety evaluation.

E.2 Principle models and evaluation scenarios of mmWave Radar

As examples for mmWave Radar, following 5 of principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances are described.

- · Large difference of signal (S) (recognition target)
- · Low D/U (road surface multipath)
- · Low D/U (change of angle)
- · Low S/N (direction of a vehicle)
- · Low D/U (surrounding structures)

E.2.1 [mmWave Radar] Large difference of signal (S) (recognition target)

E.2.1.1 Phenomenon and Principle

Large difference of signals (recognition target)

E.2.1.1.1 Phenomenon

When pedestrians or motorcycles, etc., that have relatively weak reflection pass by the side of a recognition target with an intense reflection (such as a truck), the reflection signals from the motorcycles, etc., then become buried in the intense reflection signals from the truck, resulting in a false negative.



Phenomenon Parameters

Reflection point cloud

Region	Degree/amount	Duration		
Full area of the recognition target	Completely unobtainable	Cannot be obtained for a continuous period of time		

Phenomenon Mode

False negative; a target exists but is not detected.

Large difference of signals (recognition target)

E.2.1.1.2 Outline of the Principle

Due to the large difference in the reflection intensity of the targets, the small signal becomes buried in the large signal, resulting in the recognition target with the weaker reflection going undetected.





Contrast Between Normal and Abnormal

Large difference of signals (recognition target)

When focusing on RCS $\sigma = \lim_{r \to \infty} 4\pi r^2 \left| \frac{E_s(\vartheta, \varphi)}{E_i} \right|^2$

 E_s : Scattered electric field from the recognition target E_i : Incidence electric field into the recognition target

The radar cross-section (RCS) of the recognition target is expressed as the product of the **projected area**, reflectance and the directivity of the scattered waves.

The **directivity of the scattered waves** referred to here, is normally a combination of a specular surface reflection and a perfect diffuse reflection.



Large difference of signals (recognition target)

Reflectance is...

In the case of vertical polarization:

$$R_{p} = \frac{\left|\varepsilon_{2}\cos\psi_{0} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}(\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{1}\sin^{2}\psi_{0})}\right|^{2}}{\left|\varepsilon_{2}\cos\psi_{0} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}(\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{1}\sin^{2}\psi_{0})}\right|^{2}}$$

In the case of horizontal polarization:

$$R_{s} = \frac{\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}}\cos\psi_{0} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{1}\sin^{2}\psi_{0}}\right|^{2}}{\left|\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}}\cos\psi_{0} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{1}\sin^{2}\psi_{0}}\right|^{2}}$$

In the case the reflector is a metal, permittivity (ε_r) is:

 R_p : Reflectance with horizontal polarization

 $\vec{R_s}$: Reflectance with vertical polarization

$$\varepsilon_r = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$$

 ε_l :Permittivity of air

 ω :Radio wave frequency

 $\psi_0:$ Incidence angle of the wave



(a) In the case of vertical polarization:

(b) In the case of horizontal polarization



% The relationship between permittivity and relative permittivity \Rightarrow Relative permittivity = permittivity of the medium / permittivity in the vacuum

Relative permittivity – permittivity of the medium / permittivity in the vacuum

In terms of 'Reflectance,' the parameters are **permittivity and incidence angle, and permittivity is a parameter related to the material.**

Further, **projected area** refers to the reflective surface area of the recognition target, and this will vary depending on the shape, orientation, size and relative position of the recognition target.

 ε_2 :Permittivity of reflector

 ε_r :Permittivity of metal

 ω_p :Plasma frequency

Let's summarize what we have learnt so far...

The intensity of the reflected signal (S) from the recognition target depends on the power value (P).

The power value will depend on the positional relationship of the radar to the recognition target, and the RCS of the recognition target.

Therefore, all we need to do is understand the distance and angle between the radar and the recognition target, as well as the RCS.

E.2.1.2 Relationship between Principle & Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance

Large difference of signals (recognition target)

E.2.1.2.1 Causal factor based on Principle

The below is a summary of the relationship so far, between the phenomenon parameter, the principle parameter, the causal factor parameter and the causal factor.

Phenomenon Parameter	Principle Parameter	Causal factor Parameter	<u>Causal factor</u>
	r_n : Distance to target		
Intensity of reception power	G : Antenna gain in θ direction	Sensor angle	Misalignment of sensor (angle)
	σ_n : Reflectance of target	 Vehicle projected area Vehicle reflectance Vehicle directivity of scattered waves 	 Vehicle size Vehicle shape Vehicle material



% If there are n numbers of recognition targets, insert the reference number of each recognition target as a subscript to *P*, *r*, and σ . *E*.*g*. (n=1,2,3,...)

Phenomenon	Principle	Causal factor Danamatan	Causal factor				
Parameter	Parameter	Causal factor Parameter	①Target	②Surrounding environment	③Ego vehicle/sensor		
	Target distance	-	-	-	-		
Signal Intensity	Antenno goin	-	-	-	-		
	Antenna gam	Sensor angle	-	-	Sensor misalignment		
	Retroreflectivity RCS value (σ_n)	Shape of recognition target	3D shape of subject of target	-	-		
		RCS value (σ_n)	Shape of recognition target	Size	-	-	
		Vehicle material	Color	-	-		
		(permittivity)	Material	-	-		
	Combination of recognition targets	←	-	-	-		

Large difference of signals (recognition target)

Phenomenon Parameter	Principle Parameter	Causal factor Parameter	Causal factor	Parameter Range	Explanation
	Distance to target	←	←	Distance to target (r_n) minimum detectable distance to maximum detectable distance	To evaluate the perceptual device of the radar, test using the range determined by the given radar's specs
	Antonna agin	←	←	Within target angle (θ_n) FOV range	Evaluate by varying the parameter within the FOV range determined by the radar's specs
	Antenna gan	Sensor angle	Sensor misal ignment	Misalignment angle 0 to $\pm X \deg$	Minimum angle where auto-misalignment detection will activate
Signal Intensity	Retroreflectivity RCS value (σ_n)	Shape of Recognition target	Shape of Recognition target (3D)	Recognition targets are persons or motor vehicles as classified in the Road Traffic Act First step is large-sized motor vehicles and ordinary two-wheeled motor vehicles	Take into account vehicles which can travel on express ways + persons walking by the side of a stationary vehicle stopped for an emergency
		Retroreflectivity Size of RCS value (σ_n) Recognition Vehicle Material	Size of Recognition target	Vehicle: Motorized bicycle (equivalent) to large-sized motor vehicle (equivalent) Person:	Take into account vehicles which can travel on express ways + persons walking by the side of a stationary vehicle stopped for an emergency
			Color	Define using data on reflectance/ transmittance in millimeter waveband	Require database as there is on correlation between detectable colors and physical property values in millimeter wave band
			Material	Define using data on physical property values in millimeter waveband	Require database for physical property values in millimeter wave band
	Combination of Recognition targets	←	←	Recognition targets are persons or motor vehicles as classified in the Road Traffic Act	Take into account vehicles which can travel on express ways + persons walking by the side of a stationary vehicle stopped for an emergency

E.2.1.2.2 Parameter Range

E.2.1.2.3 Evaluation Scenario

- Evaluation is conducted using a recognition target with a strong reflection and a recognition target with a weak reflection
- Evaluation is conducted with the recognition target having a relative speed to the ego vehicle in the direction of approach
- Evaluation is done by varying the positional relationship of the vehicles or motorcycles, etc. (the recognition target)



Parameter Item		Range	Explanation
Distance to recognition targets	Variable	Min to max detectable range	Validate by varying the distance between the min and max detectable distance of the sensor
Angle to recognition targets	Variable Within FOV		Validate by varying the angles within the radar FOV
Number of recognition targets	Fixed	2	Decide on a recognition target with a weak and one with strong reflection (1 each)
Relative velocity to recognition targets	Fixed	Min to max detectable speed	Validate within the radars min and max detectable speed
Type and combination of recognition targets Fixed Vehicle, motorcycle, pedestrian		Representative traffic participant/one with high reflectivity and one with low	

E.2.2 [mmWave Radar] Low D/U (road surface multipath)

E.2.2.1 Phenomenon and Principle of Perception Disturbance

Low D/U (Road surface multipath)

E.2.2.1.1 Phenomenon

When there is interference between the signal from the recognition target (D: Desired-Signal) and the signal from the indirect path via the road surface (U: Undesired-Signal), the **"signal intensity"** of the received signal by the sensor from the recognition target becomes smaller, resulting in a false negative.



At certain distances within the detection area, the <u>"signal intensity"</u> decrease, resulting in the recognition target becoming 'lost'.



E.2.2.1.2 Outline of the Principle

The propagation path, when the signal transmitted from the sensor are reflected by the target and received by the sensor, are categorized into the following four paths:

D/U	Signal path	Propagation path	
D:Desired-SignalDirect pathSensor \rightarrow Target \rightarrow Sensor		$Sensor \rightarrow Target \rightarrow Sensor$	
U:Undesired- Indirect path via the road surface		$Sensor \to Road \; surface \to Target \to Road \; surface \to Sensor$	
Signal	Indirect path via the road surface ${\ensuremath{\mathbb I}}$	Sensor \rightarrow Target \rightarrow Road surface \rightarrow Sensor	
Indirect path via the road surface III		Sensor \rightarrow Road surface \rightarrow Target \rightarrow Sensor	

The signal received by the sensor are combined of the above signals. The amplitude/phase of each signal will depend on the reflectivity and propagated distance of each path, and therefore the <u>"signal intensity"</u> of combined signal will increase/decrease depending on the relative position between the sensor, the target and the road surface.





	0 1	5 1	
Direct path Path A→Path A	$\frac{P_{tx}\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3}\cdot\frac{G_{AA}\sigma_{AA}}{r_A^4}$	$\phi_0 + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot (2r_A)$	
Indirect path I Path B→Path B	$\frac{P_{tx}\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \cdot \frac{G_{BB}\sigma_{BB}R^2}{r_B^4}$	$\phi_0 + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot (2r_B) + 2\pi$	Itensity [d]
Indirect path II Path A→Path B	$\frac{P_{tx}\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \cdot \frac{G_{AB}\sigma_{AB}R}{r_A^2 r_B^2}$	$\phi_0 + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot (r_A + r_B) + \pi$	Signal ir
Indirect path III Path B→Path A	$\frac{P_{tx}\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \cdot \frac{G_{BA}\sigma_{BA}R}{r_p^2 r_t^2}$	$\phi_0 + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot (r_A + r_B) + \pi$	-150 0 20



The propagated distance (r_A, r_B) , transmitting path (i) and $(\lambda : wavelength)$ receiving path (j) are determined by the sensor height (h_1) , target height (h_2) and distance to the target (D).



E.2.2.2 Relationship between Principle & Causal Factors of **Perception Disturbance**

Low D/U			
(Road	surface	multipath)	

E.2.2.2.1 Causal factors of Perception Disturbance based on Principle

The below is a summary of the relationship between the principle parameter, causal factor parameter and causal factor which contribute to the "signal intensity" (phenomenon parameter).

<u>Phenomenon</u> <u>Parameter</u>	Principle Parameter	<u>Causal factor</u> <u>Parameter</u>	Causal Factor
	G_{ij} : Antenna gain	Sensor angle	- Sensor misalignment
Signal	(transmit & receive)		Vehicle posture
,			Change in road shape
	D : Distance to target	Distance to target	Distance to target
-	h_1 : Sensor height	Sensor mount height	Sensor mount height
_	h ₂ : Target height	Vehicle reflection position	Size of vehicle
_	σ_{ij} : Target reflectivity	Vehicle projected area	Shape of vehicle
		Vehicle reflectivity	Material of vehicle
		Vehicle directivity of scattered waves	Shape/material of road
	R : Road surface reflectivity	Road surface reflectivity	- Condition of road surface

Low D/U (Road surface multipath)

The below table shows the relationship between the phenomenon parameter, principle parameter, causal factor parameter and the causal factor.

③Ego Vehicle/sensor	②Surrounding environment	①Recognition target

Phenomenon	Principle	Causal factor	Causal factor			
Parameter	Parameter	Parameter	 Recognition target 	②Surrounding environment	③Ego vehicle/sensor	
Signal	Antenna gain	Sensor angle	_	Change in road shape	Sensor misalignment Change in vehicle posture	
intensity	Target distance	\leftarrow	Distance to target	—	—	
	Sensor height Sensor mount heigh		—	—	Sensor mount height	
		Vehicle reflection position		—	—	
	target height target reflectivity	Vehicle projected area	Size of vehicle	—	—	
		Vehicle reflectivity	Shape of vehicle	—	—	
		Vehicle directivity of scattered waves	Material of vehicle		_	
	Road surface reflectivity	←	_	Shape/material of road surface Condition of road surface	—	

Low D/U (Road surface multipath)

E.2.2.2 Parameter Range

		Listing	the	range	of	causal	factor	parameter.
--	--	---------	-----	-------	----	--------	--------	------------

Phenomenon Parameter	Principle Parameter	Causal factor Parameter	Causal factor	Parameter Range	Explanation	
Signal			Sensor misalignment	Offset angle: 0 to ±X deg	Minimum angle where auto- misalignment detection will activate	
intensity	Antenna gain	Sensor angle	Change in vehicle posture	Pitch angle : 0 to $\pm X$ deg	Max angle possible by the vehicle	
			Change in road incline	Vertical gradient : -9 – 9%	Article 20 of the Road Construction Ordinance	
	Distance to target	←	\leftarrow	Distance to target : X to Y m	Min to max range detectable by the sensor	
	Sensor height	Sensor mount height	~	Mount height : X to Y m	Range of imaginable mounting positions	
	Target height	Vehicle reflection position		Target classified as motor vehicles under the Road Traffic Act	The size, shape and material of a vehicle each have complex impacts	
	Target reflectivity	area	Size of vehicle Shape of vehicle Material of vehicle	Size of vehicle First step is to select three Shape of vehicle representative types	measure the representative examples	
		Vehicle reflectivity Vehicle directivity of scattered waves		Large-sized vehicle (height : high) Normal vehicle (height : med) Small-sized vehicle (height : low)	(large-sized, normal and small-sized vehicles, etc.) and study the impact on each cause parameter.	
			Shape/material of road surface	All imaginable tracks Asphalt, concrete, gravel, sand, cobblestone	We need to measure and study the	
	reflectivity	<i>←</i>	Condition of road surface	All imaginable road surface conditions Wet, ice burn, road repair remains,	impacts of materials and road surface conditions which affect reflectivity.	
	1	í I		snow buildup, rut		

E.2.2.3 Evaluation Scenario

• The ego vehicle approaches the target (stationary vehicle) up ahead in its path.

ego vehicle		recognition target
	Relative speed Road surface material/condition	
Road surface	Distance	

Parameter Item	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation
Distance to target	Variable	Min to Max detection Range	Min to max range detectable by the sensor
Relative speed	Fixed	Max speed within ODD	
Target type	Fixed	Large-sized vehicle (height : high) Normal vehicle (height : medium) Small-sized vehicle (height : low)	Three levels of representative examples such as large-sized vehicles, normal vehicles, and small-sized vehicles
Road surface material	Fixed	Asphalt / Metal plate(TBD)	Typical road surface material / highly reflective road surface material
Road surface condition	Fixed	Dry / Wet	Normal road surface condition / highly reflective road surface condition

E.2.3 [mmWave Radar] Low D/U (change of angle)

Low D/U (Change of the angle)

E.2.3.1. Phenomenon and Principle of Perception Disturbance

E.2.3.1.1. Phenomenon

When the radar's central axis of FOV and the road's surface/traveling direction are not parallel due to the road's gradient/cant, the vehicles posture or due to sensor misalignment, etc., then the reflected signal from the recognition target becomes relatively smaller than the undesired signals from surrounding structures, thus causing it to become buried and resulting in a false negative.



E.2.3.1.2. Principle of Perception Disturbance

- When taking a cross-section of the intensity distribution of the radar's transmission waves in the vertical and horizontal directions, the intensity becomes relatively smaller as the angle moves further away from the central axis of the FOV. (see below)
- The intensity of the receiving wave will also vary depending on whether the reflective object is placed near the central axis or the boundary.



<Distribution of the Radio Field Intensity from a mmWave Radar>

- When the central axis of the radar's FOV is not parallel to the road surface/traveling direction, surrounding structures will move closer to the central axis, and the recognition target will move closer to the boundary. ($|\theta_U| \rightarrow \text{small}$, $|\theta_D| \rightarrow \text{large}$)
- As a result, the intensity of the undesired signal from surrounding structures (*I*_U) becomes relatively larger than the intensity of the recognition target's signal (*I*_D).
- When another condition is added which makes the peak discrimination low ($|P_D P_U|$: small or $|W_D + W_U|$: large), signal D becomes buried in U, and is therefore not detected.



Low D/U (Change of the angle)



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.



E.2.3.2. Relationship between Principle & Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance

Low D/U (Change of the angle)

E.2.3.2.1. Causal factors of Perception Disturbance based on Principle

Of the principle parameters, the below are the causal factors of the perception disturbance which give rise to a change in elevation angle $|\theta_D| \rightarrow$ large and $|\theta_U| \rightarrow$ small, being the cause for this phenomenon.



Variable Principle	Causal factors of the disturbance				
Parameters	Vehicle/sensor	Surrounding environment	Recognition target		
Elevation angle $\theta_{\rm D,} \theta_{\rm U}$	Change of the vehicle posture Fov central axis	Change in road gradient			
	• Misalignment of the sensor	• Cant road surface	IVA		

Below shows the relationship between the phenomenon parameters, principle parameters and causal factor parameters.

Low D/U (Change of the angle)



Low D/U (Change of the angle)

E.2.3.2.2. Parameter Range

Phenomenon Parameters	Principle Parameters	Contributing Causal Factors	Causal Factor Parameters	Range of Causal Factor Parameters	Explanation	
		Change in road gradient	Amount of change in road gradient	0 to 18 % (according to Article 20 of the Road Construction Ordinance, elevation angle - 9 to +9 %)		
Peak intensity	Elevation angles	Cant road	Angle of cant	0 to 10 % (according to Article 16 of the Road Construction Ordinance)	Evaluation range is the maximum angle	
ratio I _D /I _U	$\theta_{D_i} \theta_U$ (variable parameters)	surface	Radius of curve	∞ to 82 m (according to Article 15 of the Road Construction Ordinance) possible for sensor, base a combina of any one		
		Sensor misalignment	Angle of sensor misalignment	0 to min. angle where auto-misalignment detection will activate	more factors.	
		Change in vehicle posture	Pitch angle of vehicle	0 to \pm (vehicle's max. possible angle)		
Distance to		Quet a coursel factor)	Distance to recognition target	0 to min. distance required to avoid collision		
Peak discrimination $\frac{ P_{\rm D} - P_{\rm U} }{(W_{\rm D} + W_{\rm H})}$	$l_{\rm D}$, $l_{\rm U}$	(Not a causal factor)	Distance to non- recognition target	0 to min. distance required to avoid collision		
	Azimuth	(Not a coursel factor)	Angle of recognition target	0 to \pm (max. angle of the sensor's FOV)		
	$\Theta_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \Theta_{\mathrm{U}}$	(Not a causal factor)	Angle of non- recognition target	0 to \pm (max. angle of the sensor's FOV)		

 Ah The sign (condition) % The (condition) represent the struct of the struct struct	ead of the change in gradient, the e ego vehicle approaches the reco nage board in its path. situation with a gradient change cave down) is selected as the essentative scenario because of the er probability of large reflective isity from a metallic overhead ture than the road surface.	ego	vehicle relative speed	adient
Parameters			Parameter Range	Explanation
Causal factor	Change in the road gradient	Variable 0 to 18 % equivalent		Use a road which is concave down as a representative
	Initial distance to recognition target $l_{\mathbf{D}}$	Fixed	Distance required to avoid collision	
	Distance to recognition target from the inflection point <i>l</i> '	Variable	0 to $l_{\rm D}$	
	Lateral position of recognition target	Fixed	0°	Fixed on the same lane
Other than	Initial distance to signage board $l_{\rm U}$	Variable	$l_{\rm D} - 5$ to $l_{\rm D} + 5~({\rm m})$	
the causal	Lateral position of signage board	Variable	-3.5 to +3.5 (m)	assume the object within the neighboring lanes
factor	Height of signage board (to bottom edge)	Fixed	4.5m (above road)/1.5m (roadside)	According the Traffic Sign Installation Standard
	Dimensions of the signage board	Fixed	2.7 × 3.5 (m)	Guidance signage on highways
	Reflectance of the signage board	Fixed	Measured value of the real board	
	Relative speed	Fixed	Max. speed within ODD	
	Type of the recognition target	Fixed	Passenger vehicle/Pedestrian	Representative traffic participant/low reflectance

Low D/U

(Change of the angle)

dimensions/reflectance

E.2.3.2.3. Evaluation Scenario

- Traveling a road with a change in gradient (concave down)
- Ahead of the change in gradient, there is a metallic road signage board.

E.2.4 [mmWave Radar] Low S/N (direction of a vehicle)

Low S/N (Orientation of the vehicle)

E.2.4.1. The Phenomenon and Principle of Perception Disturbance

E.2.4.1.1 The Phenomenon

Electromagnetic waves are transmitted from the radar, and the intensity of the reflected electromagnetic waves which return in the direction of the radar, will depend on the projected area, reflectance and orientation of the target's surface. If the same vehicle is on a different angle, this can cause the reflection to become extremely weak, thus the vehicle, although it may be within the FOV, may go undetected.



Low S/N (Orientation of the vehicle)

E.2.4.1.2 Outline of the Principle

When reflected waves from the target are received by the radar, the intensity of the signal (S) received by the radar will depend on the receiving power (P_r) as determined by the below radar equation.

$$P_{\rm r} = \frac{\lambda^2 \cdot P_{\rm t} \cdot G_{\rm t} \left(\theta\right) \cdot G_{\rm r} \left(\theta\right) \cdot \sigma}{\left(4\pi\right)^3 \cdot R^4}$$

In this equation, P_t is the transmitting power, $G_t(\theta)$ is the transmitting antenna gain, $G_r(\theta)$ is the receiving antenna gain, σ is the target's radar cross-section, λ is the wavelength, and R is the range between the radar and the target.

As evident by this radar equation, the orientation of the vehicle contributes to low S/N (the radar cross-section (σ) of the target, or in other words the vehicle, will depend and vary according to the orientation).

The radar cross-section (σ) is expressed as a product of (a) the target's projected area, (b) the contribution rate to scattering, and (c) the directivity of scattered waves. If an object uses the same material, then the area with high directivity (in other words the points facing the radar) will have stronger reflection.

Further, the contribution rate to scattering (=Reflectance) is, "metal = 1" and " $0 \leq \text{non-metal} < 1$ ".



Radar cross-section (σ) = Projected Cross Section × Reflectivity × Directivity (m²)

- E.2.4.2. Relationship between Principle & Causal (Orientation of the vehicle) Factors of Perception Disturbance
 - E.2.4.2.1 Causal factors of Perception Disturbance based on Principle

<u>Phenomenon</u> Parameter	Principle Parameter	Causal Factor Parameter	Causal Factor
	r: Distance to target	Distance to target	Distance to recognition target when viewed from the radar
Signal intensity	G : Antenna gain in Θ direction	Angle of target when viewed from the center line of the radar	Position (angle) of recognition target of when viewed from the radar
	Г	Projected area	Size of vehicle
	σ : Target's radar cross-	Contribution rate to scattering (Reflectance)	- Material of vehicle
		Directivity of scattered waves	- Shape of vehicle

As explained in E.2.4.1, the radar cross-section will vary depending on the orientation of the vehicle. Even if the size, shape and material of the vehicle remain the same, depending on the angle at which it is viewed, the projected area, contribution rate to scattering, and the directivity of the scattered waves will differ, thus making them causal factor parameters.



Phenomenon Pr Parameter Pa	Principle		Causal Factors contributing to the change in principle parameter		
	Parameter	Causal Factor Parameter	①Recognition target	②Surrounding environment	③Vehicle/sensor
Signal Radar cros intensity section		Projected area	Size of vehicle	_	_
	Radar cross- section	Radar cross- section Contribution rate to scattering (Reflectance)		-	_
		Directivity of scattered waves	Shape of vehicle	_	_

Low S/N (Orientation of the vehicle)

E.2.4.2.2 Parameter Range

If the target is something of a complex shape, such as a vehicle, the relationship between the projected area, reflectance, and directivity will be complex. Thus, the radar cross-section (σ) (large, medium and small) has been selected based on previous research, etc.

Examples of Past Research (Measurement Results)







Source) JARI report (J-GLOBAL ID: 200909086392246974), 2004

Phenomeno n Parameter	Principle Parameter	Causal factor Parameter	Causal Factor	Parameter Range	Explanation
Signal intensity Signal intensity reflection	Projected area	Size of vehicle	3 representative models	Stipulate with the sizes of existing vehicles in the world using 3 rep models (large, medium and small)	
	Contribution rate to scattering (Reflectance)	Material of vehicle	î	Stipulate with the materials of existing vehicles in the world using 3 rep models (large, medium and small)	
	reflection Directi	Direction of scattered waves	Shape of vehicle	î	Stipulate with the shape of existing vehicles in the world using 3 rep models (large, medium and small)

E.2.4.2.3 Evaluation Scenario

A scenario whereby the ego vehicle approaches the recognition target (stationary vehicle) up ahead in the ego vehicle's lane, at a constant speed.



Ego vehicle

Stationary vehicle

Parameter Item	Variable/ Fixed	Range	Explanation
Type of recognition target	Variable	 Projected area (large/mid/small) Contribution rate to scattering= Reflectance (heavy use of metal / heavy use of non- metal / in- between) Directivity of scattered waves (uniform/biased) 	 3 levels of projected area generally 3 levels (no vehicle has zero metal used) 3 levels (relying on concentration of normal vectors in microparts of the vehicle)
Orientation of the target	Variable	0 to 30 deg.	According to the line of the road (curve R)
Distance to the target	Variable	5 to 150 m	
Relative speed	Fixed	20 km/h and below	constant

E.2.5 [mmWave Radar] Low D/U (surrounding structures)

Low D/U (Surrounding structures)

E.2.5.1. Phenomenon and Principle of Perception Disturbance

E.2.5.1.1. Phenomenon

When Surrounding structure and Pedestrian are close to each other, Undesired-Signal(U) from Surrounding structures obscures Desired-Signal(D) with low reflection intensity from the Pedestrian, resulting in a false negative.



Phenomenon mode: False negative(A target exists but is not detected)

Low D/U (Surrounding structures)

E.2.5.1.2. Outline of the Principle

If the Received power from Surrounding structures(U) is higher than the Received power from the Recognition target(D), and the D is buried in the U in both Range and Angle, D cannot be detected.



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.



E.2.5.2 Relationship between Principle & Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance



E.2.5.2.1 Causal factors of Perception Disturbance based on principle

The below is a summary of the relationship so far, between the phenomenon parameter, the principle parameter, the causal factor parameter and the causal factor.

Phenomenon parameters	Principle parameters	Causal factor parameters Causal factors
Received power(D)	r_n : Distance to subject of recognition	Distance to subjectDistance to subjectof recognition targetof recognition target
	G: Antenna gain	Azimuth angle of recognition target Azimuth angle of recognition
		Projected Cross Section of pedestrian Material of pedestrian
	σ_n : Radar cross-section	Reflectivity of pedestrian Shape of pedestrian
		Directivity of pedestrian Posture of pedestrian
	r_n : Distance to subject of recognition	Distance to subjectDistance to subjectof recognition targetof recognition target
Received power(U)	G: Antenna gain	Azimuth angle of recognition target Azimuth angle of recognition
		Projected Cross Section Size of structures
	σ_n :Radar cross-section	of structures — Material of structures
		Reflectivity of structures — Shape of structures
		Directivity of structures Posture of structures

Pedestrian

D1		Coursel for store	Causal factor		
parameter	Principle parameter	parameter	①Target	②Surrounding environment	③Ego vehicle/sensor
Received - power	Distance to subject of recognition	_	_	_	_
	Antenna gain	Angle to recognition target	Position	_	_
	Radar cross-section	Projected Cross Section	Size	_	_
		Reflectivity	Material	—	—
	(σ_n)	Directivity	Shape	—	_
		Directivity	Posture	_	_

Surrounding structures

Phenomenon parameter		Courselfector	Causal factor			
	Principle parameter	parameter	①Target	②Surrounding environment	③Ego vehicle/sensor	
Distance to s recogniReceived powerRadar cross (σ_n)	Distance to subject of recognition	_		_	—	
	Antenna gain	Angle to recognition target	_	Position	_	
	Radar cross-section	Projected Cross Section		Size	_	
		Reflectivity	—	Material		
	(σ_n)	Directivity	_	Shape		
		Directivity	_	Posture	_	

Low D/U (Surrounding structures)

E.2.5.2.2 Parameter Range

Pedestrian, Surrounding structures

Phenomenon parameter	Principle parameter	Causal factor parameter	Causal factor	Parameter Range	Explanation
Distance to subject of recognition Antenna gain Received power Radar cross-section (σ_n)	Distance to subject of recognition	←	←	Min to max detectable range	Validate by varying the distance between the min and max detectable distance of the sensor
	Antenna gain	Angle to recognition target	Position	Within FOV	Validate by varying the angles within the radar FOV
	Projected Cross Section	Size	Pedestrian:Adults and children of average body shape Structures:Pole (diameter : 50mm~300mm)	Pedestrian: Adults and children of average body shape Structures: Ex. Telegraph pole, Electric pole	
	Radar cross-section	Reflectivity	Material	Pedestrian : Human body Structures : Metal, Concrete	Require database for physical property values in millimeter wave band
	(<i>σ</i> _n)	(σ _n) Directivity	Shape	Pedestrian : Adults and children of average body shape Structures : Cylindrical	Pedestrian : Adults and children of average body shape Structures : Shape with high reflection intensity regardless of apparent angle
			Posture	Pedestrian : Walking Structures : Vertical	Pedestrian : Walking Structures : Nomal Posture

E.2.5.2.3. Evaluation Scenario

- > Evaluated by driving on a road where utility poles exist on the side of the traveled way as roadside objects.
- \succ Ego vehicle evaluate by driving in the center position of the lane.
- > Evaluated in a scene where a pedestrian crosses the path of own vehicle.
- > The evaluation will place roadside structures (poles, posts, etc.) behind pedestrians.

Travel speed	Angle ₁	Angle₂ Distance₁ Distance ₂	Moving speed
Parmeter Item		Range	Explanation
Distance to recognition target	Variable	Min to max detectable range	Validate by varying the distance between the min and max detectable distance of the sensor
Angle to recognition target	Variable	Within FOV	Validate by varying the angles within the radar FOV
Number of recognition targets	Variable	Adult, Child	Adults and children of average body shape
Vehicle speed	Fixed	It is specified by ODD Maximum speed	
Moving speed of recognition target	Variable	5km/h~8km/h	Evaluate the moving speed of the recognition target by varying in the range of 5 to 8 km/h

E.3 Principle models and evaluation scenarios of LiDAR

As examples for LiDAR, following 3 of principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances are described.

- · Attenuation of signal (recognition target)
- Noise
- Signal not from recognition target (reflection from raindrops)

E.3.1 [LiDAR] Attenuation of signal (recognition target)

E.3.1.1 Phenomenon and Principle

E.3.1.1.1 Phenomenon

Explanation of the Phenomenon (1/2)

The situation whereby the target cannot be detected as a three-dimensional object unless it is closer in range than what is the assumed detectable range (false negative).



S attenuation (Recognition target)

Explanation of the Phenomenon (2/2)

The phenomenon of a non-detection occurs when the reflection points attached to a recognition target are continuously not output as the point cloud.



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

S attenuation (Recognition target)

E.3.1.1.2 Outline of the Principle

S attenuation (Recognition target)

The reflection from the target is too weak and thus the peak cannot be detected at the assumed detectable range, leading to the target not being detected.

Detect the peak of the received signal, and calculate the range from the delay in time. When the reflection is too weak and does not meet the detection threshold, then the S cannot be detected.



E.3.1.1.3 Principle Model

S attenuation (Recognition target)

The reflection intensity of the target, the same as for the Millimeter wave, is believed to be expressed as the product of the projected area of the target, the contribution rate to scattering and the directivity of scattered waves, and a large reflection is acquired with higher directivity (in other words the points facing the LiDAR) as compared to another object with the same surface material.



S attenuation (Recognition target)

Derive the causal factors of disturbance from the principle of the targets' reflection.

	Principle	Causal factors of disturbance
Contribution rate to scattering Difference in the reflection intensity of targets	Light entry Onevration point Conversion object Characterian object When the material of the reflective object is changed, the reflection intensity will change even if the angle of incidence and the observation point remain the same	The factors that instigate a change in the reflection intensity include different colors and materials used for painted surfaces, clothing, etc. Color (luminosity) Material Picture showing a change in reflection due to different coating used.
Directivity of scattered waves Projected area of the target Difference in the reflection intensity due to a difference in the angle of incidence/reflection	If the angle of incidence changes, the reflection intensity will change	The factors that instigate a change in the reflection intensity when the angle of incidence/reflection changes, include different colors and materials used for painted surfaces, clothing, etc. Color (luminosity) Material Picture showing a change in the reflectance caused by a difference in angle. A factor which instigates a change in the angle of incidence reflectance or the observation point, includes the observation
Difference in the reflection intensity due to the difference in normal vector.	If the normal vector of the target's surface changes, the reflection intensity will change.	The factors that instigate a change in the reflection intensity when the angle of incidence/reflection changes, include different colors and materials used for painted surfaces, clothing, etc. Color (luminosity) Material Picture showing a change in the reflectance caused by a difference in shape. A factor that instigates a change in the normal vector of a target's surface, includes the shape of the target. Difference in shape according to model

E.3.1.2 Relationship Between Principle and Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance

S attenuation (Recognition target)

E.3.1.2.1 Causal factors based on Principle

The causal factor parameters are derived from the causal factors of perception disturbance related to reflection from the recognition target based on the principle parameters.




S attenuation (Recognition target)

(Recognition target)

E.3.1.2.2 Parameter Range

Moving objects are considered in terms of 'vehicles' and 'people'.



The color and the material of a vehicle are looked at in terms of the reflective properties of the surface coating. A black vehicle has been selected for its low diffuse reflection, alongside a vehicle with specular reflection.



S attenuation (Recognition target)

The shape of a vehicle is considered in terms of how it hits the LiDAR. A shape for which the number of reflection points the LiDAR hits is few and a shape for which reflection does not return easily, have been selected.



The difference in reflection intensity of pedestrians, motorcyclists (motorcycles) and cyclists (bicycles):

The reflection intensity will differ depending on the person's clothing, luggage, color of skin and hair, helmet, etc. The parameter range to be considered here is reflection from the clothing only, as this occupies the biggest area.

Select from plant-based (cotton), animal-based (leather) and artificial (chemical fibers, reflective material).

Example of the Different Near Infrared Reflections by Material



http://molsci.center.ims.ac.jp/discussion_past/2003/BK2003/Abs/4pp/4Pp063.pdf

S attenuation (Recognition target)

Pedestrians

When considering the difference in the shape of pedestrians we look at their size and posture. Smaller people are more difficult to detect; therefore, we will consider the build of a Japanese person (who is relatively small) as the worst case scenario.



The size of pedestrians is expressed by the frame that surrounds the body. Height, width and thickness correspond to height (B1), shoulder width (D2) and thickness at

2 Difference in Posture



The height from the road will differ depending on posture. 'Posture' is to be considered as a parameter.



Mounted objects

For now this includes arrow boards and safety cones which appear at the boarder of driving lanes.



Fallen objects

For now, this will include tires, included under car parts, which ranked one of the highest for fallen objects based on occurrences.

2nd	3rd
Tires	Wood
(outer circumference 503 mm	
165/60R12 for light vehicles)	

Object was selected in reference to the ranking in NEXCO's (Central Nippon Expressway

S attenuation (Recognition target)

The below list summarizes the parameter ranges

Principle parameter	Causal factor	Causal factor parameter	Parameter Range	Explanation
Reflectance (BRDF) Vehicle Shape		High off-ground vehicle body Low vehicle Motorcycles, bicycles Square-edged vehicles Rounded vehicles	Clears bottom of body and only reflects tires It is difficult for the top layer of beams to hit the loading platform There are few reflections points in the horizontal direction Depending on orientation it is difficult for the normal vector to face the LiDAR It is difficult for the normal vector to face the LiDAR	
		Color , Material	Black paint Specular reflection	Has few diffuse reflection elements Depending on the orientation, specular reflection will occur and not return
	Pedestrians		Big, small Standing, sitting, lying	Evaluate the variations of body build and posture
			Black leather clothing	Of all clothing types, this is assumed to have particularly low reflection
	Mounted	Shape	Triangular cones, arrow signs	Appear on tracks as a way of bordering lanes
	objects		Color and material of the above mounted objects	The difference in variations is assumed to be minimal. Low priority.
	Fallen objects	Shape	Tires Wood	Low lying, and difficult for the normal vector to face the LiDAR Low lying, depending on orientation, difficult for the normal vector to face the LiDAR
		Color , Material	Color and material of the above fallen objects	The difference in variations is assumed to be minimal. Low priority.

E.3.1.2.3 Evaluation Scenario

Scenario F-1

Evaluate based on "a vehicle cut-in on a straight road" scenario.

Outline

- Recognition target: Cut-in vehicle
- Change the reflectance and shape of the target

[Parameters]

ameter	① Reflectance (directivity)	Coating material = black, specular surface
factor pai	② Shape	Vehicle = e.g.) Defence force truck, lowboy, trailer, motorcycle, light duty truck, light sports car
Causal	③ Relative position	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario, thus is not determined here.
equired tion	④ Speed of ego vehicle	Max. speed within ODD
ters re valua	5 Relative speed	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario, thus is not determined here
Parame for e	6 Lateral cut-in speed	



S attenuation (Recognition target)

Scenario F-2

Evaluate based on "a vehicle cut-out on a straight road" scenario.

[Outline]

- Recognition target: a stationary object in front of the preceding vehicle which cuts-out
- Change the reflectance and shape of the target

[Parameters]

ausal factor parameter	① Reflectance (directivity)	Vehicle : Coating material = black, specular surface Person : clothing = leather, chemical fibres, cotton, reflective material Mounted/fallen objects : the reflectance of each target
	② Shape	Vehicle = evaluate using deceleration scenario Person = standing, sitting, lying, traffic controllers, bicycles Mounted objects = safety cones, arrow signs Fallen objects = tires, wood
	③ Relative position	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario; thus, it is not determined here.
ed for	④ Speed of ego vehicle	Max. speed within ODD
s requir duation	⑤ Relative speed of preceding vehicle	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario, thus is not determined here.
Paramete	6 Lateral cut-out speed of preceding vehicle	



S attenuation (Recognition target)

S attenuation (Recognition target)

Scenario F-3

Evaluate based on "a vehicle decelerates on a straight road" scenario

[Outline]

- •Recognition target: a decelerating vehicle
- Changing the reflectance and shape of the target

[Parameters]

rameter	①Reflectance (directivity)	Coating material = black, specular surface
factor pa	②Shape	Vehicle = e.g.) Defence force truck, lowboy, trailer, motorcycle, light duty truck, light sports car
Causal	③Relative position	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario; thus, it is not determined here.
quired	④Speed of ego vehicle	Max. speed within ODD
eters re evaluat	⑤Relative speed (initial)	This is defined under the traffic flow scenario, thus is not determined here.
Param	[©] Deceleration speed	



E.3.2 [LiDAR] Noise

E.3.2.1 Phenomenon and Principle

E.3.2.1.1 Phenomenon

Explanation of the Phenomenon (1/2)

The situation whereby the target cannot be detected as a three-dimensional object unless it is closer in range than what is the assumed detectable range (false negative).



Noise

Explanation of the Phenomenon

The target is detected in a position which is not the true position (false positive).



Noise

Noise

Explanation of the Phenomenon

The phenomenon occurs when the reflection points attached to a recognition target are continuously not output as the point cloud.





If an infrared light such as sunlight, which occurs routinely, enters the light receiver as 'noise', this noise and the reflection from the recognition target as a total becomes saturated, preventing proper detection.

If a powerful light that occurs routinely, such as sunlight, enters the signal receiver, this causes saturation and ultimately malfunction.

[Case of buried signals in noise]



If an infrared light such as sunlight, which occurs routinely, enters the light receiver as 'noise', and the reflection from the recognition target (which has weak reflection) becomes mixed with the noise, this can prevent detection.

If a powerful light that occurs routinely, such as sunlight, enters the signal receiver, this can cause malfunction.

<Noise Due to Disturbance Light>



The lights that pass through the lens from the range where signals can be received include ① scattered light from the one that the LiDAR sent, ② scattered lights from other lights and ③ self-emitting lights within the range. These cannot be distinguished from each other, and thus they will all pass through the same optical path, and all be received as signals.*

All lights other than 1 become noise components.

*As the wavelength sent by the LiDAR itself is recognized, it will normally have a filter that cuts out light from any other wavelength. Lights that become noise components are what fall within the wavelength range used for LiDAR transmission.

Noise

Noise

Noise

<Noise Due to Ghost>



Lights that fall outside of the range wherein signals can be received will travel along a normal light path to somewhere other than the signal receiver; thus, they will not be received. However, there are times when lights from outside of the signal receiving range may be received due to internal reflection, etc. (thick line).

Normally, antireflection film, etc., would be used to suppress internal reflection; therefore, this might occur when there is a strong incident light (such as sunlight, headlamps, signals from other manufacturers' LiDAR, etc.)

Noise caused by internal reflection has been deemed low priority; thus, it will not be dealt with as a perception disturbance scenario in the safety evaluation



Parameters considered as noise

Noise

Scattering property

The reflection intensity of the target, the same as for the Millimeter wave, is believed to be expressed as the product of the projected area of the target, the contribution rate to scattering and the directivity of scattered waves, and a large reflection is acquired with higher directivity (in other words the points facing the LiDAR) as compared to another object with the same surface material.



Derive each causal factor of disturbance, from the principle of the target's reflection.

Noise



E.3.2.2 Relationship Between Principle and Causal Factors of Perception Disturbances

E.3.2.2.1 Causal Factors based on Principle

Derive causal factors from the causal factor parameters.

Phenomenon Principle Parameter Causal Factor Parameter					
<u>Parameter</u>		Vehicle/sensor	Surrounding environment	Recognition target	
Amount of	Scattering property B(0)			Color / material, shape	Disturbance
noise	Angle of incidence θi		Position (light source)	Position, shape	light originated
Noise region	Light intensity Pi		Intensity of the light source		in renected light
[Property of the radiated light R		Intensity of the light source		Disturbance
	Range of the radiated light		Position (light source)		in direct light



E.3.2.2.2 Parameter Range

The below is a list summarizing the parameter ranges.

•	In case of	disturbance	light	originated	in reflected	light
---	------------	-------------	-------	------------	--------------	-------

Causal factors		Causal factor parameter Range		Explanation (or reason)	
ent	ece		Elevation angle	20 to 90 deg.	All the range in which the sunlight can be reflected light for the LiDAR (the culmination altitude on the day of the summer solstice around northern latitude of 35 deg: about 78 deg.)
vironne	ht sour	Sunlight	Azimuth angle	-180 to -150, 150 to 180 deg.	\pm 30 deg in the rear of the ego vehicle (traveling direction \equiv 0 deg)
En	⊡ ⊐ Brigl		Brightness	20,000 to 120,000 lx	The brightness of the sunlight in daytime on a sunny day in summer: about 120,000 lx in maximum (calculated based on the insolation observational data by Japan Meteorological Agency)

· In case of disturbance light originated in direct light

Causal factors		Causal factor parameter	Range	Explanation (or reason)	
ent	ICe	t	Elevation angle	Range of FOV	The range in which the sunlight can enter to the LiDAR directly
vironm	unulight	hgilm	Azimuth angle	Range of FOV	The range in which the sunlight can enter to the LiDAR directly
En	Lie	5	Brightness	20,000 to 100,000 lx	Estimating the brightness of the sunlight in the altitude range around to 60 deg.

Noise

Noise





E.3.3 [LiDAR] Signal not from recognition target (reflection from raindrops)

E.3.3.1 Phenomenon and Principle

E.3.3.1.1 Phenomenon Generation of False Points due to Reflection (Scattering) by Raindrops Recognition target can be detected Precipitation amount : Light ex. < 1mm/h LIDAR A small number of rainfall false points are appearing Detected points from the target are decreased Precipitation amount : Moderate ex. < a few mm/h LiDAR Rainfall false points are increased Recognition target cannot be detected Precipitation amount : Heavy ex. < tens of mm/h LiDAR Large number of rainfall false points (false positives) are generated

Signal not from recognition target

(Reflection from raindrops)

The beam emitted from the LiDAR is reflected and scattered by raindrops in space, which weakens the reflection from the recognition target. Rainfall false points are generated due to reflections from raindrops, reducing the number of target detection points. As the amount of rainfall increases, the number of false points increases, and eventually the target cannot be detected.



154

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Signal not from recognition target (Reflection from raindrops)

Principle of False Point Generation by Raindrops

[Case : Rainfall amount increases (false points occur)]



E.3.3.1.3 Principle Model

LiDAR Equation due to Scattering of Raindrops in Space

Signal not from recognition target (Reflection from raindrops)

* Assuming coaxial type LiDAR

The received power due to the scattering of raindrops in space at a distance R from the LiDAR is expressed by the LiDAR equation below. It considers the reflection (scattering) from raindrops to be from a detection volume existing in space. And it considers the term (transmittance) T that represents the attenuation by rain and the term (reflectance equivalent value) ρ that represents the reflection due to the scattering of raindrops.



LiDAR equations when the reflection from the target is attenuated by raindrops

* Assuming coaxial type LiDAR

The received power when the reflection from an object (target) at a distance R from the LiDAR is attenuated by raindrops in space is expressed by the LiDAR equation below. It is the one representing the reflection from the target (reflectance (BRDF) γ) multiplied by a term (transmittance)T representing the attenuation due to raindrops.



In case of $P_{RX (rain)}(R) > P_{RX (Target)}(R)$, false point due to raindrops occurs at that beam.

E.3.3.2 Relationship Between Principle and Causal Factors of Perception Disturbances

Signal not from recognition target (Reflection from raindrops)

E.3.3.2.1 Causal Factors based on Principle

Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance due to Rainfall and their Parameters (Phenomenon, Principle, Causal Factors)

Phenomena that occur as perception disturbances due to rainfall are the attenuation of reflected light from the recognition target and non-detection (shielding) of it due to the occurrence of rainfall false points in space. The phenomenon parameter is the received intensity from the recognition target while it's raining.

In this case, the causal factors are organized into four categories: "recognition target", "ego-vehicle's position/orientation and recognition target's position/orientation", "rainfall condition", and "LiDAR specification".

The following page summarizes the relationship between phenomenon parameters, principle parameters related to them, and causal factors and causal factor parameters.

The received intensity from the recognition target while it's raining is related to two phenomena: the reflection from the recognition target, which is attenuated by rainfall, and the effect of the false points due to the scattering of raindrops in space.



E.3.3.2.2 Parameter Range

(Reflection from raindrops)

Parameter Range of Causal Factor : Rainfall Condition

The parameter range related to the causal factor "rainfall condition" is shown below.

- Note 1) Causal factors : Recognition target, ego-vehicle and target position/orientation are subject to description in other recognition disturbance principles, so they are omitted here.
- Note 2) Causal factor: LiDAR specification is out of the scope for description of this page because the parameter values intrinsic to the LiDAR hardware used should be set for validation.

Causal factor	Principle parameters	Causal factor parameters	Parameter range	Explanation (or reason)
Rainfall condition		Precipitation	0 ~ 50mm/h	Operational condition of Hitachi BRT bus while it's raining
	Spatial transmittance	Raindrop size	0.1 ~ 5mm	From measured data example at the rainfall experiment facility (Note 3)
		Raindrop velocity	0.1 ~ 10 m/s	same above
	Reflectance equivalent value of raindrops	Precipitation	0 ~ 50mm/h	Operational condition of Hitachi BRT bus while it's raining
		Raindrop size	0.1 ~ 5mm	From measured data example at the rainfall experiment facility (Note 3)
		Raindrop velocity	0.1 ~ 10 m/s	same above

Note 3) This range was set based on the raindrop size and velocity distribution data measured using a distrometer at the Large-scale Rainfall Simulator of National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED).

Precipitation setting 50mm/h

• Using the 2nd system nozzle

E.3.3.2.3 Evaluation Scenario

Scenario F-1

Evaluate based on "a vehicle cut-in on a straight road" scenario

[Outline]

- Recognition target: Cut-in vehicle
- Set the rainfall condition within the following parameter range
- Change the reflectance and shape of the target

[Parameters] For the i condition			tems marked with (*), select the evaluation as from the description range.	
tor TS	Rainfall condition	①Precipitation (*)	0 ~ 50 mm/h	
isal fac ramete		(2) Raindrop size (*)	0.1 ~ 5mm	
Cau Pa		③Raindrop velocity (*)	0.1 ~ 10m/s	
	Recognition target	(4)Reflectance	Coating material=black, white effect pigment(metallic/pearl)	
uired			(5)Shape	Vehicle=passenger car, motorcycle
ters req valuati		6 Relative position	Range defined under the traffic flow scenario, not determined here	
arame	⑦Speed of ego vehicle		Maximum speed defined within the ODD	
	8 Relative specified	eed	Range defined under the traffic flow scenario, not determined here	
	9Lateral cut-	in speed		



Signal not from recognition target

Scenario F-2

Evaluate based on "a vehicle cut-out on a straight road" scenario

[Outline]

- Recognition target: Stops after the preceding vehicle cut-out
- · Set the rainfall condition within the following parameter range
- Change the reflectance and shape of the target









Pedestrian Scenario

Evaluate based on "Pedestrian crossing" scenario

[Outline]

- Recognition target: Pedestrian
- Set the rainfall condition within the following parameter range
- Change the reflectance and shape of the pedestrian

[Parame	eters	For the the eval	items marked with (*), select aution conditions from the description range.	
ctor ers	Rainfall	①Precipitation (*)	0 ~ 50 mm/h	
sal fa amet	condition	(2) Raindrop size (*)	0.1 ~ 5mm	
Caus		(3)Raindrop velocity (*)	0.1 ~ 10m/s	6 (G) Relative
	Recognition target	(4)Reflectance	Clothing color=black, white Material = cotton, polyester, leather	
		(5)Shape	Conforms to the target shape of the NCAP Pedestrian test (adult/child)	Shap
required		6 Relative position	Range defined in the NCAP CPNO scenario	Ego vehicle
eval	⑦Speed of eg	o vehicle	Maximum speed defined within the ODD	OSpeed of
For	Orrespondence (B) Crossing sp	eed of pedestrian	Range defined in the NCAP CPNO scenario	ego vehicle
Å Å	③Relative po object	sition of occluding	Longitudinal: 1.0m before pedestrian crossing Lateral: 3.0m left from vehicle center position	Road shape = straight line Road width=3.5m
	(1)Shape of oc	cluding object	Longitudinal length: more than 10m Lateral length: 2m Height: 2m	
				(9)Relative position of occluding object

Signal not from recognition target (Reflection from raindrops)

Pedestrian

=Recognition target

[Picture]

④Reflectance

Q Palativa position

(5)Shape

1 Precipitation

2 Raindrop size3 Raindrop velocity

Orrossing speed

of pedestrian

E.4 Principle models and evaluation scenarios of Camera

As examples for Camera, following 3 of principle models and evaluation scenarios of perception disturbances are described.

- Hidden (image cut out)
- · Low spatial frequency / Low contrast (caused by spatial obstruction)
- Overexposure

E.4.1 [Camera] Hidden (image cut out)

E.4.1.1 Phenomenon and Principle

E.4.1.1.1 Phenomenon

The recognition target is partially or fully cut off due to hiding by an object or due to moving out of the FOV, leading to a loss of information required for extracting features. It leads False Negative or position error.

Example

Hidden due to an obstruction on the road (incl. other traffic participants)



E.4.1.1.2 Outline of the Principle

Hidden (image cut out)

When the recognition target is partially hidden, the camera's recognition function may not be able to properly extract features. Even if features can be extracted, the identification function will not be able to match the learning data, resulting in an error in recognition (nondetection or incorrect classification).



161

Hidden due to being dirty



C

Causal factors based on the principle

Phenomeno		ienon Mode	1		2		1	2	1	2	
		Amount		Defined by the principle for each sensor							
	Degree	Region		Full area	Ill area within frame		Attached to the Partial hiding of th recognition target	recognition target	Attached The recognition target enters the hidden frame	ed to the frame on he	
		Amount of change per unit of time			R	ange	of change defined by	the principle/causal fact	or		
	Time		Contin	iuous	Temporar	ary Continuous		Temporary	Continuous	Temporary	
	Thie	Duration	Amount Region	Time(t)	AmountRegion	Time(Amount Region	Amount Region	Amount Resion	(t) Amount Region	
Ca ĩao Ev Sc	usal tors an aluatior enario	d The f frame contin hidde remov	ull area of the is nuously n, and is not ved	e The frame conti but is by th etc.	full area of the e is nuously hidden, then removed e wiper blades,	A fe has surf reco	oreign object adhered to the face of the ognition target	The change point between the state of being hidden and the state of not being hidden	The frame is partially dirty, and this is not removed	The frame is partially dirty, but this is then remove by the wiper blade etc.	
										Hidden (image cut out)	

B

If correct feature extraction is not achieved, the size, orientation, and position of the object cannot be detected correctly. In addition, if the orientation and position are not detected correctly, errors will occur in tracking, causing recognition errors in estimated position and velocity.



E.4.1.1.3 Principle Model

Hidden (image cut out)

Relationship between internal and external models



Internal to Camera

Several techniques exist for extracting trait information and for identification, and therefore cannot be specified. However, here we provide examples of some classic techniques

Extract feature	【Detect Shape】 The differentiation operator is approximated to extract the trait points, corner points,	【Detect Figure】 Straight line detection, curve detection (Hough transform)
	and edges, and then unique analysis, extreme value search, etc. are conducted. E.g. edge detection, corner detection, blob detection, etc.	【Detect Region】 Divides the area of the image/cut out the area of the target and distinguishes it from the remaining area.
Identify Tdentify	lata The process for screening the learning data. E.g. template matching, de lata using edges, matching of t	points which are highly similar to etection based on color, detection rait information.

Hidden (image cut out)

External to Camera

The light ray travels straight and is hidden by an object other than the recognition target



<Model directly related to recognition error >

• Use a model where light emitted from the object (including reflected light) travels straight through a constant medium.

<Model related to recognition error, however impact is minimal (for reference) >

- Refraction occurs on the border of a medium, such as a glass surface or rainwater, etc.
- A phenomenon known for electromagnetic waves (incl. visible light). Strictly speaking there is diffraction, however, based on the degree of impact it is not considered as a problem causing hiding error





													(im	age	cut	out	t)
Functional Scenario	ALKS Scenario]	Lane		T Info	raffi orma	c tion	Mo	ving	Obj	ject	Obs on	truct Roa	tion ad	Envi	ronm	ıent
		Lane markings	Structures	Edge of road	Traffic lights	Road signs	Road surface signs	Other vehicles	Motorcycles	Bicycles	Pedestrians	Fallen objects	Mounted objects	Animals	Sunlight	Road surface	Up above/Tunnels
F-1	Cut-in	0					0	0	0				0				
F-2	Cut-out	0					0	0	0	0	0		0				
F-3	Deceleration	0					0	0	0				0				
F-B1-14	Lane-keeping	0	0	0	0							0	0				
F-4	Blind-spot (Vertical)	0						0	0			0	0				

Hiding

E.4.1.2.3 Parameter Range

Hidden (image cut out)

Hidden

(image cut out)

Phenomenon	Principle	Causal Factor	Causal Factor	Parameter Range	Conditions				
parameter	Parameter	Parameter			STEP 1	STEP 2			
Amount / Region	Hiding position of the	FoV range	Ego vehicle/sensor Camera frame	Depends on camera used by test subject					
	recognition target	Type of hiding object	Ego vehicle/sensor Structure of ego vehicle	Wiper blades, bonnet					
			Ego vehicle/sensor Adherence of foreign object	Dirty windshield 0 to 100[%]	Dirty but wiped off with wiper blades	Edges of the image are dirty			
			Surrounding environment Floating objects	Uniform distribution Single					
			Surrounding environment Road structures	Road's vertical incline 6[%]					
			Surrounding environment Traffic participants, structures	Traffic participant : vehicle Structure : side wall					
		Position of hiding object [m] [traversing direction] Position of recognition target [m] [traversing direction]	Surrounding environment Position of hiding object [traversing direction]	Ratio of wrapping 0 to 100[%]	Rate of hiding of recognition target approx 25[%], position in traversing direction	Rate of hiding of recognition target approx. 50[%], position in traversing direction			
			recognition target Adherence of foreign object on recognition target	Dirt					
			recognition target Position of recognition target [traversing direction]	Position relative to the hiding object					
	Rate of hiding [%] of the	of hiding of the gnition at	Surrounding environment Size of hiding object	Size of two-wheeled motor vehicles to large-sized truck	Hiding by a light vehicle	Hiding by a large-sized truck			
	recognition target		Surrounding environment Position of hiding object [direction of travel]	Appropriate distance between vehicles according to speed					
			recognition target Size of recognition target	Passenger vehicle					
			recognition target Position of recognition target [direction of travel]	Appropriate distance between vehicles according to speed					

The Hiding Position



An obstruction to recognizing the profile of the target. The difference in contrast to the background disappears. The profile is hidden. An obstruction to recognizing the features of the target. The traits identifying the recognition target are hidden (in the case on the left this would be the tail lamps and the license plate)



Hidden

(image cut out)

Hidden (image cut out)



E.4.1.2.4 Evaluation Scenario

E.4.1.2.4.1 Cut-in

The object to be recognized enters the front of the own lane at a constant lateral speed from a position where the field of view is restricted by an attached object.

Ego dx0	
	Vy respectively
Ve0 dv0	
<u>,.</u>	
	VOU
	Challenging vehicle



Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation
Distance to the target	e to the target Variable Longitudinal position dx		Cut-in distance at the slowest velocity to the maximum sensing distance of the sensor.
		Lateral position y0 : 3.5[m]	
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph] Lateral velocity Vy [kph]	Fastest and slowest velocities with respect to the preventable criteria in ALKS.
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White	Since the scenario is specified by the hiding ratio, it does not depend on the size and shape of the object part. Select a standard object.
Degree of hiding of the detection-target due to adherence of foreign object	Variable	In relation to the bounding box of the detection-target ① Initial50[%] → Final0[%] ② Initial100[%] → Final50[%]*	*In case of "initial 100[%]", the final value depends on the scenario (as a rule of thumb, determine the size of the hiding to be close to 50[%])



Supplementary of Cut-in Scenario

Hidden (image cut out)

In a cut-in scenario, consider a situation where a target that is partially or fully hidden becomes an ACC target by entering your lane.





Whether or not the position and distance after the cut-in is correctly output will determine whether or not it is safely controlled.

Although the hiding rate of the final position is determined by the scenario, it has a certain range depending on the placement of the hiding.

Since the distance between the entrance pupil and the windshield is constant, once the initial target position and the hiding rate are determined, the limits on the size of the hiding are determined. Since the position of the hiding object is arbitrary, the size is not uniquely determined (it can be larger than the viewing angle to the target).

It is desirable to set the size and position as close as possible to the final hiding ratio while adhering to the initial hiding ratio.

Hidden

(image cut out)

E.4.1.2.4.2 Cut-out

The recognition target cuts out from the position where it is hidden. The recognition target in the foreground was partially visible, while the recognition target in the background, which exists farther away, is more shielded.





Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation	
Distance to the target	istance to the target Variable Longitudinal position dx0 [m]		Cut-out distance at the slowest speed	
		Longitudinal position dx0_f [m]	to the maximum sensing distance of the sensor.	
Relative velocity to the target Variable Variable Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph] Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Vf0 [kph]		Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph]	The fastest and slowest speeds against the preventable criteria in the cut-out	
		scenario.		
		Lateral velocity Vy [kph]		
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White	Since the scenario is specified by the hiding ratio, it does not depend on the size and shape of the object part. A standard object is selected.	
Degree of hiding of the detection-target due to adherence of foreign object	Variable	In relation to the bounding box of the detection-target ① Initial50[%] → Final[0%]	The hiding ratio should be set for the vehicle ahead.	

Supplementary of Cut-out Scenario

Hidden (image cut out)

Hidden

(image cut out)

In the cut-out scenario, when the vehicle in front that is partially hidden moves to the adjacent lane and the previous vehicle becomes the ACC target, it is evaluated that the partially hidden condition does not lead to a dangerous event.



If the vehicle ahead is completely occluded, camera recognition is not possible, so this is another case such as the occlusion detection function. Since the problem here is the error in the output result of the position and distance to the recognition target due to the occlusion, the complete occlusion of the vehicle ahead is not included.

E.4.1.2.4.3 Lane-Keep

Drive at a constant speed along your lane in a hidden situation.

Ego		1st	driving	lane
V	e0	2nd	driving	lane
	d			



Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation
Velocity of ego vehicle	Fixed	Ve0: 120 [kph]	The maximum speed limit for the high way in Japan
Width of driving lane	Fixed	3.5[m]	Typical lane width of the high way in Japan
Curvature of lane	Fixed	R380	
Type of the target	Variable	Shape: solid line, dotted line Color: white, yellow	
Amount which the ego vehicle's driving lane marking lines are hidden due to the adherence of a foreign object (disturbance)	Fixed	Degree of hiding :50[%]	
Longitudinal position according to the center of the adherence of a foreign object	Fixed	d: 20[m]/ 60[m]/ 100[m]	

E.4.1.2.4.4 Blind-spot (vertical)

Hidden (image cut out)

While driving on a sloped road surface (convex shape), approaching the recognition target in front of own lane at a constant speed.



Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]	From the limit where the ground surface of the recognition target is visible to the limit where the top of the recognition target is visible.
Relative velocity to the target	Fixed	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph]	Follow the traffic flow scenario to be combined.
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: white	It does not depend on the size and shape of the object because the scenario is defined by the hiding rate. Select a standard object.
Road structure vertical incline	Fixed	Vertical cross sectional incline: 6[%]	The most severe value with reference to the Road Structure Ordinance.

E.4.2 [Camera] Low spatial frequency / low contrast (caused by spatial obstruction)

E.4.2.1 Phenomenon and principle

E.4.2.1.1 Phenomenon



The degree of drop in spatial frequency and contrast of the image can be expressed with MTF.

Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

Low Spatial Frequency

Low Spatial Frequency

Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

A drop in spatial frequency can be expressed as a drop in the high frequency MTF (equivalent to blurring). Contrast is the difference in brightness or chromaticity between the object and the background, and the overall contrast reduction in the image is expressed by the MTF reduction in all frequency bands.



MTF (Modulation Transfer Function)

MTF is the amplitude ratio of the frequency and the input/output waves, when sine waves are input into the system.

It is the value representing the degree of gathering of light from a certain area of the recognition target, at the corresponding position in the image. It allows to quantitavely test the performance of the lens, and allows testing of the image formation and contrast at the same time.

Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

E.4.2.1.2 Outline of the principle

If there is an obstruction within the space of concern then the lights reflected from the recognition target can hit that obstruction (particles) within the space, causing scattering, absorption and reflection, resulting in attenuation prior to reaching the camera. (The degree of scattering, absorption and reflection, will depend on the size and concentration of the particles).

The luminance scattered by obstacles in the space due to the direct luminance from the light source is added to the attenuated luminance to become the camera incident luminance.



Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

Phenomenon Parameter Amount Spatial frequency Contrast Difference in brightness & color between the target and the background Exhibit: Pxhere.com: CC0 License Covers the whole frame or is only localized Region and accompanies the recognition target. Spatial frequency and contrast drop Duration Amount of change over time (gradually or suddenly) over time Temporary or continuous

How it Affects Recognition/Controls

These can become a factor for error or prevent detection of the recognition target, when converting the image coordinates into positional information in the 3D space.



Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

Visibility drops due to spatial obstructions in accordance with the principle explained thus far.

There are various types of spatial obstructions. Here we have categorized them by the size of their particles according to previously mentioned principle. This is further categorized into "region", which is dealt with in the phenomenon mode (i.e. all or partial).

(Of these categories, ④ floating objects and ⑧ flying objects are looked at under the error mode "Hidden").



%Red font refers to the parameter of the disturbance

E.4.2.1.3 Principle Model



Relationship between internal and external models






Exhaust gas and spray up (of rain or snow) have been listed as 'disturbances', with the parameters for the principle and disturbance being temporarily defined as below:



The luminance of a light source is determined by the spectral characteristics of the light source, the position of the light source, and the light distribution characteristics.



(Caused by Spatial Obstruction)



Impact of Light Source on Visibility

The light source from a target (tail lamps, etc.), can become the trait information used to detect the target (especially at night).

Spatial obstructions such as fog, etc. can cause veiling of the lights, causing the intensity distribution of the light veiling to become superimposed onto the intensity distribution of the actual light source.

(The intensity will gradually decrease as it extends out away from the light source).

The intensity ratio between the veiling and the actual light source, will change in constant relationship with fog density.

Further, if the difference in intensity between the light source and the background is large, the veiling will appear more prominent.

E4.2.2 Relationship Between Principle and Causal Factors of Perception Disturbance

E4.2.2.1 Causal factors based on the Principle

Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

Mode A Full frame

The below shows the relationship between disturbances (spatial obstructions) and their parameters.









E4.2.2.2 Parameter Range

Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

Phenomenon Parameter	Principle	Causal Factor Parameter		Parameter Range	Conditions		Basis
	Parameter			Until limit of ODD	STEP 1	STEP 2	
Spatial frequency	Visibility	Visibility	Fog	limit of ODD[m]∼∞ [m]			
Contrast	drast Rainfall Rain intensity		0~limit of ODD [mm/h] (50[mm/10min])	30, 50, 80[mm/h]			
		Snowfall intensity Wind speed	Snow	0~limit of ODD [mm/h] 0~limit of ODD [m/s]			Standard until traffic regulations apply
	Distance	Relative	Recognition target : relative				
		coordinates		Refer to traffic flow scenario			
			position				
Amount of change per unit of time				∞ *Assume the exit point of a tunnel or sudden change in weather			Difficult to define worst case (realistic) scenario (max amount of change), thus for now is ∞
Duration				Continuous			'Continuous' is more severe

Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

 Explanation of the recognition targets
 (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

 Targets that are similar in color to their background, have lower contrast, thus are more unfavourable (refer to the 'low contrast' error mode).

 Set the background as asphalt, concrete (black, gray) and snow (white), and select recognition target that are the same in colour.

Types	Parameters							
Vehicles	[Color (body color)] Black, Gray, White							
Motorcycles	[Color (body color, motorcyclist wear)] Black, Gray, White							
Bicycles	[Color (motorcyclist wear)] Black, Gray, White							
Pedestrians	[Color (clothing)] Black, Gray, White							
Mounted objects	Generally these are highly visible and thus are not usually low in contrast. Use arrow signs and safety cones which are often found bordering lanes.							
Fallen objects	[Color] Here we use tires (car component) which are over 15cm in height and ranked one of the highest when looking at occurrences of fallen objects							
Animals	Road kill to be included under fallen objects.							

															Low Spatial Frequence
															Low Contrast
the functional scenari	o by linking the ALKS	scen	ario	and	d th	e d	isturbar	ice.							(Caused by Spatial Obstru
Functional Scenario	ALKS scenario	-	Tracl	ack		Traffic Information			Moving Object			Obstruction on Road			Explanation
		Lane markings	Structures	Edge of road	Traffic lights	Road signs	Road surface signs	Other vehicles	Motorcycles	Bicycles	Pedestrians	Fallen objects	Mounted objects	Animals	
F-1	Cut-in							0	0						Evaluates the case whereby the moving object which cuts-in becomes difficult to see due to a spatial obstruction.
F-2	Cut-out							0	0	0	0	0			Evaluates the case whereby a stationary object or slow moving object which suddenly appears becomes difficult to see due to a spatial obstruction.
F-3	Deceleration							0	0						Evaluates the case whereby the preceding vehicle which decelerates suddenly becomes difficult to see due to a spatial obstruction.
F-B1-14	Lane Keep	0													Evaluates the case whereby a lane marking becomes difficult to see due to a spatial obstruction.

E4.2.2.3. Evaluation Scenario

Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast (Caused by Spatial Obstruction)

In the real world, disturbances can occur in combinations. Below are the combinations taken from the feature model. Rain drop adherence to the front of the sensor during rain, leads to 'refraction' error, however it is included here as it may occur in conjunction with another. *Multiple spatial obstructions could occur simultaneously, however they have been excluded for now.

Scenario	Vehicle/Senso	or -	Surrou	unding env	vironment		Notes	Mode		
No.	In front of sense	or	Spatial	obstruction	1	Accompany	ing target	Light source		
	Rain drops (Refraction)	Snow (Shielding)	Fog	Rain	Snow	Spray up	Exhaust			
01	×	×	0	×	×	×	×	Day		A
02	×	×	0	×	×	×	×	Night		А
03	×	×	0	×	×	0	×	Day		A,B
04	×	×	0	×	×	0	×	Night		A,B
05	0	×	×	0	×	0	×	Day		A,B,(C)
06	0	×	×	0	×	0	×	Night		A,B,(C)
07	0	×	×	0	×	×	×	Day	05 is harsher	A,(C)
08	0	×	×	0	×	×	×	Night	06 is harsher	A,(C)
09	×	0	×	×	0	0	×	Day		A,B,(C)
10	×	0	×	×	0	0	×	Night		A,B,(C)
11	×	0	×	×	0	×	×	Day	09 is harsher	A,B
12	×	0	×	×	0	×	×	Night	10 is harsher	A,B
13	×	×	×	×	×	ORain	×	Day		В
14	×	×	×	×	×	ORain	×	Night		В
15	×	×	×	×	×	○Snow	×	Day		В
16	×	×	×	×	×	OSnow	×	Night		В
17	×	×	×	×	×	×	0	Day		В
18	×	×	×	×	×	×	0	Night		В

Scenario F-1

	Evaluation based on a 'Cut-in on a straight road' scenario											
ſ		1. In front of sensor	Rain drops									
l			Snow	Snow								
l	G	2. Spatial	Fog	Visibility 10m~1km								
	aramet	obstruction	Rain	Rainfall intensity 0~limit of ODD								
	l factor p		Snow	Snowfall intensity 0~limit of ODD Wind speed 0~limit of ODD								
l	ausa	3. Accompanying	spray up									
l	Ő	Spatial obstruction	Exhaust gas									
l		4 .Light source	Day									
L			Night									
ſ	for	5. Speed of ego vehicle	Not decided here because of the scope of definition in the traffic flow scenario.									
l	red	6. relative position										
l	equi	7. relative velocity										
	Parameters ru evalua	8. Cut-in lateral velocity										



Scenario F-2

Evaluation based on a 'Cut-out on a straight road' scenario

	1, In front of sensor	Rain drops				
		Snow				
neter	2. Spatial obstruction	Fog				
arar		Rain				
tor p		Snow				
l fac	3. Accompanying	spray up				
ausa	Spatial obstruction	Exhaust gas				
C	4.Light source	Day				
		Night				
ed for	5. Speed of ego vehicle	Not decided here because of the scope				
quire	6. relative position	of definition in the				
rs re aluat	7. relative velocity	traffic flow scenario.				
Parameter	8. Cut-out lateral velocity					



Scenario F-3

Evaluation based on a 'Deceleration on a straight-road scenario'

	1. In front of sensor	Rain drops		
		Snow		
eter	2. Spatial	Fog		
ram	obstruction	Rain		
r pa		Snow		
acto	3. Accompanying	spray up		
sal f	Spatial obstruction	Exhaust gas		
Caus	4. Light source	Day/Night		
eq	5. Speed of ego	Not decided here		
quire	vehicle	because of the scope		
s rec luati	6. relative position	of definition in the		
eters eval	7. relative velocity	traffic flow scenario.		
for				
Pa				



Scenario F-B1-14

Evaluation based on a 'Lane Keep on a straight road' scenario

	meter	1. In front of	Rain drops				
		sensor	Snow				
	para	2. Spatial	Fog				
	actor	obstruction	Rain				
	ısal f		Snow				
	Cat	3. Light source	Day/Night				
	Parameters required for evaluation	4. Speed of ego vehicle	Not decided here because of the scope of definition in the traffic flow scenario.				



E.4.3 [Camera] Overexposure

E.4.3.1 Phenomenon and Principle

E.4.3.1.1 Phenomenon

When the bright area within a frame exceeds the upper limit of intensity (upper limit of the dynamic range) which the camera can express, the camera will no longer be able to express the difference in intensity (tone) causing a deficiency in information and ultimately a non-detection.

Example

Overexposure of the vehicle ahead due to the reflection of the test vehicles headlamps

Overexposure caused by the sunlight at the exit point of a

Overexposure





The whole area of the preceding vehicles becomes white, making it difficult to make out any profiles



E.4.3.1.2 Outline of the principle

Overexposure

If Overexposure occurs in part of the recognition target, the recognition function of the camera may not be able to extract features correctly. Or, even if the features can be extracted, the identification may not be able to match the learning data, resulting in poor recognition (non-detection or classification error).



t

184



Overexposure

E.4.3.1.3 Principle model

Outline of the principle

Overexposure is a phenomenon which occurs when the 'range of intensity in the scenery' is greater than the 'camera's dynamic (intensity) range' as adjusted by the exposure control.

Basic Principle

Exposure control identifies the difference in the average intensity of the previous frame (within the area where light is measured) to the target intensity, and determines the intensity range (Setting values for aperture, shutter-speed, gain, etc.).

The intensity range within the frame is allocated to the above intensity range in order to express color.









E.4.3.2 Relationship between the Principle and Causal Factors

Overexposure

E.4.3.2.1 Causal Factors based on the Principle





Functional Scenario	ALKS Scenario		Lane		Traffic Information		Moving Object				Obstruction on Road			Structure	Explanation	
		Lane markings	Structures	Edge of road	Traffic lights	Road signs	Road surface signs	Other vehicles	Motorcycles	Bicycles	Pedestrians	Fallen objects	Mounted objects	Animals	Upward tunnel	
F-1	Cut-in							0	0						0	
F-2	Cut-out							0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
F-3	Deceleration							0	0						0	
F-B1-14	Lane-keeping	0	0	0	0	0	0								0	

Deriving the Functional Scenarios by connection between ALKS scenarios and causal factors

E.4.3.2.3 Parameter Range

Overexposure

Ego vehicle /Sensor

Causal factor				Causal factor parameter	Range	Remarks
Ego vehicle / Sensor	Parts	Light	Headlamps	Brightness	2-lamp type Min Low 6400[cd] ~ High 15000[cd] ~ Max Total ~430,000[cd] 4-lamp type Min Low 6400[cd] ~ High 12000[cd] ~ Max Total ~430,000[cd]	Refer to the regulations of each country
			Fog lamps	Brightness	10000[cd]~	Refer to the regulations of each country
		W	/indshield	Scattering characteristic	Match the characteristics confirmed with the actual vehicle	

Overexposure

Surrounding environment

Causal facto	r				Causal factor parameter	Range	Remarks
Space	Light	Sky light			Light	Determined by the brightness of sunlight and the brightness of light	
		Point light source	Natural light	Sun light	Altitude	0~90[degrees]	Up to the maximum altitude just below the equator
					Direction	0~359[degrees]	Up to the maximum azimuth
					Brightness	0lx~100000[lx]	Brightness of the midsummer sun
			Artificial light	Moving objects	Brightness	Same as own car headlights	
				Resting object	Brightness	0∼110000[lm]	struction lights
Structure	Road surface	Puddle			reflectance	1~100[%]	

Recognition target

Overexposure

Causal factor	Causal factor	Range	Remarks
	parameter		
Other vehicles	Color /	Color(White)	Colors that are prone to overexposure
	Material		
	Light source	Tail lamps (300[cd]) ,Brake lamps	Refer to the regulations of each country
		(600[cd]),Hazard lamps	
		(600[cd]),Rear fog lamps(345[cd])	
Vehicle with	Color /	Material (Aluminum)	Material that is prone to overexposure
specular	Material		
reflection			
Motorcycles	Color /	Color(White)	Colors that are prone to overexposure
Bicycles	Material		
	Light source	Tail lamps (300[cd]) ,Brake lamps	Refer to the regulations of each country
		(600[cd]),Hazard lamps (600[cd])	
Pedestrians	Color /	Color(White)	Colors that are prone to overexposure
	Material		
	Light source	Handheld light	Brightness of handheld lights for sale
		(20~800[lm])	

E.4.3.2.4 Evaluation Scenario

E.4.3.2.4.1 Cut-in

Evaluate	ed in a Cut-in scenario	on a straight road.
r	①Color / Material	Color : White Material : Aluminum
al factor paramete	②Light	Natural light Sun light Artificial light Headlamps Tail lamps Rear fog lamps
Caus	③Structure	Tunnel
ed	⁽⁴⁾ Speed of ego vehicle	The range is defined by the traffic flow scenario, thus
requir m	5Relative position	not defined here
leters aluatio	©Relative speed	
Param for ev	⑦Cut-in lateral speed	



Road width 3.5m



Evaluated in a Cut-in scenario on a straight road

E.4.3.2.4.3 Deceleration

Evaluate	ed in the Deceleration	scenario on a straight road.
	①Color / Material	Color : White Material : Aluminum
al factor parameter	②Light	Natural light Sun light Artificial light Headlamps Tail lamps Rear fog lamps
Cause	3 Structure	Tunnel
ed	④Speed of ego vehicle	The range is defined by the traffic flow scenario, thus
requir	⑤Relative position	not defined here
eters aluatic	[®] Relative speed	
Param for ev:	⑦Deceleration	



Road width 3.5m



Annex F Guideline for validation of virtual environment with perception disturbance

Generally, environment in which not only automated vehicle but also human drive vehicle will run is not limited to clear and good condition, that means bad weather like rain and fog situation should be considered. These conditions may cause recognition failure because sensor should receive perception disturbance. Safety evaluation of automated vehicle needs validation to consider these kinds of disturbance.

Simulation technology, that is remarkably progress especially in physical modelling, is a method to evaluate perception performance with disturbance. Validation in virtual environment is high convenience to apply but validity of virtual environment should be discussed.

This annex will clarify the requirement to be confirmed when principle of perception disturbance for each sensor (camera, millimeter radar, LiDAR) discussed in Annex E will be reproduced in virtual environment. Additionally, a method to validate developed environment will meet each requirement or not will be proposed.



The points to be discussed in this Annex are shown in fig.F-1.

Figure F-1. area of this Annex

F.1 overview of requirements defined in this Annex

To judge whether perception performance evaluation in virtual environment will work well or not, it is necessary for relatives to have common understanding about how models and environment deployment will be validated. Final target would be to realize that evaluation result in virtual environment and real condition will be matched, thus we propose the definition of validation method in ideal condition (without perception disturbance) in advance to validation with perception disturbance. This means we can easily analyze the root cause of unmatch with disturbance (this is final target) by establishing validation method in ideal condition

We define requirement of validation in ideal condition as "A. Common requirement" and requirement of validation with perception disturbance as "B. perception disturbance reproducing requirement" (fig.F-2). Additionally, we propose each validation method about "A. Common requirement" and "B. perception disturbance reproducing requirement"

Requirement for virtual environment



Figure F-2. overview of this Annex

A. Common requirement

• Define requirement to be confirmed in ideal condition (without perception disturbance) from each sensors' principle

B. perception disturbance reproducing requirement

- Define requirement to be confirmed with perception disturbance
- Clarify necessary principle parameter for reproducing disturbance and disturbance causal factor parameter by classifying various disturbance based on the principle and describe it as a model about each disturbance principle

F.2 Common requirement and reproductivity validation method

In this section items to be confirmed as common requirement and validation method are clarified. As a first step, clarifying the way of thinking about what items should be done as common requirement is shown. After that clarifying validation method for each sensor based on this way of thinking. This method is defined based on each sensors' principle thus it is necessary to clarify method when validating another principle's sensor following the way of thinking. This validation method shown below can be replaced by another method that can verify the same contents.

F.2.1 the way of thinking about common requirement

This section clarifies the way of thinking about the items to be set as common requirement. Component of object detection are defined as below elements as ①sensor/vehicle itself, ②space where the signal propagates

③recognition target(fig.F-3), and items to be validated and their criteria without perception disturbance for each element are clarified. Additionally the method to validate that recognition target can be detected under basic traffic disturbance scenario is defined to confirm this totally.



Figure F-3. element of common requirement

① sensor/vehicle basic characteristics

To confirm basic perception results like distance, direction, relative speed, signal intensity (items and condition differ in sensor principle) in ideal condition (without perception disturbance) as a sensor basic characteristic.

2 characteristics of propagation, optical characteristics and so on

To confirm signal propagation from perception target to sensor in ideal condition would be reproduced.

③ reflection characteristics of perception target and so on

To confirm perception result would be reproduced. This is not only for perception result but also recognition result.

(4) target recognition under traffic scenario

To confirm recognition result of the target under basic traffic scenario (following, cut-in, cut-out) would be reproduced.

F.2.2 The way of thinking about common requirement for each sensor

F.2.2.1 the way of thinking about common requirement for millimeter wave Radar

In accordance with the principles of the Radar perception, validates whether physical amount of distance, direction, relative speed and received wave intensity are reproduced (fig.F-4).



Figure F-4. the way of thinking about common requirement for millimeter wave Radar

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in table F-1 is clarified.

																Perc	eption proce	SS										F	ecognition process		
									Sig	gnal from pe	ception target	(S)							Sigi	nal from other	5					Processing			Processing performan	ce	1
								Chanc	Phase	•		Strei High in	ngth			Noise (N)				Und	esired signal (U)		Increasing of L	ability	Dete (Output of reflected	ection	Clustering (arouning of reflected points)	Tracking (tracking of target)	Classification (Identification of target)
			Items	Paramters	Requirements	Method of no Validation dis No. nc	t a Freque sturba cy e	en Reflectio (indirec wave)	t Refraction	Change o propagati delay	of No signal on (partial)	Aliasing	Li Harmoni diff c of	arge ernce signal (c	Low S/N change of angle)	Low S/N (attenuation at the sensor surface)	Low S/N (attenuatic n in space)	Low S/N (low retroreflecti on)	Low D/U (change of angle)	Low D/U (road surface reflection)	Low D/U (surrounding structures)	Low D/U (floating objects in space)	Low D/U (sensors on other cars)	Low D/U (sensors on ego cars)	Increasing of U (road surface reflection)	Lack of points to be processed Lack of calculating ability	False detection of undesired signal	No detection of required signal	Unexpected distribution of point cloud	Unexpected movements (between frames)	Unexpected objects
			Range (R)	Distance	Detecting position of C/R is equivalent to		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
			Orientation (azimuth) (θ)	Azimuth angle	the actual environment.	0-1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
		Detection	Unentation (elevation) (ϕ)	Elevation angle	Detecting relative speed of C/R is		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
		Accuracy	Relative speed (V)	Distance	equivalent to the actual environment.	0-2	0 0																								
			Received power (P)	Azimuth angle	Received power of the reflection wave	0-3	0 0	_	_		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	Basic		Banne (B)	Distance	The minimum resolution when two C/R are	5	0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	-			
	Characteristics		Orientation (azimuth) (0)	Azimuth angle	closely apposed is equivalent to the actual	0-4	0 0																								
	or the Sensor	Resolution	Orientation (elevation) (φ)	Elevation angle	environment.		0 0																								
			Relative speed (V)	Relative speed	The minimum resolution when two C/R are moved in different speed is equivalent to the actual environment.	0-5	0 0							-																	
		Discrimination	Range (R)	Distance Azimuth angle	The minimum discrimination when two C/R		0 0		_			-		0																	
		Discrimination	Orientation (elevation) (ϕ)	Elevation angle	actual environment.		0 0	-						0																	
	Properties of	Free Space	Received power (P)	Distance	Change in received power with the change	e 0-7	0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0							
	radio wave	Road surface	Received power (P)	Distance	of C/R distance is equivalent, and the	0-8	0	_	_		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0					0						
		N. 1. 1. 1.	RCS	Angle	environment in all directions.	1-1	0																								
		(Passenger Vehicle)	Reflection Points	Angle	Refection peak intensity from a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	1-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
		· ·		Distance	Refection peak intensity from a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment	1-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Common	Reflective Properties of the		RCS	Angle	RCS of the large-sized vehicle is equivalen to the actual environment in all directions.	t 1-1	0																								
	Recognition Target	Vehicle (Large- Sized Vehicle)	Deflection Deinte	Angle	Refection peak intensity from a large-sized vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	1-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ο							
Requirement			Reflection Points	Distance	Refection peak intensity from a large-sized vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	1-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							
		Pedestrian	RCS	Angle	RCS of the dummy is equivalent to the	1-3	0																								
			Received Power	Distance	Received power from a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-1	0																								
			Detecting Position (Distance/Angle)	Time	Detecting position of the signal from a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-2	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		CCRs	Detecting Speed	Time	Detecting speed of the signal from a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-3	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Object Detecting Position (Distance/Angle)	Time	Object detecting position of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-4	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
	Basic Traffic		Object Detecting Speed	Time	Object detecting speed of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-5	o 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
	Flow Scenario	Cut-in (Passenger	Object Detecting Position (Distance/Angle)	Time	Object detecting position of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-6	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	pprop	riate	for a	all the	e item	S °	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		vehicle)	Object Detecting Speed	Time	Object detecting position of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-7	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
		Cut-in	Object Detecting Position (Distance/Angle)	Time	Object detecting position of a trailer is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-6	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		trailer)	Object Detecting Speed	Time	Object detecting speed of a trailer is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-7	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
		Cut-out	Object Detecting Position (Distance/Angle)	Time	Object detecting position of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-8	o 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Cat out	Object Detecting Speed	Time	Object detecting speed of a vehicle is equivalent to the actual environment.	2-9	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	

Table F-1. List of common requirements for Radar

F.2.2.2 the way of thinking about common requirement for LiDAR

In accordance with the principles of the LiDAR perception, validates whether physical quantities like azimuth, range, strength, number of detection points and size are reproduced(fig.F-5).



Figure F-5. LiDAR detection principle matrix

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in Table F-2 is clarified.

																	Perceptual pa	rt			1			
										Seen	inain a			Sig	nal from reco	gnition target	(S)		C Droporat	tion divocti	tion Coursed	N £	Signal fron	non-recognition target
	Verification perspective Explanation Basic characteristics of the sensor itself In order to verify whether the base performance of LiDAR can be reported intensity, number of detection po- size are compared by actual measure and simulation using a standard re known reflection. Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized Static verification After verifying the basic performa LiDAR verify whether the target reproduced. As a premise, since the reflection of the measured reflectance (BRDF shape and paint should be applied comparison of direction, distance, number of depends on the shape, color, and the measured reflectance (BRDF shape and paint should be applied points, and size with a ctual measure probability, intensity, number of due protect to be recognized Dynamic (CCRe) Dynamic comparison of direction, distance, nu detection points, and size over time assurement and simulation. On nent Cut-in (Standard- sized car) Comparison of changes in time di distance, number of detection po- size of vehicles that have been o actual measurement and simulation Basic traffic flow scenario Cut-in (Large car) Comparison of changes in time di distance, number of detection po- size of vehicles that have been o actual measurement and simulation							No disturbance	Misalignmen t of overall	Misalignmen t of position of	Saturation		A	ttenuation of	s		No S due to	Reflection	Refracti	tion Arrival time	Pulsed	DC noise	Multiple non- recognition Multiple	
					•	•				spatial position	recognition target	of S	Low					occlusion			of S	noise		reflections target target (Reflection) (Refraction)
	Verification perspective		Explanation	target	item	Parameters	request V						reflection of the recognition	Adhesion to the recognition target	Rain/Snow/ Fog	Exhaust gas/Hoisting	Adhesion to the sensor							
			In order to verify whether the basic			Direction	The direction of the reflector can be detected in the same way as in the real environment	F.2.3.2.1	0	0	0		target							0				
	Basic characteristics of	the sensor	performance of LIDAR can be reproduced, the direction, distance, detection probability,		[Point cloud data] Direction_Distance	Distance	The distance of the reflector can be detected in the same	F.2.3.2.1.1	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
	itself		intensity, number of detection points, and size are compared by actual measurement	Standard reflector	Reflectance	Detection	The detection probability of the reflector can be detected in	F.2.3.2.1.2	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	
Common requirement Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized Dynamic verification (CCRs) Al A A A A A Common requirement Common requirement Cut-in Basic traffic flow scenario Cut-in (Carge car) C Cut-in characteristics of the object to be recognized Cut-in (Carge car) C Cut-in characteristics of the common common Cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics common C cut-in characteristics com		and simulation using a standard reflector with known reflection.		Shape	Strength	The reflection strength of the reflector can be detected in	F.2.3.2.1.3	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0		
	Static	After verifying the basic performance of LiDAR, verify whether the target can be reproduced. As a premise, since the reflection of a target depends on the shape, color, and material,	Vehicles set for basic	[Point cloud data] Direction, Distance,	Number of detection points	The number of vehicle detection points can be detected in the same way as in the actual environment.	F.2.3.2.2.1	0				0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	There is no item marked	
	verification	the measured reflectance (URUP) of the shape and paint should be applied. Comparison of direction, distance, detection probability, intensity, number of detection points, and size with actual measurement and simulation with a stationary target.	ventication (passenger car + large vehicle)	Direction Reflectance (BRDF) Shape	Size	The size of the vehicle can be detected in the same way as in the actual environment.	F.2.3.2.2.2	0				0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	reflection from outside the target and does not affect the appearance to the recognition target.	
				Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.2.3	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0			
	Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized Dyna verifi (CCF		Approach a stationany vahiale and compare		[Point cloud data] Temporal changes in direction and distance	Number of detection points	The change in the number of detected points of the vehicle can be detected in the same way as in the actual	F.2.3.2.2.3	0				0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	
		Dynamic verification (CCRs)	changes in direction, distance, number of detection points, and size over time by actual	Vehicles set for basic verification (passenger car + large vehicle)		Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.2.3	0				0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	
			measurement and simulation.		【object】 Temporal change in positior	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
					and size	Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.2.4	0				0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	
common						Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.1	0															
requirement			Comparison of changes in time direction.		[Point cloud data] Temporal changes in direction and distance	Number of detection points	The change in the number of detected points of the vehicle can be detected in the same way as in the actual environment.	F.2.3.2.3.1	0															
		Cut-in (Standard- sized car)	distance, number of detection points, and size of vehicles that have been cut-in by	Vehicles set for basic verification (passenger car + large vehicle)		Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.1	0															
			actual measurement and simulation		[object]	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.2	0															
					and size	Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.2	0															
Common requirement Basic traffic flow scenario Cut-in (Large c				Frank and the state of the	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.3	0																
		Comparison of changes in time direction,		[Point cloud data] Temporal changes in direction and distance	Number of detection points	The change in the number of detected points of the vehicle can be detected in the same way as in the actual environment.	F.2.3.2.3.3	0																
	Basic traffic flow Cut-in scenario (Large car	Cut−in (Large car)	distance, number of detection points, and size of vehicles that have been cut-in by	Vehicle with a long vehicle length		Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.3	0	All items	to be confirr	med are a	pplicable.											
					[object] Temporal change in position	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.4	0															
Large car/			and size	Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.4	0																	
					[Daint aloud data]	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.5	0															
			After the preceding vehicle cuts out,	Vehicles set for basic	Temporal changes in direction and distance	Number of detection points	and the change in the number of detected points of the vehicle can be detected in the same way as in the actual environment.	F.2.3.2.3.5	0															
		Cut-out	the changes in the temporal direction, distance, number of detection points, and	verification (white Prius, etc. Both preceding and stopped vehicles)		Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.5	0															
			size by actual measurement and simulation.		【object】 Temporal change in position	Distance	Being able to detect changes in vehicle distance in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.6	0															
			and size	Size	Being able to detect changes in the size of the vehicle in the same way as in the actual environment	F.2.3.2.3.6	0																	

Table F-2. List of common requirements for LiDAR

F.2.2.3 the way of thinking about common requirement for Camera

Camera sensor is different about perception principle from Radar and LiDAR, those are active type sensors and Camera is passive sensor which does not use signal from the sensor and uses surrounding light information, so that possible information differ from those 2 active sensors(fig.F-6). Camera can use colour information while active type sensors can detect distance information and camera cannot detect it in perception block. This comes from perception principle, that camera sensor uses flat plate light detecting sensor, so that this characteristic is very important to validate reproductivity.

Para Radar Distance discrimination Distance discrimination Radar



The radar/LiDAR sensors' perception data includes depth information

Understanding the different between perception devices

	Camera	LiDAR	Radar
r (distance)	-	v	v
theta (azimuth angle)	v	v	v
phi (elevation angle)	v	-	-
v_r (speed in beam direction)	-	-	v
Signal strength (intensity (brightness))	v	v	v
Color (hue, saturation)	v	-	-

Camera signal includes color information

The perception data from the camera's sensors are shown in the form of an image, and the subjects are shown in the image by shape and different sizes depending on their distance



(blurriness) stage It is important for the camera to be able to reproduce the shape of the subject

Figure F-6. comparison between active and passive sensor(camera)

Considering these characteristics, common requirement of camera perception process shown in below(fig.F-7) is clarified.



Figure F-7. common requirement of camera perception process

Camera perception process will be validated about sensor itself, resolution/color chart under on-vehicle condition, environment/target position reproductivity.

common requirement of camera recognition process shown in below(fig.F-8) is clarified.



Figure F-8. common requirement of camera recognition process

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in Table.F-3 is clarified.

							1	Perceptic Optics	n	Ir	nager							Image			Feature		Detecti	ognition	ositionin	g T	racking
Ver	ification	_						Reflection	Scattering	Diffraction Absorption	Noise Color filter	Exposure time	Exposure period	exposure	Overexposure		Underexposure Lack of Gradation	Brightness	Hue	Chroma Hidden	Low spatial frequency	Low contrast	Detection or	error Base-position	error Target-position	error Tracking error	Velocity error
lter	ns/ Target Parts	Measurement items	Parameters	Requirement	Requirement ID	Normal condition (Day)	Normal condition(Night)	Blur, Dislocation, Distortion Flare, Artifact, Mirrored Objects	Flare	Vignetting	Random, Fixed-pattern Fade	Shaked Image	Flicker Distortion dananded on	Rolling-shutter Clinned whites	(Too long exposure time) Clinned whites + Flare	(Backlight)	Crushed shadows Crushed shadows	Out of correct exposure	WB deviation	(Invisible)	(Solid color)(Flattish surface)	(Weak edge) (Similar colors) (No classification)	(False positive detection)	(False negative detection, or classification error) (Self-position)	(Target-position) (Size, position, 	or direction error(s/) (Lost)	(Change to another object) (Orientation error) (Marroit-uda arror)
amera	Adjusting the camera module	Angle of view / Optical axis / Distortion	Imaging range Image center position	Using test chart, minimize the value gaps of evaluation parameters between RAW images captured by the real camera and created by virtual environment.	0-1	1	ν	0 -				-	-	-	-	-		-			-					-	
alone c.	(Lens)(CMOS)	Color brilliance	Luminance, Hue, Color	Using test chart, minimize the value gaps of evaluation parameters between	0-2	-	Þ					_	-	-	-	-		0	0 -		_					-	
Stand-		Dynamic range	Photoelectric conversion	Measure photoelectric conversion characteristic of the real sensor. Then minimize the gas between the characteristic of the real sensor. Then	0-3	-	ν					_	-	-	0	0	0 0	-	0 -		-					-	
	Verification in front of the camera	Optical axis (Mounting position/Direction)	Image center position Optical axis	Using real camera, minimize image position differences between targets placed at different distances on reference ontical axis.	1-0	ν	-	(©) –		· _		-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- ·		-	
camera		Distortion	Shape, Size	Check distortion characteristics caused by WS. Boundary lines of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to one in real environment.	1-1	(レ)	-	(©) –				_	-	-	-	-		-			-					-	
icle-mounted	(Installed windshield)	Color luminance verification	Luminance, Hue, Color	Check similarity on 5x5 points on whole image (RAW format) between real and virtual environment with WS under known lighting conditions. (except geometric view point)	1-2	(レ)	-					_	-	-	-	-		(©)	(©) -		-					-	
Vel	(Headlight distribution for own car)	Color luminance verification	Luminance, Hue, Color	Check differences of evaluation parameter values between images of real and virtual environment at observation point.	1-3	-	V			·		-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -		-					-	
		Spatial frequency	MTF	Adjust spatial frequency characteristics to meet judgement criteria based on RAW images of real and virtual (CG) environment	1-4	-	Þ	0 -				-	-	-	-	-		-			0	0 -				-	
F				Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are																					—	—	-
	Fixed point verification	placement verification (landmarks)	Shape, Size	similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-1)	2-1	(レ)	(レ)	0 -				-	-	-	-	-		-			-				-		-
	(Pedestrians)	color luminance verification(Object)	Luminance, Hue, Color	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	2-2	(レ)	(レ)	- 0				-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -		-		- -			-	
	(Passenger cars: Prius)		Polative distance	(Umittable by substituting 3-2) Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to more the red environment are	0.0.4	(1)	(H											+			f	+
	(Passenger car: NCAP dummy car) (Large vehicles)	recognition result (Object)	relative distance	Immuner to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-3-1) Decomprised parameter values of the second line to the second line of the second line to the second line of the	2-3-1	(レ)	(レ)			-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	_		1-	- 0	, 0	C	
	(Boundaries: white line, solid line, dashed line)		Size, Direction	similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-3-2)	2-3-2	(レ)	(レ)			· -	- -	-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- 6) 0	C	- (
asset)	(Road surface: straight, asphalt)		Relative velocity	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	2-3-3	(レ)	(レ)		-]			_	_	_	_					_			- (0	c	
1ment (a	0			(Omittable by substituting 3-3-3) Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are						+		H												\rightarrow	Ť	Ŧ	~
d enviro			Classification	similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-3-4)	2-3-4	(レ)	(レ)			· _		-	-	-	-	-		-			-	- 0		0 -		C	- (
arget and	,	Placement verification(boundary line)	Shape, Size	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	2-4	(レ)	(レ)	◎ -				_	-	-	-	-		-			-					-	
nition ta				(Omittable by substituting 3-4) Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are										+									+		+	+	-
Recor		color luminance verification(boundary line)	Luminance, Hue, Color	similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-5)	2-5	(レ)	(レ)	- 0		. –		-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -		-						-
		Recognition result (boundary line)	Curvature	Intercognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-6-1)	2-6-1	(レ)	(レ)				- -	-	-	-	-	-		-			-		- -	- 4	> -	C	- 0
			Azimuth	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	2-6-2	(L)	(L)					_	_	_	_	-		_			_			- (
				(Omittable by substituting 3-6-2) Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are							+			_		_			_	_							
			Lateral position	similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-6-3)	2-6-3	(レ)	(レ)			· _		-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- 0) 0	C	- (
			Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment. (Omittable by substituting 3-6-4)	2-6-4	(レ)	(レ)					_	-	-	-	-		-			-	- 0	0	• -		С	- (
F	Low-speed movement verification (approach, separation)	Placement verification (landmarks)	Shape, Size	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-1	V	Þ	0 0				-	-	-	-	-		-			-					-	
	(Passenger car: Prius)	color luminance verification(Object)	Luminance, Hue, Color	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-2	ν	ν	- 0		· _		-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -		-					-	
(as set)	(Road surface: straight, asphalt)	Recognition result (Object)	Relative distance	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-3-1	ν	ν					_	-	-	-	-		-			-			- 6	> 0	С) –
onment	(Surface: curved, asphalt)		Size, Direction	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-3-2	4	ν					-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- «) 0	С) –
nd envir	(Boundaries: white line, solid line, dashed line)		Relative velocity	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-3-3	Þ	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- 0	0	C) 0
target a			Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	3-3-4	V	Þ			· _		-	-	-	-	-		-			-	- 0		0 -		C) –
Multion		Placement verification(boundary line)	Shape, Size	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	3-4	Þ	Þ	0 -				-	-	-	-	-		-			-					4	-
1 of reco		color luminance verification(boundary line)	Luminance, Hue, Color	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	3-5	Þ	Þ	- 0		· -		-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -	-	-				-	4	-
pinatio		necognition result (boundary line)	Azimuth	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	3-6-1	2	P I					H	-	_	-	-	_		-	-	_						
Com			Lateral position	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	3=6=2	P P	~			-		-	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_					+-
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	3-6-4	r r	v					-	-	-	-	-		_	-		_			0			
E				Isimilar to ones in real environment.																							
		Placement verification (landmarks)	Shape, Size	recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in the target is	4-1	Þ	-	0 -		· -		-	-	-	-	-		-			-				• -	1	· -
		color luminance verification	Luminance, Hue, Color	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	4-2	V	-					-	-	-	-	-		0	0 -	-	-				-	4	-
	CCRs	Recognition result (Object)	Relative distance	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	4-3-1	۲ L	-					-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-			- 0		0	-
			Size, Direction	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	4-3-2	۲	-			-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-			- 0		C	-
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	4-3-3	P P							-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_			-			
		Placement verification (landmarks)	Shape, Size	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	5-1	P P	Þ	0 -					_		_	_		_	-					_	+		
wali	Cut-in (Passenger car)	color luminance verification(Object)	Luminance, Hue, Color	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	5-2	v	Þ		_			-	-	_	-	_		0	0 -		_			_	+	+	
f traffic	Cut-in (large vehicle) Cutout (Passenger car) Cutout (large vehicle)	Recognition result (Object)	Relative distance	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are civiliar to ones in real environment.	5-3-1	ν	Þ			· -		-	-	-	-	-		_			-			- 6	> 0	¢	> -
snario o	(Boundaries; white line, solid line, decked line)		Size, Direction	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-3-2	Þ	V					-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- 6	> 0	¢) –
as ic sce	(Road surface: straight, asphalt)		Relative velocity	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-3-3	ν	V				- -	-	-	-	-	-		-			-		- -	- (0 0	C) 0
			Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-3-4	Þ	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		-			-	- 0		• ·		C) –
		Placement verification(boundary line)	Shape, Size	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-4	ν	ν	0 -			- -	-	-	-	-	-		-			-		- -			-	
		color luminance verification(boundary line)	Luminance, Hue, Color	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-5	Þ	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		0	•		-					-	
		Recognition result (boundary line)	Curvature	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-6-1	Þ	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		-			-		- -	- «	> -	C) –
			Azimuth	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-6-2	Þ	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- (> -	C	- (
			Lateral position	recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	5-6-3	V	Þ					-	-	-	-	-		-			-			- «		C) –
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment.	5-6-4	Þ	V				- -	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	- 0	0	0 -		C) –

Table F-3. List of common requirements for camera

203

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

F.2.3 Validation method of common requirement

Validation method of each requirement for each sensor defined in section F.2.2 is shown in this section.

F.2.3.1 Validation method of common requirement of millimeter wave radar



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Basic Characteristics of the Sensor: Detection Accuracy - Received Power



205



206



Radar Radar Offset



The calculated average angle of discrimination based on multiple measurements for the actual (d_1) and simulated (d_2) environments shall not differ in size by more than 15% (provisional)



disorim

Radar



209

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

■ Reflective Properties of the Recognition Target:



Vehicle (Passenger Vehicle/Large-Sized Vehicle) RCS



■ Reflective Properties of the Recognition Target:



Pedestrian RCS




(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

212



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.





LiDAR
(F.2.3.2.1)

Basic characteristics of the sensor itself : Angle



Method of Validation

Transmit the beams from the LiDAR to the wall positioned at a recognized distance (L), and measure the coordinates values of beam spot on the wall. Confirm that the difference between the simulated and actual measurements are within an acceptable range.

Judgment Criteria

within $\pm 5\%$ of beam spacing (deg)





Within $\pm 5\%$ of beam spacing (deg)



Variation difference to actual measurement: within $\pm 15\%$

■ Basic characteristics of the sensor itself : Range



Vertical

Method of Validation

Vary the distance between the LiDAR and the standard reflector and measure the distance error and variation. Confirm that they are within an acceptable range.

Judgment Criteria

Avg. error to true value: within $\pm 5\%$ of distance to target Variation difference to actual measurement: within $\pm 15\%$

Avg. error to true value: • SIM • SIM Variation (Standard Deviation) within $\pm 5\%$ of distance to target • ACT • ACT Error Average Range Range

216

■ Basic characteristics of the sensor itself : Strength / Detection rate









※Blue: reflectivity xx% Red: reflectivity xx% Green: reflectivity xx% ≪ ●Actual OSimulation

Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized : Number of Detection Points





Method of Validation

Vary the distance between the LiDAR and the asset and measure the number of detection points. Confirm that the difference in the number of detected points is within an

acceptable range.

Judgment Criteria

Error to number of actual points to be within $\pm 15\%$

(do not include large distances where the number of detected points reduces)



■ Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized : Size

LiDAR	
(F.2.3.2.2.2)	



Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized : Dynamic Validation [Pointcloud Data]



Method of Validation

Approach the stationary vehicle, and compare the change in azimuth, range, number of detection points, and size over time between the actual and the simulation. (E.g. approach the recognition target at 40 km/h, and apply the brakes when distance to the vehicle is 20 m and come to a stop)



Judgment Criteria



Reflection characteristics of the object to be recognized :



Method of Validation

Approach the stationary vehicle, and compare the change in the object's range and size over time between the actual and the simulation. (E.g. approach the recognition target at 40 km/h, and apply the brakes when distance to the vehicle is 20 m and come to a stop).

Dynamic Validation [Object]



Judgment Criteria

The range (in the direction of travel and lateral direction) and size over time, are to satisfy previously mentioned criteria (F.2.3.2.1.1, F.2.3.2.2.2)



LiDAR	
(F.2.3.2.3.1)	

Basic Traffic Flow Scenario : Cut-In Scenario (Standard-sized Vehicle) [Pointcloud Data]

Method of Validation

Compare the change in azimuth, range, number of detection points and size over time between the actual and the simulation, for when a normal vehicle cuts in. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle 60 km/h and preceding vehicle to cut in at 40 km/h in the direction of travel and 1.0m/s in the lateral direction)



Judgment Criteria



Basic Traffic Flow Scenario :

Cut-In Scenario (Standard-sized Vehicle) [Object]

Method of Validation

Compare the change in the object's range and size over time between the actual and the simulation for when a normal vehicle cuts in. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle 60 km/h and preceding vehicle to cut in at 40km/h in the direction of travel and 1.0m/s in the lateral direction)



Judgment Criteria

The range (in the direction of travel and lateral direction) and size over time, are to satisfy previously mentioned criteria (F.2.3.2.1.1, F.2.3.2.2.2)



LiDAR	
(F.2.3.2.3.3)	

■ Basic Traffic Flow Scenario : Cut-In Scenario (Large-sized Vehicle) 【 Pointcloud Data 】

Method of Validation

Compare the change in azimuth, range, number of detection points and size over time between the actual and the simulation, for when a large-sized vehicle cuts in. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle 60 km/h and preceding vehicle to cut in at 40 km/h in the direction of travel and 1.0 m/s in the lateral direction)



Judgment Criteria



■ Basic Traffic Flow Scenario : Cut-In Scenario (Large-sized Vehicle) 【 Object 】

Method of Validation

Compare the change in the object's range and size over time between the actual and the simulation, for when a large-sized vehicle cuts in. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle 60 km/h and preceding vehicle to cut in at 40 km/h in the direction of travel and 1.0 m/s in the lateral direction)



Judgment Criteria

The range (in the direction of travel and lateral direction) and size over time, are to satisfy previously mentioned criteria (F.2.3.2.1.1, F.2.3.2.2.2)



■ Basic Traffic Flow Scenario : Cut-Out Scenario [Pointcloud Data]

LiDAR
(F.2.3.2.3.5)

Method of Validation

Compare the change in azimuth, range, number of detection points and size over time between the actual and the simulation, for when the preceding vehicle cuts out, resulting in the approach toward a stationary vehicle. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle while traveling behind the preceding vehicle is 40 km/h as it cuts out, then ego vehicle approaches the recognition target)



Judgment Criteria



■ Basic Traffic Flow Scenario : Cut-Out Scenario [Object]

LiDAR
(F.2.3.2.3.6)

Method of Validation

Compare the change in range and size over time between the actual and the simulation, for when the preceding vehicle cuts out, resulting in the approach toward a stationary vehicle. (E.g. speed of ego vehicle while traveling behind the preceding vehicle is 40 km/h as it cuts out, then ego vehicle approaches the recognition target)



Judgment Criteria

The range (in the direction of travel and lateral direction) and size over time, are to satisfy previously mentioned criteria (F.2.3.2.1.1, F.2.3.2.2.2)



F.2.3.3 Validation method of common requirements of Camera

■ Camera module calibration [View angle/Optical axis/Distortion]

camera (0-1)

camera

(0-2)



Use a test chart with glid lines or points, to minimize the difference between image taken with the real camera and CG based on the raw data in terms of distortion and resolution

- With the real camera, align the center of the grid chart to the center of the imaging device (while maintaining the levelness of the chart) and obtain an image
- With the CG, align the center position in the same way, then adjust the camera parameters to ensure the surrounding grid is the same (position) as that of image taken with the real camera
- Adjustment Parameters (examples)
 - Distortion and resolution characteristics of overall screen
 The lens' focal length, distortion characteristics, various aberrations, center deviation of assembly (between the lens and the imaging device) and angle deviation, etc.

Judgement Criteria

Visually, the entire image almost overlaps (evaluate the spread of the grid from the viewpoint of visual resolution, SFR spatial frequency response, and limit resolution).

The difference between the grid coordinates near the evaluation point and the real camera is 2 [pix] or less.

Camera module calibration [Color/Luminance]

Mandatory evaluation points

	Method	of	Vali	ida	tion
--	--------	----	------	-----	------

Use a test chart (for colors) to minimize the difference between image taken with the real camera and CG based on the raw data in terms of luminance representation and color

- · Measure with each color block in the chart
- Measure statistics of 16 [pix] or more at the target image position (Brightness, average value of saturation, standard deviation)
- Adjust camera parameters to match up luminance representation and color reproducibility of general camera performance between image taken with the real camera and CG
- performance between image taken with the real camera and CGAdjustment parameters (examples):
- •Photoelectric conversion characteristics of imaging device, etc. •Transmittance of lenses, filter on imaging device, etc.
- Validation parameters (to check coincidence between real image and virtual image (CG image)):
 - •Exposure control characteristics, etc.
 - •HDR (high dynamic range) characteristics, etc.
 - ·ISP (image signal processing) configuration parameters, etc.

Judgement Criteria

For each item, the difference from the real camera is in the range of $\pm 5[\%]$





- Darkroom
- Known test chart
- Known light source
 Light source for validation (headlights, sun light)

Capture -> difference



Macbeth chart 18+ colors

luminance representation range + color

[Measuring condition]

- Darkroom
- Known test chart
- Known light source
 Light source for validation (headlights, sun light)

Luminance, saturation distribution



■ Camera module calibration [Dynamic range]

camera (0-3)



- Darkroom
- Known test chart
- Known light source
- Light source for validation (headlights, sun light)



Method of Validation

Minimize the difference between image taken with the real camera and CG based on the raw data by measuring the dynamic range of the camera for the luminance by gradually changing the luminance using the known lighting condition.

- · Luminance vs. pixel value until overexposure
- The luminance hit to overexposure is handled as 1, and the luminance until blackout is compared at 6 or more steps.
- It is desirable that the measurement points have a geometric progression. (1/2, 1/4, 1/8, etc.)
- Adjust camera parameters to match up dynamic range characteristics
- Adjustment parameters (examples):
- Photoelectric conversion characteristics of imaging device, etc.
 HDR (high dynamic range) characteristics, etc.
- Validation parameters (to check coincidence between real image and virtual image (CG image)):
 - ·ISP (image signal processing) configuration parameters, etc.

Judgement Criteria

- The difference of the luminance at the time of overexposure between image take with real camera and CG is 5 [%] or less.
- The difference of the luminance at the time of blackout between image take with real camera and CG is 5 [%] or less.

• on-board Camera front calibration [Optical axis]

Method of Validation

Minimize mounting error using an aiming method under the assumption that optical axis is horizontal and parallel to axis of direction of forward movement.

- Adjust the mounting of camera to locate image of two target points on optical axis at the center of imaging device, and then locate image of target points on the right side of previous two target points on the horizontal line through the center of the device
- Adjust related parameters of camera model to render CG so that they are (positionally) equivalent even in CG.
- Adjustment parameters (examples):
- Height and orientation of camera mounting (yaw, roll, pitch)
 Curvature, inclination, and refractive index of the windshield

Judgement Criteria

At the position of the target point on the image, the difference from the real camera is in the range of ± 5 [pix] or less.





- [Measuring condition]
- On-board
- Known target pointsKnown light source
- Skylight (Sun light source)
- · Known road surface
- (asphalt pavement, horizontal plane)

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

camera (1-0)

On-board Camera calibration for front side [Distortion]

camera (1-1)



di.com/measurement/ganda/ganda_material/Effectoflensdistortion.html

- Darkroom
- Known test chart
- Known light source Light source for validation (headlights, sun light)



Mandatory evaluation points

Method of Validation

Use a test chart with glid lines or points, to minimize the difference, caused by windshield, between image taken with the real camera and CG based on the raw data in terms of distortion and resolution

- With the real camera, align the center of the grid chart to the center of the imaging device (while maintaining the levelness of the chart) and obtain an image
- With the CG, align the center position in the same way, then adjust the camera parameters to ensure the surrounding grid is the same (position) as that of image taken with the real camera Adjustment Parameters (examples)
 - · Distortion and resolution characteristics of overall screen
 - Height and orientation of camera mounting (yaw, roll, pitch) Curvature, inclination, and refractive index of the windshield, etc

Judgement Criteria

- Visually, the entire image almost overlaps (evaluate the spread of the grid from the viewpoint of visual resolution, SFR spatial frequency response, and limit resolution).
- The difference between the grid coordinates near the evaluation point and the real camera is:
 - Near center: 5 [pix] or less
 - Peripheral: 30 [pix] or less

On-board Camera calibration for front side [Color/Luminance]

camera	
(1-2)	

Method of Validation



luminance representation range + color

[Measuring condition]

- Darkroom
- Known test chart
- Known light source
- Light source for validation (headlights, sun light)



Mandatory evaluation points

Use a test chart (for colors) to minimize the difference, caused by windshield, between image taken with the real camera and CG based on the raw data in terms of luminance representation and color

- Measure with each color block in the chart
- Measure statistics of 16 [pix] or more at the target image position (Brightness, average value of saturation, standard deviation)
- · Evaluate luminance representation and color reproducibility of general camera performance between image taken with the real camera and CG
- Adjustment parameters (examples):
- · Photoelectric conversion characteristics of imaging device, etc. ·Wavelength-dependent reflectance and transmittance of windshield, etc.

Judgement Criteria

For each item at each evaluation point, the difference from the real camera is in the range of ± 5 [%]



[[]Measuring condition]

On-board camera calibration for front side

Windshield

Known reflection board (lambert reflector, vertical)

Ó

• Mandatory evaluation points Lighting area

(asphalt pavement, horizontal plane)

Known road surface

Darkroom Known light source (headlights)

> Length of 1 section of grid ¼ of the view angle

[Measuring condition]

Known road surface

-0

0

Camera

Headlights

х

0

0



[Color/Luminance (headlights)] Method of Validation

Minimize the difference between image taken with the real camera and CG in terms of luminance representation and color at measuring points (5 or more in lighting area and 3 or more out of lighting area) by two steps (with known reflection board, then with known road surface)

- Measure statistics of 16 [pix] or more at the target image position (Brightness, average value of saturation, standard deviation)
- · Adjustment parameters (examples):
- •Known reflection board: color and luminance of light beam on each direction of headlight, etc.
- ·Known road surface: Reflectance of road surface, etc.

Judgement Criteria

For each item at each evaluation point, the difference from the real camera is in the range of ± 5 [%]





On-board camera calibration [Special frequency]



[Measurement conditions] • dark room

Use a specified light source (The entire board must be able to maintain moderate contrast.)
Use a frequency within space measurement board that can measure appropriate resolution according to camera specifications.
Place the board at a distance where you can focus.

Verification methods

Using a frequency within space measurement board, the gap of Frequency within space between the actual camera and the CG is the criterion for judgment. Adjust the model to meet your requirements.

- In an actual camera, while maintaining the level of frequency within space measurement board, align the center point of the chart with the center point of the imaging device, acquire images, and calculate a horizontal / vertical MTF curve.
- Similarly, with a CG camera, the center points are aligned, the parameters of the vehicle orientation and mounting position are adjusted so that the position of the black line is positionally the same as the actual image, the image is acquired, and the horizontal / vertical MTF curve is calculated.

Judgment Criteria

The MTF difference is 5 [%] or less in areas other than 0 MTF. In the region where the MTF is close to 0, the CG result does not produce more contrast than the actual machine.



Asset (ego-vehicle stopped) recognition target (vehicle) [Position]

Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Position]



Reference information for judgement criteria near center of image
Measure error of distance to target vehicle: 1[%]

- Image sensor horizontal pixels : 2880 [pix] size: 8.64 *10^-3[m] Lens parameter focal length: 7.9*10^-3[m]
- Lens parameter
- distortion ratio: 15%
- Vehicle width : 1.745[m]

Method of Validation

Check the position of target vehicles is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or [10km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or
- 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS) Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead
- of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS)
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed, and
- images of both target vehicle 1 and 2 using real environment Render CG for the same scenario
- Compare positions of 3 or more feature points (gap of vehicle's body, etc.) of both images.
- Judgement Criteria
- The differences of correspondent feature points between on image taken with the real camera and on CG
- Near center: 5 [pix] or less
- Peripheral: 30 [pix] or less
- Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (vehicle) [Color/Luminance]



camera

(2-1/3-1)

(4-1/5-1)

Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Color/Luminance]





- [Measuring condition]
- On-board
- Known target points
- Known skylight (sun light, dark of the moon) Known road surface (asphalt pavement, horizontal plane)

Luminance, saturation distribution



Judgement Criteria

For each item, the difference from the real camera is in the range of ± 20 [%]

Method of Validation

Check the luminance and color of target vehicles is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS)
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS)
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed, and images of both target vehicle 1 and 2 using real environment
 - Render CG for the same scenario
- Measure the luminance and color expressions of the body, bumper, and preferably the tail lamps, when the sun is shining and when there is in shadow
- Measure statistics (brightness, average saturation, standard deviation) of 16 [pix] or more at the target image position, and then compare them

Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary)[Position] Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Position]

camera (2-4/3-4)(5-4)



[Measuring condition]

- On-board
- Known target points
- Known skylight (sun light, dark of the moon) Known road surface
 - (asphalt pavement, horizontal plane)
- *Reference information for judgement criteria near center of image
- Measure error of distance to target vehicle: 1[%] Image sensor horizontal pixels : 2880 [pix] size: 8.64 *10^-3[m]
- focal length: 7.9*10^-3[m] Lens parameter
- distortion ratio: 15% Vehicle width : 1.745[m]

- Method of Validation Check the position of target vehicles is the same between real and virtual environment
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or
- 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS) Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead
- of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS) Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to
- keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed, and images of both target vehicle 1 and 2 using real environment
- Render CG for the same scenario
- Measure the positions of the comparison positions on the boundary on the images, and then compare them

Judgement Criteria

The differences of correspondent feature points between on image taken with the real camera and on CG

- Near center: 5 [pix] or less
- Peripheral: 30 [pix] or less

Method of Validation

■ Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary) [Color/Luminance]

camera	
(2-5/3-5)	
(5-5)	

Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Color/Luminance]



- [Measuring condition]
- On-board
- Known target points
- Known skylight (sun light, dark of the moon) Known road surface
- (asphalt pavement, horizontal plane)

Luminance, saturation distribution



Judgement Criteria

For each item, the difference from the real camera is in the range of ± 20 [%]

Check the luminance and color of target of target vehicles is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h] Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or
- 10[km/h] Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS)
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle (If possible, use high-precision GPS)
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed, and images of both target vehicle 1 and 2 using real environment
 - Render CG for the same scenario
- Measure the luminance and color expressions of the lane marker and road, when the sun is shining and when there is in shadow.
- Measure statistics (brightness, average saturation, standard deviation) of 16 [pix] or more at the target image position, and then compare them.

■ Asset (ego-vehicle stopped) recognition target (vehicle) [Distance/Speed] ■ Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Distance/Speed]

camera (2-3-1/2-3-3/3-3-1/3-3-3) (4-3-1/4-3-3/5-3-1/5-3-3)



vehicle

larget

Distance(10[m])

Method of Validation

- Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment
 - Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle
 - Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle
 - Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- · Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- · Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- · Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- · Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed of target vehicle 1 and 2 using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

- The difference of distance is in the range of ± 5 [%] from real environment
- The difference of speed is in the range of ± 10 [%] from real environment

■ Asset (ego-vehicle stopped) recognition target (vehicle) [Size/Orientation] ■ Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Size/Orientation]

Method of Validation





- Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- vehicle Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- larget Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
 - Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- 10[m]Record time series of height, width and orientation of target vehicle 1 and 2 using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data. ____ Orientation

Judgement Criteria

Distance(

- The difference of size is in the range of ± 5 [%] from the real environment
- The difference of orientation is in the range of ± 5 [%] from the real environment



Asset (ego-vehicle stopped) recognition target (vehicle) [Type]

Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Type]





Method of Validation

- Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance form ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of types of target vehicle 1 and 2 using real and virtual environments, respectively, then compare those recorded data.
- · Check type defined by the recognition process as the output

Judgement Criteria

· Target type is the same

Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary) [Curvature]

camera (2-6-1/3-6-1) (5-6-1)





Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Record time series of curvature of boundary lines, which are parts of steady circles and from approximately 40[m] from ego vehicle, for ego lane using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

• The difference of curvature is in the range of ±15 [%] from the real environment

 Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary) [Azimuth]
 Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Azimuth]

camera (2-6-2/3-6-2) (5-6-2)



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
 Record time series of azimuth of boundary lines for ego lane from the position of ego vehicle (e.g., head of the vehicle) using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

- The difference of azimuth is in the range of ±10 [%] from the real environment
- Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary) [Lateral position]
 Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Lateral position]
- camera (2-6-3/3-6-3) (5-6-3)



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g. R100))
- Record time series of lateral positions of boundary lines for ego lane from the position of ego vehicle (e.g., 5[m] ahead of the vehicle) using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data
- Check both left and right boundary lines of ego lane

Judgement Criteria

• The difference of lateral position is in the range of ± 5 [%] from the real environment

- Asset (ego-vehicle stopped/low-speed) recognition target (boundary) [Type]
- Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Type]

camera (2-6-4/3-6-4) (5-6-4)



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5[km/h] or 10[km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Record time series of types of boundary lines using real and virtual environments, respectively, then compare those recorded data.
- Check type defined by the recognition process as the output (real line, broken line, line color, etc.)

<u>Judgement Criteria</u> • Target type is the same

F.3 perception disturbance reproducing requirement and reproductivity validation method

In this section items to be confirmed as perception disturbance reproducing requirement and validation method are clarified. The way of study is the same as common requirement.

As a first step, clarifying the way of thinking about what items should be done as perception disturbance reproducing requirement is shown. After that clarifying validation method for each sensor based on this way of thinking. This method is defined based on each sensors' principle thus it is necessary to clarify method when validating another principle's sensor following the way of thinking. This validation method shown below can be replaced by another method that can verify the same contents.

F.3.1 Way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement

This section clarifies the way of thinking about the items to be set as perception disturbance reproducing requirement. Doing same process of common requirement, component of object detection are defined as below elements as ① sensor/vehicle itself, ② space where the signal propagates ③ recognition target(fig.F-3), and items to be validated and their criteria without perception disturbance for each element are clarified. Additionally the method to validate that recognition target can be detected under basic traffic disturbance scenario is defined to confirm this totally.

- F.3.2 Way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement for each sensor
 - F.3.2.1 Way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement for millimeter ware Radar

In accordance with the principles of the Radar perception, validates whether physical amount of distance, direction, relative speed and received wave intensity are reproduced (fig.F-4)

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in table.F-4 is clarified.

								Perception process											Recognition process												
									Sigr	al from perce	eption target ((S)							Sign	hal from other	5					Brocossing			Processing performan	e	
								Phase				Streng	gth			Noise (N)				Unde	esired signal (U)			ability	Dete	ection	Clustering	Tracking	Classification
				1	1			Change	of DOA			High int	tensity			Low S/N	1				Low D/U				Increasing of U		(Output of reflected	point cloud of target)	(grouping of reflected points)	(tracking of target)	(Identification of target)
			Items	Paramters	Requirements	Method of not Validation dist No. nce	a Freque curba cy	n Reflection (indirect wave)	Refractio n	Change of propagation delay	No signal (partial)	Aliasing ^I	Harmoni c	Large differnce of signal	Low S/N (change of angle)	Low S/N (attenuation at the sensor surface)	Low S/N (attenuation n in space)	Low S/N (low retroreflecti on)	Low D/U (change of angle)	Low D/U (road surface reflection)	Low D/U (surrounding structures)	Low D/U (floating objects in space)	Low D/U (sensors on other cars)	Low D/U (sensors on ego cars)	Increasing of U (road surface reflection)	to be processed Lack of calculating ability	False detection of undesired signal	No detection of required signal	Unexpected distribution of point cloud	Unexpected movements (between frames)	Unexpected objects
			Signal Intensity Ratio	Distance/Angle	Signal intensity ratio of target 1 and 2 is equivalent to the actual environment.	3-1								0																	
	Simulating Large Difference	Simulating the Disturbance	HMFW Ratio	Distance/Angle	HMFW ratio of target 1 and 2 is equivalent to the actual environment.	3-2								0																	
	of Signals	Phenomena	Buried Signals	Distance/Angle	The signal from a motorcycle is obscured by the signal of a large vehicle in the same way as the actual environment.	3-3								0																	
	Simulating Low D/U due to Road Surface	Simulating the Disturbance Phenomena	Received Power	Distance	Envelope line in received power is equivalent to the actual environment.	4-1														0											
Validation of		Road Surface	Received Power	Distance	Envelope in received power of the reflected wave from C/R is equivalent to the actual environment.	4-2														0											
Disturbance Reproducibility	hatpatr	Surface Condition	Null points	Distance	Null points distances in received power of the reflected wave from C/R is equivalent to the actual environment.	4-3														0											
	Simulating Lov D/U Due to	Simulating the Disturbance / Phenomena	Buried Signals	Distance/Angle	The phenomenon, whereby the signal from the recognition target becomes buried in the signal from the signage board, occurs in the same way as the actual environment.	5-1													0												
	Angle	Reflective Properties of	Signal Intensity Ratio	Distance/Angle	signal intensity ratio of the target and signage board is equivalent to the actual environment.	5-2													0												
		Structures	HMFW Ratio	Distance/Angle	HMFW ratio of the target and signage board is equivalent to the actual	5-3													0												
	Simulating Low S/N Due to Vehicle Orientation	⁷ Simulating the Disturbance Phenomena	Cumulative distribution of the received power	Vehicle orientation	The cumulative distribution of the received power within a certain distance range is equivalent to the actual environment.	6-1												0													

Table F-4. perception disturbance of millimeter radar, reproductivity validation and disturbance principle

F.3.2.2 The way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement for LiDAR

In accordance with the principles of the LiDAR perception, validates whether physical quantities like azimuth, range, strength, number of detection points and size are reproduced(fig.F-5).

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in table.F-5 is clarified.

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

													Perceptual part													
														Signal 1	from recogn	nition target	(S)						Signal from	<u>1 non-recognitic</u>	n target	
									N-	Scan	timing		1	S	strength				S Propagat	ion direction	S speed	N far	ctor		Ufactor	0: 1.6
									disturbance	Misalignmen t of overall spatial position	t of position of recognition target	Saturation of S		Atten	uation of S	;		No S due to occlusion	Reflection	Refraction	Arrival time of S	Pulsed noise	DC noise	Multiple reflections (F	non- scognition target Reflection)	recognition target (Refraction)
	Verification perspective	8	Explanation	target	item	Parameters	request	Validation Method No.					Low reflection of the recognition target	Adhesion to the Rai recognition target	n/Snow/ Fog ga	Exhaust as/Hoisting	Adhesion to the sensor									
						Altitude	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to 90 degrees	F.2.4.2.1														0	0			
		Error mean	A standard reflector is installed in front of LiDAR, the error average and variance are			Direction	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to $360 \ \text{degrees}$	F.2.4.2.1														0	0			
		and variation	measured by changing the distance, and it is verified that the difference from the actual measurement is within the judgment criteria.	Standard reflector	light source	Brightness	The brightness can be detected by changing the brightness from 0 to XX mW/mm ⁷ 2. (Since the wavelength range differs depending on LiDAR, set it within the range that can be taken according to the wavelength that Lidar emits.)	F.2.4.2.1														0	0			
					F-14	Altitude	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to 90 degrees	F.2.4.2.2														0	0			
			A standard reflector is installed in front of LiDAR, the reception intensity and detection			Direction	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to 360 degrees	F.2.4.2.2														0	0			
Disturbance Reproducibility verification	Noise	Reception	probability are measured by changing the distance, and it is verified that it is within the judgment criteria.	Standard reflector	light source	Brightness	The brightness can be detected by changing the brightness from 0 to XX mW/mm ⁷ 2. (Since the wavelength range differs depending on LiDAR, set it within the range that can be taken according to the wavelength that Lidar emits.)	F.2.4.2.2														0	0			
		detection probability				Altitude	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to 90 degrees	F.2.4.2.3														0	0			
			Install the asset in front of LiDAR and	Asset (Vehicles, Motorcycles,		Direction	Being able to detect after changing the position from 0 to 360 degrees	F.2.4.2.3														0	0			
			change the distance to verify the difference in the number of received points.	People, Installations, Falling objects)	lignt source	Brightness	The brightness can be detected by changing the brightness from 0 to XX mW/mm ² . (Since the wavelength range differs depending on LiDAR, set it within the range that can be taken according to the wavelength that Lidar emits.)	F.2.4.2.3														0	0			
	Attenuation of S	Reproducibi ity of cognitive	Install the asset in front of LiDAR and change the distance to verify the difference	Vehicle	Reflector	Shape	It can be detected by vehicles with high ground clearance, vehicles with low vehicle height, motorcycles, bicycles, angular vehicles, and rounded vehicles.	F.2.4.2.4					0													
		disturbance s	in the number of received points.		Mirror reflector	Color, Material	What can be detected by black paint and specular reflection	F.2.4.2.4					0										1			

Table F-5. perception disturbance of LiDAR, reproductivity validation and disturbance principle

F.3.2.3 The way of thinking about perception disturbance reproducing requirement for Camera

As shown in the section about common requirement, camera can use color information while active type sensors can detect distance information and camera cannot detect it in perception block, so that this characteristic is very important to validate reproductivity under perception disturbance.

Based on this way of thinking, list of actual requirement shown in Table.F-6 is clarified.

																		lesse			Feet		Data	Recognit	ion Desi	Factor	Taali	
v	erification	ation														werexposure	nderexposure	Brightness	Hue	Chroma	Low spatial frequency	ow contrast	classification	error error	error error	arget-position error	racking error	Velocity error
lte	ems/Target Parts	Measurement items	Parameters	Requirement	Requirement ID	Normal condition(Day)	Normal condition(Night)	Blur, Dislocation, Distortion lare, Artifact, Mirrored Objects	Flare	Linear Flare Visnetting	Random. Fixed-pattern	Fade Shaked Image	Flicker	Distortion de pended on Rolling-shutter	Clipped whites (Too long exposure time)	Clipped whites + Flare (Backlight)	Crushed shadows	Out of correct exposure	WB deviation	(Invisible)	(Solid color)(Flattish surface)	(Weak edge)(Similar colors)	(No classification) Ni (False positive detection)	(False negative detection, or classification error)	(Target-position) E	(Size, position, or direction error(s)) (1 ~ e+)	(Change to another object)	(Magnitude error)
	shielding	Placement verification (shield)	Shape, Size	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-1-1-1	ν	-		-		_		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	- (-		_	-	-	-	_
	(Shelter: mud, water droplets)	color luminance verification(shield)	Luminance, Hue, Color	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-1-1-2	ν	-	- -	_				-	_	_	_			-	- 0	- 1	-			-	-	-	-
	(passenger cars, large vehicles)	Placement verification (landmarks)	Shape, Size	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-1-2-1	ν	_		_				_	_	_	_			_	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	_	_
	(boundary lines: white solid dashed)	color luminance verification	Luminance Hue Color	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-1-2-2	ν	_		_		_		_	_	_	_			_	- 0	-	-		-	-	_	_	_
		Pasaraitian rar ult (Object)	Palativa distance	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B 1 2 1		_					_										+	_			_	_	_
	(surface: curved, asphalt)	Neoghraon result (object)		similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	0-1-0-1		_			_		_		_			_					\square	_				-	_
			Size, Direction	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-1-3-2		-		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	- 0	-	-		-	-	_	0	_
			Relative velocity	similar to ones in real environment. Renonitad parameter values of the renonsition target in virtual environment are	B-1-3-3		-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	- 0	-			-	-	-	0	-
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-3-4		-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	0	-
		Placement verification(boundary line)	Shape, Size	similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-4	V	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
		color luminance verification (boundary line)	Luminance, Hue, Color	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-5	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
		Recognition result (boundary line)	Curvature	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-6-1	ν	-		-		-		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	0	-
			Azimuth	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-6-2	ν	-	- -	-	- -	-		-	-	-	-	- -		-	- 0	-	-	- -	-	-	-	0	-
			Lateral position	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-6-3	Þ	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	0	-
	eut		Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-1-6-4	ν	-		-		-		-	-	-	-			-	- 0	-	-		-	-	-	0	-
	Low contrast	Spatial frequency	MTF	Adjust spatial frequency characteristics to meet judgement criteria based on RAW images of real and virtual (CG) environment	B-2-1	ν	-	o –	-		-		-	-	-	-			-		• •	0		-	-	-	-	-
	(Fog)	Recognition result (Object)	Relative distance	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment	B-2-2-1	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-			0		-	-	-	0	-
			Size, Direction	Recognized parameter values of the recognizion target in virtual environment are imily to coop in roal environment	B-2-2-2	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-			0		-	-	-	0	-
			Relative velocity	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are imiliaries and parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-3	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	-	-			-		· -	0		_	-	- 1	0	-
-	(boundary lines: white, solid, dashed)		Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-4	ν	-		_		-		-	_	_	_			-			0		_	-	- 1	0	-
-	ti 0 0 0 0	Recognition result (Boundary line)	Curvature	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-5	ν	_		_		-		-	_	_	_			-			0			-	-	0	-
	ē.		Azimuth	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-6	ν	_		_				_	_	_	_			_			0		_	-	_	0	_
			Lateral position	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-7	ν	_		_				_	_	_	_			_		-			-	-	_	0	_
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-2-2-8	L L	_		_		_		_	_	_	_			_					-	-	_	0	_
	Too much (saturation)	Dynamic range	Histogram	similar to ones in real environment. Parameters in virtual environment (CG) are similar to ones in real environment	B-3-1	ν	-		-		-		-	-	-	0		0	-			0		-	-	-	-	-
		Duration time	Number of clipped whites pixels, Time	Parameters in virtual environment (CG) are similar to ones in real environment	B-3-2	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	0	-		0	-		-	0	- -	-	-	-	-	-
	(Tunnel)	Recognition result (Object: Vehicles)	Relative distance	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-3-3-1	ν	-		-		-		-	-	0	-			-		-	0		-	-	-	-	-
	(passenger cars, large vehicles)		Size, Direction	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-3-3-2	ν	-		-		-		-	-	0	-			-		· -	0		-	-	-	-	-
	(boundary lines: white, solid, dashed)		Relative velocity	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment.	B-3-3-3	ν	-	- -	-		-		-	-	0	-			-		· -	0		-	-	-	-	-
	(Road surface: straight as nhalt)		Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are similar to ones in real environment	B-3-3-4	ν	-		-		-		-	-	0	-			-			0		_	-	-	-	-
	(need controls, actaigns, aspinals)	Recognition result (Object)	Classification	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are imily to coace in real environment.	B-3-3-5	ν	-	- -	_		-		-	_	-	0			-		· -	0		_	-	-	-	-
		Recognition result (Boundary line)	Curvature	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-3-5-1	r	-		-		-		-	-	0	0			-		-	0		-	-	-	-	-
			Azimuth	Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are included parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-3-5-2	ν	-		-				-	-	0	0			-		-	0		-	-	-	-	-
			Lateral position	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-3-5-3	ν	-		_		-		-	-	0	0			-		-	0		-	-	_	-	_
			Classification	similar to ones in real environment. Recognized parameter values of the recognition target in virtual environment are	B-3-5-4	F	_		_		_		-	_	0	0			-		-	0		-	-	_	_	_
				similar to ones in real environment.																								

Table F-6. perception disturbance of camera, reproductivity validation and disturbance principle

F.3.3 Validation method of perception disturbance reproducing requirement

Validation method of each requirement for each sensor defined in section F.3.2 is shown in this section.

F.3.3.1 Validation method of perception disturbance reproducing requirement of millimeter wave Radar



Simulating Low D/U due to Road Surface Multipath: Simulating the Disturbance Phenomenon - Received Power

*	Relati	ve speed → Road surface material	/ condition 🛞 🛞	
Road surf	`ace ←	Distance	¥	
Parameter Item	Variable/Fixed	Range	Explanation	
Distance to target	Variable	Min to Max detection Range	Min to max range detectable by the sensor	
Relative speed	Fixed	Max speed within ODD		
Target type	Fixed	Large-sized vehicle (height : high) Normal vehicle (height : medium) Small-sized vehicle (height : low)	Three levels of representative examples such as large-sized vehicles, normal vehicles, and small-sized vehicles	
Road surface material	Fixed	Asphalt / Metal plate(TBD)	Typical road surface material / highly reflective road surface material	
Road surface condition	Fixed	Dry / Wet	Normal road surface condition / highly reflective road surface condition	

Method of Validation

- Simulate evaluation scenario for "low D/U (road surface multipath)" :
 - Approach the recognition target (stationary vehicle) ahead in the same lane as the ego vehicle
- Distance to the target : Sensor min. detectable distance to max. detectable distance
- Relative speed : constant (ex. about 20km/h)
- Type of target : passenger vehicle / large-sized trailer
- Road surface material : asphalt / metal plate
- Road surface condition : dry / wet

Judgment Criteria

• Difference in the envelope line of the received power at a relative distance of 50 m and below: 3 dB or less



■ Simulating Low D/U due to Road Surface Multipath:

mmWave Radar (4-2/4-3)

Road Surface Material/Road Surface Condition - Received Power/Null points



Method of Validation

- Place C/R in front of radar, and move radar toward C/R
- Road surface material : asphalt / metal plate
- Road surface condition : dry / wet

Judgement Criteria

Plot the received power of the maximum reflection point at each distance

- Difference in envelope : 6 dB or less
- Difference in null point distance : $\pm 15\%$ or less



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

■ Simulating Low D/U Due to Change of the Angle: Simulating the Disturbance Phenomena – Buried Signals



Radar

Received power

within $\pm 5\%$

distance

Actual Environment

Received nower

Method of Validation

- Direct the radio wave toward the recognition target (passenger vehicle) and the signage board (flat area)
- Vary the angle of the vehicle/board and the radar within the vertical plane
- Measurement angles : 0°, ±5°, ±10°
- Measurement distance : 15, 20 (m)
- Compare the ratio of peak intensity I_D/I_U and the HMFW W_D/W_U between the actual and simulated environments

Judgment Criteria

Difference in I_D/I_U , W_D/W_U between actual and simulated environments : within $\pm 5\%$



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Simulated Environment

■ Simulating Low S/N Due to Vehicle Orientation: Simulating the Disturbance Phenomenon

mmWave Radar (6-1)

(a) (b) (b)

Parameter Item	Variable/ Fixed	Range	Explanation
Type of recognition target	Variable	Projected area (large/mid/small) Contribution rate to scattering= Reflectance (heavy use of metal / heavy use of non-metal / in- between) Directivity of scattered waves (uniform/biased)	 3 levels of projected area generally 3 levels (no vehicle has zero metal used) 3 levels (relying on concentration of normal vectors in microparts of the vehicle)
Orientation of the target	Variable	0 to 30 deg.	According to the line of the road (curve R)
Distance to the target	Variable	5 to 150 m	
Relative speed	Fixed	20 km/h and below	constant

Method of Validation

- Simulate the scenario for evaluating "low S/N due to vehicle orientation":
 - Place a stationary vehicle up ahead on a straight road, and approach it at a slow speed
 - Change the orientation of the vehicle ahead and travel
- Vehicle angle : 0 to 30 deg. (constant)
- Initial distance between vehicles : 150 m
- Vehicle speed: 20 km/h and below (constant)
- Type of recognition target : passenger vehicle
- Record the received power in both the actual and simulated environments

Judgment Criteria

Show the **cumulative distribution of the received power** (**dBm**) within a certain distance range between vehicles (e.g. 10 to 20 m), and compare the averages and dispersions : within $\pm 10\%$

Cumulative Distribution of the Received power (ACTUAL)

Cumulative Distribution of the Received power (SIM)

F.3.3.2 Validation method of perception disturbance reproducing requirement of LiDAR



• The validation should be carried out in the condition of the maximum irradiance on the target surface within the parameter range above. · A validation with fixed incidence is also acceptable if the noise level is properly reproduced.

■ Noise: Strength of signal and Detection rate

LiDAR
(F.2.4.2.2)

LiDAR

This validation corresponds to the evaluation scenario of 'disturbance light originated in reflected light' written in E.3.2.2.2.



• The validation should be carried out in the condition of the maximum irradiance on the target surface within the parameter range above. · A validation with fixed incidence is also acceptable if the noise level is properly reproduced.

243

■ Noise: Number of Detection Points

LiDAR (F.2.4.2.3)

This validation corresponds to the evaluation scenario of 'disturbance light originated in reflected light' written in E.3.2.2.2.

Basis (or reasons)

i.q. Annex.E

 ± 30 deg in the rear of the ego

vehicle (traveling direction =

The irradiance value on the target

ondition of the sun above is used

n case of using a Halogen light etc

The parameter range is set within the LiDAR's wavelength range.

surface within the angular



Range

20 to 90

degrees

-180 to -150,

150 to 180

degrees

XX~YY

W/mm²

0 deg)

Causa

Factor

Parameter

Elevation

angle

Azimuth

angle

Brightness

Causal Factors

Light source

Space

etc.

Halogen lights,

Method of Validation

Place the object in front of the LiDAR and vary the distance to validate the difference in number of detection points

Judgment Criteria

Error in relation to number of detection points in actual measurement to be within $\pm 20\%$

(Do not include large distances where the number of detected points decreases)



• The validation should be carried out in the condition of the maximum irradiance on the target surface within the parameter range above.

Attenuation of S: Reproduction of attenuation of the signal from the recognition target

LiDAR (F.2.4.2.4)



Causal Factors	Causal Factor Parameter	Range	Basis (or reasons)
Vehicle	Shape	Vehicles with high ground clearance Low height vehicle Motorcycles, bicycles Angular vehicles Rounded vehicles	Clears bottom of body and only receives reflection from tires The top layer of the beam has difficulty hitting the roof rack Number of reflection points in horizontal direction is minimal Depending on orientation, it may be difficult for the direction of the normal vector to align with the LiDAR It may be difficult for the direction of the normal vector to align with the
			LiDAR
	Color, material properties	Black paint Specular reflection	Does not diffuse reflection well Depending on the orientation, the specular reflection will occur and not return

Method

Place an object in front of the LiDAR and vary the distance, to verify the difference in the number of signals received

Judgment Criteria

Error in relation to actual points measured to be within $\pm 20\%$ (Do not include large distances where number of signals reduces)



F.3.3.3 Validation method of perception disturbance reproducing requirement of LiDAR

■ Hidden Placement verification (hidden)/ color luminance verification (hidden)

Camera (B-1-1-1 B-1-1-2)

1. Object adheres to front of sensor: consistency of perception device

Method



The sample to be attached should be completely hidden (not allowing light to transparent).

A test sample is applied to the windshield of the vehicle (while in a stationary state), and validation is conducted by evaluating the strength of the signal and color. However, if physical alignment of the sample is difficult, a comparison may be made with a profile of pixel values at the edge of the hidden part.



Judgment Criteria

The difference from the image taken by real camera as the pixel value is 2[%] or less in each of the hidden and unhidden areas.

and

The difference in distance on the image between the hidden and unhidden areas is 2 [pix] or less.



Supplementary for judgement criteria

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

■ Hidden Placement verification (hidden)/ color luminance verification (hidden)



2. Obstruction in front of sensor: consistency of perception device



Method

Study the simulation of the image of wiper blades, as an element which can change over time as opposed to the adherence of a foreign object as seen in 1.

Evaluate the items that can change over time such as the movement of the wiper blades and the shutter speed.

Judgment Criteria

- The changes match in regard to the exposure over time and wiper blade movement
- Difference in pixel value with an image of the same condition: 5[%] or less

■ Hidden Placement verification (Object)/ color luminance verification (Object)

Camera	
(B-1-2-1	
B-1-2-2)	

1. Object adheres to front of sensor: consistency of perception device



Method

A test sample is applied to the windshield of the vehicle (while in a stationary state), and validation is conducted by evaluating the strength of the signal and color.

Asset (ego-vehicle stopped) recognit	ion target (vehicle) [Position]	Asset (rgs-whicle stopped fow-speed) ro	cognition target (vehicle)	camera
Scenario recognition target (vehicle)	[Position] (2-10-1)	[Color Luminance]		(2-2-2-2)
	Mahad of Volidation (4451)	Scenario recordition terror (which) IC	for Loninancel	(4.5.8.7)
A second	And the short set of the set of t	 A second s	Method CAVANANCE Cock to Human et al criter of target with Cock to Human et al criter of target with the Cock to Human et al criter of target with the Cock to Human et al criterio (Human et al criterio) and the Cock to Human et al criterio (Human et al criterio) and the Human e	the SNU (1998) and the SNU (1998

Judgment Criteria

The difference from the real image taken by camera as the pixel value is 2[%] or less in each of the hidden and unhidden areas. and

d 1. cc

The difference in distance on the image between the hidden and unhidden areas is 2 [pix] or less.



(Taken from Phenomenon and Cause Matrix) Validation method to be identified for each of the above 5 categories

■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

Camera (B-1-3)

Camera

1. Object adheres to front of sensor Cut-in scenario





Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fexed	Range
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]
		Lateral position y0 : 3.5m
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph] Lateral velocity Vy [kph]
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White
Degree of shielding of the detection-target due to adherence of foreign object	Variable	In relation to the bounding box of the detection-target ① Initial50% → Final0% ② Initial100% → Final50%*

<u>Method</u>

The recognition target cuts into the lane of the ego vehicle (in front) at a constant side-way speed, whilst vision is impaired due to the adherence of a foreign object.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

However, judgment is made only on frames that are detected.



Real image -
■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

Camera (B-1-3)

Camera

(B-1-3)

1. Object adheres to front of sensor Cut-out scenario



Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]
		Longitudinal position dx0_f [m]
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph]
		Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Vf0 [kph]
		Lateral velocity Vy [kph]
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White
Degree of shielding of the detection-target due to adherence of foreign object	Variable	In relation to the bounding box of the detection-target ① Initial50% → Final0%

Method

The vehicle traveling in front cuts-out from the shielded position. The vehicle traveling in front, and the vehicle in front of that, are both the recognition targets.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

However, judgment is made only on frames that are detected.



■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

2. Obstruction in front of sensor Cut-in scenario



Method

The recognition target cuts into the lane of the ego vehicle (in front) at a constant side-way speed, whilst wiper blades are in motion.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- · Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]	
		Lateral position y0 : 3.5m	
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph] Lateral velocity Vy [kph]	
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White	
Wiper blade movement	Fixed	1. Intermittent 2. Continuous	



■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

2. Obstruction in front of sensor Cut-out scenario





Method

The recognition target cuts out while wiper blades are in motion.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less

Real image Virtual image

Camera

(B-1-3)

- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]
		Longitudinal position dx0_f [m]
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph] Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Vf0 [kph] Lateral velocity Vy [kph]
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White
Wiper blade movement	Fixed	1. Intermittent 2. Continuous

■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

3. Road surface shape incline Blind-spot (vertical) scenario



Method

The ego vehicle travels along a road with a vertical incline (hump shape), and approaches the recognition target up ahead (in the ego-vehicle's driving lane), at a constant speed.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range	
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 [m]	
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 [kph]	
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White	
Road structure vertical incline	Fixed	Vertical cross sectional incline: 6%	



■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

4. Shielding by nearby moving objects (flying objects) Cut-in scenario



Method

The recognition target cuts into the lane of the ego vehicle (in front) at a constant side-way speed, whilst a flying object crosses in front of the ego vehicle. Flying objects are assumed to be moving objects except traffic participants. It may be in contact with the ground, but it must be possible to move it in such a way that it conceals part of the recognition target.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less

Real image

Virtual image

Flying object

Camera

(B-1-3)

- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less

Parameter Variable/Fixed Range Distance to the target Variable Longitudinal position dx0 : $OO \sim \triangle \triangle m$ Lateral position y0 : 3.5m Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0:○○∼△△kpl Lateral velocity Vy :○○kph Relative velocity to the target Variable Type of the target Fixed Shape: sedan Color: White lying object Variable Size (diameter): OO to △△ cm Sideways velocity: OOkph

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

■ Hidden Recognition result (Object)

5. Adherence of foreign object to other vehicle Cut-out scenario





Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable / Fixed	Range	
Distance to the target	Variable	Longitudinal position dx0 : $OO \sim \triangle \triangle m$	
		Longitudinal position dx0_f : OO~ $\triangle \triangle m$	
Relative velocity to the target	Variable	Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Ve0 : ○○~△△kph Longitudinal velocity Vo0-Vf0 : ○○~△△kph Lateral velocity Vy : ○○kph	
Type of the target	Fixed	Shape: sedan Color: White	
Degree of shielding of the detection-target due to partial shielding by a cover	Variable	30% to 70% shielding in relation to the vehicle width of the detection-target	

Method

A vehicle traveling behind a recognition target which is covered by a cover, cuts out.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in longitudinal distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in lateral distance: 5[%] or less
- Difference in longitudinal relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in lateral relative speed: 10[%] or less
- Difference in width/height: 5[pix] or less



250

■ Hidden Placement verification (boundary line)/ color luminance verification (boundary line)

Camera	
(B-1-4	
B-1-5)	

1. Object adheres to front of sensor: consistency of perception device



Method

A test sample is applied to the windshield of the vehicle (while in a stationary state), and validation is conducted by evaluating the strength of the signal and color.



Judgment Criteria

The difference from the real camera as the pixel value is 2[%] or less in each of the shielded and unshielded areas. and

The difference in distance on the image between the shielded and unshielded areas is 2 [pix] or less.

Hid	Hidden Recognition result (Object)						Camera (B-1-3)		
	(©: large impact, ○: middle impact, △: sm Disturbance causal factor						small impa R Fe	mall impact) Recognition part Feature extraction Hidden	
						Disturbance outline	(Invisible)		
M	Model Causal factor group			up	Disturbance Causal factor item (example)	Caused by vehicle side	Caused by target side	Blind area	
					Screen - mud, dust, etc.	Sticking mud, dust, etc. (image loss)	0	-	-
icle	s		(1)		Screen - snow, ice, etc.	Sticking snow, ice, etc. (image loss)	0	-	-
veh	nor	Front of		Sticking objects,	Screen - water, etc.	Sticking water, etc. (image loss)	0	-	-
Ego	ser	sensors		disturbing objects	Screen - insects, bird droppings, etc.	Sticking insects, bird droppings, etc. (image loss)	0	-	-
			(2)		Screen - Windshield wiper	Wiper operation (image loss)	Δ	-	-
	its	ural	Road surface	3 Shape	Slope	Variation of position and inclination of road surface as image			0
	wironner	Struct objec	Road side objects	Screen	Non-transparent material	Screen by roadside trees, buildings, roadside signs, etc.			0
	E	Moving objects	4	Screen	Non-transparent material	Parked vehicle, Roadside tree, Incoming flying object			0
	Lane	Lines		Grime and rubbing		Partially hidden by fallen leaves, snows, etc. Grime, rubbing, and repainting		0	-
n targets	ect	5			Color	Base color of sticking object (Similar color to target vehicle, \sim different color from target vehicle)			-
Reconitio	oving obj	Other vehicles		Sticking objects	Shape	Various shapes of sticking objects (Shapes and patterns of mud, stickers, etc.)			-
	Mc				Area	Area of sticking objects (part of target vehicle's body, ~ most of target vehicle's body)		0	-

(Taken from Phenomenon and Cause Matrix)

Validation method to be identified for each of the above 5 categories

■ Hidden Recognition result (boundary line)



1. Object adheres to front of sensor: Lane-keeping scenario



Method

The ego vehicle travels at a constant speed keeping to it's driving lane, whilst vision is impaired due to the adherence of a foreign object.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in radius of curvature : 5[%] or less
- Difference in orientation : 5[%] or less
- Difference in position : 5[%] or less
- · Target type is matching

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable/Fixed	Range
Velocity of ego vehicle	Fixed	Ve0 : 120kph
Width of driving lane	Fixed	3.5m
Curvature of lane	Fixed	ROO
Type of the target	Variable	Shape: solid line, dotted line Color: white, yellow
Amount which the ego vehicle's driving lane marking lines are shielded due to the adherence of a foreign object (disturbance)	Fixed	Amount of shielding: 50%

■ Hidden Recognition result (boundary line)

Camera (B-1-6)

2. Obstruction in front of sensor : Lane-keeping scenario



Method

The ego vehicle travels at a constant speed, staying in its driving lane, whilst the wiper blades are in motion.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in radius of curvature : 5[%] or less
- Difference in orientation : 5[%] or less
- Difference in position : 5[%] or less
- · Target type is matching

Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable / Fixed	Range
Velocity of ego vehicle	Fixed	Ve0 : 120kph
Width of driving lane	Fixed	3.5m
Curvature of lane	Fixed	ROO
Type of the target	Variable	Shape: solid line, dotted line Color: white, yellow
Wiper blade movement	Fixed	1. Intermittent 2. Continuous



■ Hidden Recognition result (boundary line)



3. Dirty marking line: Lane-keeping scenario



Reference: Parameters of Validation Scenario

Parameter	Variable / Fixed	Range
Velocity of ego vehicle	Fixed	Ve0 : 120kph
Width of driving lane	Fixed	3.5m
Curvature of lane	Fixed	ROO
Type of the target	Variable	Shape: solid line, dotted line Color: white, yellow
Amount which the ego vehicle's driving lane marking lines are shielding due to adherence of a foreign object (disturbance)	Fixed	Amount of shielding: 50%

Method

The ego vehicle travels at a constant speed keeping to it's driving lane, whilst sections of the lane marking line are shielded by fallen leaves on the road, piles of snow, etc.

The object shall be shielded so that the maximum shielding ratio of the object is 50%.

Judgment Criteria

- Difference in amount of shielding of marking line : pixels 5[%] or less
- Difference in radius of curvature : 5[%] or less
- Difference in orientation : 5[%] or less
- Difference in position : 5[%] or less
- Target type is matching



Camera: Low Spatial Frequency Low Contrast – Simulating the Disturbance Phenomenon



Validation Method

• The evaluation scenario assumes validation is conducted with varied disturbance parameters to create conditions such as performance limitation(s)

• The tool is a combination of disturbance parameters in the scenario that can be supported (=can be measured in actual conditions) and confirmation the disturbances are reproduced

(Validation 1) From Perception Device

Spatial frequency and contrast are verified by placing a dedicated measurement board and swinging a disturbance factor parameter *Confirmed by checking the RAW data for brightness

(Validation 2) From Recognition Unit

The ego vehicle approaches the stationary actor vehicle, and the recognition results are checked and swinging a disturbance factor parameter

Ego vehicle	Object of obstruction is Placement distance L	Measuring board
(*)(*)	Object of obstruction pr	resent in space
Ego vehicle		Measuring board
	Object of obstruction p	resent in space
Ego vehicle	E	Actor vehicle

Judgement Criteria

(Validation 1) Difference in spatial frequency and contrast between SIM & Actual to be within ±5[%] deviation Measuring conditions: average measured value and theoretical value to fall within ±5]%] deviation (Validation 2) Difference in distance at which the vehicle can "recognize" between SIM & actual to be within ±5[%] deviation

253

Overexposure dynamic range

camera (B-3-1)

camera

(B-3-2)

Method of Validation: 1-1 Check dynamic range

Check histogram of images, which may include clipped whites, etc., in the angle of view of target (traffic signal) with light source in front of ego vehicle.





Overexposure duration time

Method of Validation

Definition of clipped whites: 80 [%] or more of maximum pixel value, or affect to recognition process

- Check time change of number of clipped white pixels from beginning of clipped whites to end of them in covered area
- Speed of ego vehicle: approximately 10[km/h] or 30[km/h]
- Target vehicle: Type:Large-sized vehicle, etc. Speed: stopped
- Put target vehicle ahead of exit of known road(Covered area (Tunnel, etc.): Straight), then move ego vehicle to the target with constant speed
- Test for N (e.g., 3) times for each combination of light strength (fair sky, obscured sky, etc.) and vehicle speed (approximately 10 [km/h], 30[km/h], etc.)
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed, and images of target vehicle in front of ego vehicle using real environment
- · Render CG for the same scenario
- Compare number of clipped white pixels between real environment and virtual environment (CG) from beginning of clipped whites near target vehicle to end of them.



■ Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Distance/Speed]

camera (B-3-3-1)



Distance(30[m]) Target vehicle

Stopped or travel at constant speed Target vehicle 2

Tunnel

Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance from ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance from ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
- Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of distance (position), relative-speed of target vehicle 1 and 2 using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

- The difference of distance is in the range of ± 5 [%] from real environment
- The difference of speed is in the range of \pm 10 [%] from real environment

■ Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Size/Orientation]

camera	
(B-3-3-2)	

Method of Validation

- Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment
 - Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Speed of target vehicles: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
 - Target vehicle 1: Passenger vehicle
- Target vehicle 2: Large-sized vehicle
- Target vehicle 1 is initially located at approximately 10[m] or 30[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Target vehicle 2 is initially located at approximately 10[m] ahead of ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 at initial position or move target vehicle 1 to keep a distance from ego vehicle
- Move target vehicle 2 to keep a distance from ego vehicle
- Keep target vehicle 1 on ego lane
 - Locate target vehicle 2 on ego or adjacent lane initially, then keep on the initial lane or change to another lane
- Record time series of height, width and orientation of target vehicle 1 and 2 using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

- The difference of size is in the range of ±5 [%] from the real environment
 - The difference of orientation is in the range of ± 5 [%] from the real environment



■ Scenario recognition target (vehicle) [Type]

camera (B-3-3-4)



Scenario recognition target (traffic signal) [Type]

camera	
(B-3-3-5)	



Method of Validation

- Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment
 - Properly put disturbance factors (Light source) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h]
- On the known road (straight lines), stopped or travel
- Target is traffic light in front of ego vehicle
- Keep ego vehicle on ego lane
- Record time series of type (lighting status of traffic signal) in front of ego vehicle on ego lane, and then compare the types between virtual and real environment.
- The type is green, yellow, red, and others which are defined as output of recognition process.



In the validation process, include scenes in which entire or a part of target image is clipped whites because the target is existed in front of light source (sun)

Judgement Criteria

• Target type is the same

■ Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Curvature]



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source, Puddle) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Record time series of curvature of boundary lines, which are parts of steady circles and from approximately 40[m] from ego vehicle, for ego lane using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

The difference of curvature is in the range of ± 15 [%] from the real environment



■ Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Azimuth]



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source, Puddle) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Record time series of azimuth of boundary lines for ego lane from the position of ego vehicle (e.g., head of the vehicle) using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data.

Judgement Criteria

• The difference of azimuth is in the range of ± 10 [%] from the real environment

Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Lateral position]



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source, Puddle) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g. R100))
- Record time series of lateral positions of boundary lines for ego lane from the position of ego vehicle (e.g., 5[m] ahead of the vehicle) using real and virtual environments, respectively. If there is constant offset, get the offset from correlativity and cancel the effect from that if need, then compare those recorded data
- · Check both left and right boundary lines of ego lane

Judgement Criteria

• The difference of lateral position is in the range of ± 5 [%] from the real environment



Scenario recognition target (boundary) [Type]



Method of Validation

Check the recognition results is the same between real and virtual environment

- Properly put disturbance factors (Tunnel, Light source, Puddle) (In real environment, the phenomenon occurs)
- Speed of ego vehicle: stopped, approximately 5 [km/h] or 10 [km/h]
- Travel on known roads (straight lines, steady circles (e.g., R100))
- Record time series of types of boundary lines using real and virtual environments, respectively, then compare those recorded data.
- Check type defined by the recognition process as the output (real line, broken line, line color, etc.)

Judgement Criteria

• Target type is the same

Annex G

Validation of Simulation Tools and Simulation Test Methods Related to UN Regulation No. 157

G.1 Purpose and Scope

To summarize the concepts behind the validation technique for simulation tools and simulation test methods used in compliance testing for the traffic disturbance scenario defined in UN Regulation No. 157 (low-velocity ALKS). Note that errors in the perception unit are not taken into account (100% recognition is assumed), with the subjects of evaluation being the main AD control system (Planer) and vehicle motion control system (Fig. G-1).



Figure G-1. Control Systems (Subject of Evaluation) in the Traffic Disturbance Scenario

G.2 Terminology

Following are the definitions of the terminology used in this chapter.

(A) Automated Driving System (ADS)

A system that has the function to perform a part or all of the driving required by the driver on behalf of the driver by performing a part or all of a dynamic driving task (DDT) by automatically identifying driving conditions, making decisions, and controlling the steering.

(B) Parameters

Physical quantities (e.g., vehicle velocity and distance) used for measuring data, conducting simulations, etc.

(C) Calculated Value

Value determined from the results of calculations performed using the simulation tool.

(D)Provided Value Value provided by the scenario.

value provided by the s

(E)Scenario

A scene that incorporates one (or more) ADS and one (or more) target vehicle while performing a specified DDT and the narrative of the subsequent interactions that arise thereafter.

In this section, this is the narrative formed by the evaluation conditions when conducting actual tests and simulations, including the initial conditions of the ego and other vehicles (vehicle velocity, longitudinal distance, etc.), behavior of other vehicles (cut-in, etc.), and road conditions (number of driving lanes, road width, etc.).

(F)Preventable Threshold

The threshold between "no collision" and "everything other than no collision (collision, etc.)" shown by the graphs under "5. Reference" in Appendix 3 Guidance on Traffic disturbance critical scenarios for ALKS of the UNR-157.

G.3 Method for Validating the Simulation Tool

G.3.1 Purpose of This Chapter

This chapter describes the process and requirements for determining whether the simulation tool can accurately reflect an actual test. Before running a simulation test, this confirmation must be completed.

G.3.2 Validation Method and Criteria

Following parts describe the method and criteria used for validating simulation tools, along with justification.

Validation Method

Apply the same environmental information from the actual test for the selected scenario to the simulation and then compare the relative distance to other vehicles (hereinafter "longitudinal distance").





Justification of the Concept:

The compliance test in question determines whether the ego vehicle will (or will not) collide with other vehicle. Therefore, the simulation tool must be capable of accurately simulating longitudinal distances (physical quantification for determining whether a collision has or has not occurred). Furthermore, the ambient circumstances that compose the "inputs," such as the location of the preceding vehicle, must be equal to accurately compare the outcomes of the acutual test and simulation. Based on this, it is possible to conclude that the aforementioned validation method can demonstrate the simulation tool's suitability for this purpose.

Criteria

When the ego vehicle reaches a stationary or steady state¹, the resulting longitudinal distance² between the ego and target vehicles for which the collision is being avoided must be greater in the actual test than that in the simulation tool. Here, we compare the "no-collision (preventable)" territory and process leading up to the ego vehicle reaching a stationary or steady state to be used as a reference.

Furthermore, to demonstrate that the above criteria have been met, the simulation tool itself must first satisfy "3.3 Simulation Tool Requirements."

¹ "Steady state" refers to the state where there is no longer a difference in velocity between the ego and target vehicles as a result of the ego vehicle's collision avoidance behavior.

² Longitudinal distance refers to the length of the perpendicular distance line created from the front end of the ego vehicle to the rear end of the target vehicle.





Justification of the Concept:

To "confirm that the test results for collision/non-collision by the ADS are always superior to the results of the criteria for collision/non-collision (i.e., the purpose of the compliance test)," the success or failure of actual avoidance performance for a particular test scenario can be demonstrated by showing that results calculated using the simulation tool are always superior to the criteria, as long as the simulation test results show that the actual test results will always perform better.

G.3.3 Simulation Tool Requirements

The simulation tool must conform to the following two requirements to be valid.

Requirement 1: The simulation tool must calculate and output the parameters that influence the determination of whether a collision occurred.

(For the parameters that contribute to each scenario, refer to "Attachment 1. Scenario-Specific Parameters of Impact")

Requirement 2: To be able to compare calculation results, it must be proved that "a correlation exists¹" between the parameters calculated and that assessed via actual tests.

¹ "A correlation exists" does not mean that the calculated parameter values perfectly match, but rather that the changes in the parameters vary in a similar way.

G.4 Procedure for Validating the Simulation Tool

G.4.1 Purpose of This Chapter

This chapter describes the steps that lead to validating the simulation tools using the technique described in the previous chapter.

G.4.2 Procedure for Validating the Simulation Tool

 Choose the Scenario and Parameters that will be Used to Confirm the Validity From the list of scenarios necessary for the compliance test, select the scenario(s) and parameters to be used to confirm the validity (refer to G.5 ADS Safety Performance Evaluation Simulation Method).

INPUT: the scenario and parameter range listed under "G.5 ADS Safety Performance Evaluation Simulation Method."

OUTPUT: chosen scenario and parameters for validation

NOTE: For low-velocity ALKS, ADS avoidance behavior is limited to "deceleration" (avoidance by steering does not occur); therefore, a scenario and characteristics that demonstrate the correlation in ADS deceleration performance between the actual and simulation tests should be chosen. The maximum deceleration by ADS "G" should ideally be included in the range of deceleration performance to be compared.

2 Preliminary Actual Test

Perform an actual test before conducting a validation test to measure each parameter required to be input/adjusted in the simulation tool.

INPUT: selected performance characteristics that impact the results of the simulation tool

OUTPUT: actual test data to be used for adjusting the characteristics of the vehicle model

③ Input and Adjust the Settings for the Simulation Tool and Environment Input and adjust the settings (e.g., braking performance) based on the specifications of the target vehicle to be used in the simulation (e.g., vehicle weight) and the data obtained from "② Preliminary Actual Test."

INPUT: actual test data to be used for adjusting the characteristics of the vehicle model

OUTPUT: the simulation tool and environment where the settings have been input and adjusted

NOTE: Adjusting the simulation tool refers to adjusting the perception and vehicle unit models from the preadjusted state to the state where they are aligned with the actual conditions to satisfy the criteria and simulation tool requirements for validation described in Chapter 3.

An example of inputting and adjusting settings

Input and adjust the settings of the perception and vehicle unit models using the measurement data obtained from "2 Preliminary Actual Test."



NOTE: If the perception unit's responsiveness is based on a "time delay," correlation (validity) must be confirmed by matching the increase/decrease in the timing of the longitudinal/lateral position and velocity of the target vehicle as recognized by the actual perception unit to the actual physical measurements of the position and velocity of the target vehicle.

(4) Actual Test for Confirming Validity

Conduct an actual test based on the scenario selected in "① Choose the Scenario to be Used to Confirm Validity" above.

INPUT: test scenario and parameters (test conditions) under which the actual test will be performed

OUTPUT: actual measurement data to be used for confirming the validity of the respective test scenario

(5) Simulation for Confirming Validity

Conduct simulation based on the scenario selected in "① Choose the Scenario to be Used to Confirm Validity" above.

INPUT: actual test measurement parameters for respective test scenarios, simulation, and environment for which settings have been input and adjusted

OUTPUT: simulation data to be used for confirming the validity of respective test scenarios

NOTE: Information on other vehicles to be input into the simulation can be created based on the position data of each test vehicle positioned using GNSS, for example, during the actual test conducted in (4).

Confirming the Validity of the Simulation Environment
 Compare the results from (4) and (5) to confirm the validity of the simulation environment.

INPUT: actual measurement and simulation data for confirming the validity of each respective test scenario

OUTPUT: the result of confirming the validity of the simulation environment

NOTE: The procedure does not necessarily proceed in order from (1) to (5), but rather it may repeat from (2) to (5) until the judgment criteria are satisfied.

G.5 ADS Safety Performance Evaluation Simulation Method

G.5.1 Purpose of This Chapter

To discuss the simulation test method used to ensure that the compliance test's pass/fail criteria are met (i.e., confirm that the test results for collision/non-collision by the ADS are always superior to the results of the criteria for collision/non-collision) using the validated simulation tool.

G.5.2 Test Method

Adopt the environment described in "Simulation tools and implementation environment (G.6 Submission Documents-3)," with the simulation input comprising a combination of the following two items:

1. The scenario, in other words, the allocation and behavior of the ADS-equipped ego vehicle (hereinafter "ego vehicle") and surrounding vehicles (hereinafter "other vehicles").

Following are the eligible scenarios:

(a) Cut-in scenario [No.1]

(b) Cut-out scenario [No.2]

(c) Deceleration scenario [No.4]

*The number within the [] corresponds to the numbers within the Figures in Attachment 2 "Hazardous Scenarios."

2. The parameters of the ego and other vehicles within the scenario

- ① The velocity of the ego and other vehicles
- 2 Acceleration/deceleration velocity of the ego and other vehicles
- ③ Distance between the ego and other vehicles

Next are the definitions of each scenario used above and parameters of the ego and other vehicles within the given scenarios.

G.5.3 Definition of the Parameters of the Ego and Other Vehicles

Basic Definition of Initial Longitudinal Distance (dx0)
 The initial longitudinal distance is the length of the perpendicular distance line created from the front end of one vehicle to the rear end of another.

The distance between the ego vehicle and the vehicle in front of the ego vehicle ("other vehicle 1") is shown as dx0 (m), with the distance between "other vehicle 1" and the vehicle in front ("other vehicle 2") shown as $dx0_{-}f$ (m).



2 Basic Definition of Initial Lateral Distance (dy0)

Lateral distance is the length between the edge lines of the adjacent sides of two vehicles. The sign preceding the value will be "plus" if the "other vehicle 1" does not overlap with the ego vehicle and "minus" if there is an overlap. Thus, if the value is "0," the two perpendicular distance lines perfectly overlap.



3 Basic Definition of Initial Velocity

Ve0 (km/h): initial velocity of the ego vehicle

Vo0 (km/h): initial velocity of the preceding vehicle (other vehicles 1) in the ego lane or adjacent lane Vf0 (km/h): initial velocity of other vehicles 2

Gx max (G): deceleration rate of other vehicles 1

dG/dt: change over time in the deceleration rate of other vehicles 1



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

(4) Basic Definition of Lateral Velocity

Vy (m/s): lateral velocity of other vehicle 1 and the velocity perpendicular to the lane line



*Refer to Attachment 3, "Definition of the Behavior of Other Vehicles," for more details

G.5.4 Definition of Each Scenario

(a) Cut-in Scenario

The "parameters of the ego and other vehicles" as defined in G.4.2 are used as follows:



(b) Cut-out scenario

In this scenario, the "parameters of the ego vehicle, other vehicles 1, and other vehicles 2" as defined in G.4.2 are used as follows:



(c) Deceleration scenario

In this scenario, the "parameters of the ego and other vehicles" as defined in G.4.2 are used as follows:



G.5.5 Criteria for Pass or Fail

The collision must not occur within the preventable range (no-collision territory) as defined in "5. Reference" in Appendix 3 Guidance on Traffic disturbance critical scenarios for the ALKS of UNR-157.

G.5.6 Parameter Range for Simulations

Parameter Values and Ranges Common Across Scenarios

 (1) Road parameter values

Road Parameters	Value	Unit
Number of lanes	2	-
Road width	3.5	m
Road friction coefficient	1.0	μ
Horizontal gradient	0	%
Vertical gradient	0	%
Curve radius	x	%

(2) Vehicle parameters

Vehicle Parameters	Ego Vehicle	Other Vehicle 1	Other Vehicle 2
Vehicle width	(According to the application vehicle)	1.9 m	1.9 m
Vehicle length	(According to the application vehicle)	5.3 m	5.3 m
Shape	Rectangular	Rectangular	Rectangular
Position of travel	Middle of the lane	Middle of the lane	Stationary in the middle of the lane

2 Scenario-Specific Parameter Ranges

The parameter ranges listed under (1) to (3) below form the basic parameter ranges. However, this can be individually set based on the applicant's driving environment conditions, etc.

Parameter	Range
Ve0 [Initial velocity of ego vehicle]	$20 \leq \text{Ve0} \leq [60] \text{ km/h}$
Ve0 – Vo0 [Relative velocity]	$0 \leq \text{Ve0} - \text{Vo0} \leq 40 \text{ km/h}^{*1}$
dx0 [Initial longitudinal distance]	$0 \leq dx0 \leq 60 m$
dy0 [Initial lateral distance]	{ $(3.5\text{-ego vehicle width})/2 + 0.8$ (other vehicle side)} m
Vy [Lateral velocity]	$0 < Vy \leq 3.0 \text{ m/s}$

(1) Parameter ranges for cut-in scenario

The value given in [] is the maximum designed velocity of the ego vehicle

^{*1}Do not include cases where the velocity of the cut-in vehicle is greater than the velocity of the ego vehicle.

Note: When the cut-in vehicle's velocity is slower, do not include lateral velocity values, which are physically impossible. (For example, a combination such as "vehicle velocity 10 km/h (2.78 m/s) wherein the lateral velocity is 3 m/s.")

Note: When the range of movement of autonomous driving (the subject of application) is limited to only when the ego vehicle is tracking the vehicle in front, do not include the combinations of the lateral velocity and longitudinal distance of the cut-in vehicle for which cut-in would occur in front of the preceding vehicle or "into" the preceding vehicle (collision).

E.g., the change in cut-in parameters over time.



*Refer to Attachment 1(a) for the parameters over a time series

(2) Parameter ranges for cut-out scenario

Parameter	Range
Ve0 [Initial velocity of ego vehicle]	$10 \leq \text{Ve0} \leq [60] \text{ km/h}$
Vo0 [Velocity of preceding vehicle]	$10 \leq Vo0 \leq [60] \text{ km/h}^{*2}$
Vf0 [Initial velocity of other vehicle]	0 km/h
dx0_f [Initial longitudinal distance]	$0 < dx0_f \leq 100 \text{ m}$
Vy [Lateral velocity]	$0 < Vy \leq 3.0 \text{ m/s}$

The value given in [] is the maximum designed velocity of the ego vehicle

^{*2} Velocity of the preceding vehicle = velocity of the ego vehicle

Note: When the velocity of the cut-out vehicle is slower, do not include lateral velocity values that are physically impossible. (For example, a combination such as "vehicle velocity 10 km/h (2.78 m/s) wherein the lateral velocity is 3 m/s.")

Note: When considering the "longitudinal distance," do not include conditions where the cut-out vehicle collides with the stationary vehicle.

E.g., the change in cut-out parameters over time.



*Refer to Attachment 1(b) for the parameter over a time series

(3) Parameter ranges for deceleration scenario

Parameter	Range
Ve0 [Initial velocity of ego vehicle]	$10 \leq \text{Ve0} \leq [60] \text{ km/h}$
Vo0 [Velocity of preceding vehicle]	$10 \le \text{Vo0} \le [60] \text{ km/h}^{*3}$
Gx_max [Deceleration velocity of preceding vehicle]	$0 < Gx_max \leq 1.0G$
dG/dt [Rate of change in the deceleration velocity of other vehicles]	Limitless

The value given in [] is the maximum designed velocity of the ego vehicle

*³ Velocity of the preceding vehicle = velocity of the ego vehicle

E.g., the change in deceleration parameters over time.



*Refer to Attachment 1(c) for the parameter over a time series

G.5.7 Conducting Simulation

Conduct simulations based on the following ranges.

(1) Close to the Preventable/Unpreventable Threshold

Concerning the cut-in and cut-out scenarios, confirmation is to be conducted at +1 and +2 m from the threshold line from the borderline of pass/fail toward the direction in which the longitudinal distance becomes greater to confirm a broader range of collision/avoidance (not only limited to nearby the threshold line).

NOTE: The minimum increment of the lateral velocity is 0.1 m/s intervals.

Example of cut-in: ego vehicle velocity (Ve0) = 30 km/h, other vehicle velocity (Vo0) = 10 km/h.



(2) Preventable Territory

Concerning the cut-in and cut-out scenarios, to also confirm that collision will not occur at random points within the preventable territory other than solely near the threshold line of preventable and unpreventable (i.e., to ensure a complete result), confirmation is to be additionally conducted at expanding intervals from the threshold line between unpreventable and preventable (pass/fail criteria) at +10 and +30 m. The reason for selecting "+10 m" and "+30 m" is to ensure that confirmation is not only in a limited number of points close to the center of the preventable range but also points at which the distance between vehicles is large.

Furthermore, the ego vehicle velocity and relative velocity combination cover the full range of combinations possible within the ODD range.

NOTE: Lateral velocity is to be at the increments of 0.5 m/s; if these increments are impossible, conduct based on possible increments.



Example of cut-in: ego vehicle velocity (Ve0) = 60 km/h, other vehicle velocity (Vo0) = 30 km/h.

(3) Unpreventable (Collision) Territory

Confirm (for cut-in only) that best effort (=controls for collision avoidance are not stopped) within the unpreventable territory. The points to be used for the distance between vehicles within the unpreventable territory are up to each company's discretion.

Further, the ego vehicle velocity and relative velocity combination is to cover the full range of combinations possible within the ODD range.

NOTE: Lateral velocity is to be at the increments of 0.5 m/s. Avoidance is allowed.

Example of cut-in: ego vehicle velocity (Ve0) = 60 km/h, other vehicle velocity (Vo0) = 30 km/h.



In this example, if considerably distant from the preventable/unpreventable threshold, the higher is the likelihood that side collision or collision before deceleration will occur; thus, the points selected here for the distance between vehicles are, beginning from the threshold line, uniformly shortened at 5 m increments based on the average vehicle length of 5 m.

G.6 Submission Documents

The following documents must be submitted when conducting the compliance test.

- 1. Test results confirming the validity of the simulation tool (Chapter G.4)
- 2. Simulation test and judgment results related to the ADS safety evaluation (Chapter G.5.7)
- 3. Simulation tools and implementation environment Structure of the hardware and software and structure of the simulation test tool and model

NOTE: Detailed information related to the test vehicle is explained under TRIAS 48-J122-01, TRIAS 48-R157-01 Appendix 1 "1. Test Vehicle and Test Conditions."

Attachment 1. Scenario-Specific Parameters of Impact

(a) Cut-in Scenario

Parameter	Attribute
Ego vehicle velocity [Ve]	Calculated value
Longitudinal distance between the ego and other vehicles [dx]	Calculated value
Other vehicle lateral velocity [Vy]	Provided value
Other vehicle velocity [Vo]	Provided value



(b) Cut-Out Scenario

Parameter	Attribute
Longitudinal distance between the ego vehicle and other vehicle 1 [dx]	Calculated value
Longitudinal distance between the other vehicle 1 and 2 [dx_f]	Calculated value
Ego vehicle velocity [Ve]	Calculated value
Other vehicle 1 lateral velocity [Vy]	Provided value
Other vehicle 1 velocity [Vo]	Provided value



(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

(c) Deceleration Scenario

Parameter	Attribute
Longitudinal distance between the ego and other vehicles [dx]	Calculated value
Ego vehicle velocity [Ve]	Calculated value
Other vehicle deceleration velocity [Gx_max]	Provided value
Other vehicle velocity [Vo]	Provided value



The tool must be equipped with the simulation elements required to calculate and output the above

Attachment 2. Hazardous Scenarios

: Ego	: Side : Follow	Lead1 :Lead2	Surrounding Traffic Participants' Position and Behavior			
	Road geometry	Ego-vehicle behavior	Cut in	Cut out	Acceleration	Deceleration (Stop)
/ior	Main	Lane keep			No.3	No.4
cle behav	roadway	Lane change	No.5 G	No.6	No.7	
Ego-vehic	Marge	Lane keep			No.11	No.12
etry and		Lane change	No.13	No.14	No.15	No.16
Road Geom	Branch	Lane keep	Gx (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		No.19 ^{5x}	No.20
		Lane change	No.21	No.22	No.23	No.24

(C)Copyright Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, Inc., All rights reserved.

Attachment 3. Definition of the Behavior of Other Vehicles

This evaluation compares the ADS and preventable and unpreventable criteria when dealing with the behavior of other vehicles that obstruct the ego vehicle. Thus, the behavior of the other vehicles must be applied under the same conditions. The following defines the model and behavior of the other vehicle(s) to align them with the graph as shown in the "5. Reference" of Appendix 3 of UN-R157.

- "Other vehicle(s)" are to be mass models
- Lateral speed for cut-in and cut-out is applied using a step function
- Initial velocity (Vo0) is to be maintained for the longitudinal velocity during cut-in and cut-out
- Deceleration rate in the deceleration scenario is to be applied using the step function (jerk [dG/dt] is ∞)
- The direction of travel (the orientation of the composite vector formed by Vo and Vy) is to be taken as the orientation of the vehicle during cut-in and cut-out

	Cut in	Cut out	Deceleration
Velocity (Vo)	Vo0	V00	vo vo
Lateral velocity (Vy)	Vy 0	Vy	0
Deceleration (Gx)	0 t	0 t	Gx_max